

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 22.6.21	Surveyor: HC
---------------	--------------

<b>Tree details</b>	
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Tree/Group No:                      Species: Oak
Owner (if known):	Location: 47 Sylvia Crescent

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good                                      Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory                      Suitable
- 1) Poor                                      Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous\*              Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>	<b>5</b>
--------------------------	----------

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+                                      Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100                                      Very suitable
- 2) 20-40                                      Suitable
- 1) 10-20                                      Just suitable
- 0) <10\*                                      Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>	<b>4</b>
--------------------------	----------

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees                      Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public                      Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only                      Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty                      Barely suitable
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size                      Probably unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>	<b>4</b>
--------------------------	----------

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>	<b>1</b>
--------------------------	----------

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>	<b>5</b>
--------------------------	----------

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0                                      Do not apply TPO
- 1-6                                      TPO indefensible
- 7-11                                      Does not merit TPO
- 12-15                                      TPO defensible
- 16+                                      Definitely merits TPO

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b>	<b>19</b>
------------------------------	-----------

<b>Decision:</b>	<b>make TPO</b>
------------------	-----------------