

Application Number: 19/10340 Listed Building Alteration

Site: PARSONAGE HOUSE, GREEN LANE, FORDINGBRIDGE
SP6 1JT

Development: First-floor rear extension; create opening through first floor gable wall (Application for Listed Building Consent)

Applicant: Mr Bartlett

Target Date: 09/05/2019

Extension Date: 12/08/2019

Link to case file: [view online here](#)

1 SUMMARY OF THE MAIN ISSUES

The following matter is the main issues to be taken into account when determining this application. These, and all other relevant considerations, are set out and considered in Section 11, of this report after which a conclusion on the planning balance is reached.

1) Impact on the Listed Building.

This matter is being considered by Committee as a contrary view has been expressed by the Town Council

2 THE SITE

Parsonage House is a Grade II Listed Building set within the Fordingbridge Conservation Area. It is set within a large isolated site, which is well treed. It is located in an important site being associated with a moat, noted in the Historic England Register as being built within 1066-1539 and is on the site of Manor of Woodfidley; this is also an area of Archaeological Importance. The original part of the house dates from approximately 1665. There have been additions to the dwelling over the centuries, including the single storey structure on the rear elevation. This single storey structure, referred to as the boot room in the accompanying Heritage Statement, is likely to date from 1872 and originally formed part of a wraparound extension to the dwelling. There have also been recent additions to the dwelling, in the form of a single storey rear conservatory and attached garage with room over.

3 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposal is for a first floor extension, that would continue the line of the existing rear gable with a glazed end elevation. The extension would be over an existing single storey structure possibly dating from 1875. An opening would be created through the existing gable end wall to form access into extension.

There is an associated planning application (item 3i on this agenda).

4 PLANNING HISTORY

Proposal	Decision Date	Decision Description	Status
19/10339 First-floor rear extension			: Item 3i
19/10300 Single-storey extension; roof light			Item 3g
19/10301 Single-storey extension; roof light (Application for Listed Building Consent)			Item 3h
14/10895 Detached garage/store	13/08/2014	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
12/99362 Retention of tree house and decking; rope bridge; zip wire	08/01/2013	Granted	Decided
12/98999 Replacement garage with room over (Application for Listed Building Consent)	07/09/2012	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
12/98990 Replacement garage with room over	07/09/2012	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
12/98996 Single-storey rear extension (Application for Listed Building Consent)	14/09/2012	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
12/98983 Single-storey rear extension	14/09/2012	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
XX/RFR/01441 Erection of a double garage.	06/03/1952	Granted	Decided

5 THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND OTHER NFDC GUIDANCE

The Core Strategy

CS3: Protecting and enhancing our special environment (Heritage and Nature Conservation)

Local Plan Part 2 Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document

DM1: Heritage and Conservation

The Emerging Local Plan

SO3: Built environment and heritage
Policy 11(saved policy DM1): Heritage and Conservation

Supplementary Planning Guidance and other Documents

SPG - Fordingbridge - A Conservation Area Appraisal
SPD - Fordingbridge Town Design Statement

6 RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND GOVERNMENT ADVICE

Relevant Legislation

National Planning Policy Framework:
NPPF Ch.16 - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment
paras 189,193 and 196

7 PARISH / TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS

Fordingbridge Town Council: Recommend (PAR 3) permission as it makes the property more uniform and it won't affect anyone else

8 COUNCILLOR COMMENTS

No comments received

9 CONSULTEE COMMENTS

The following is a summary of the representations received which can be read in full via the link set out at the head of this report.

Conservation Officer: objection as the proposed extension would be harmful to the historic integrity of the Listed Building.

10 REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

None received

11 OFFICER COMMENTS

Introduction

11.1 The only issues when determining this application is the impact of the proposed development on the Listed Building.

Relevant Considerations

Impact on the Listed Building

11.2 Para 189 provides guidance on the requirement of information describing the significance of any heritage assessment, including any contribution made by their setting.

11.3 Para 193 stresses that great weight should be given to the assets conservation.

11.4 At para 196 of the NPPF the guidance states that when the proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal.

11.5 When considering a scheme for this Grade II Listed Building, it is important that it pays due regard to the existing historic fabric as well as the form, scale and mass of the existing building. It is also important that any changes do not result in a loss of significance to the heritage asset, regardless of whether or not this alteration will be visible from a public vantage point.

11.6 Most of the additions to the building are historic, and this adds to the character and significance of the building. The single storey element,

which is proposed to be built over, is likely to be historic and the Conservation Officer is confident that parts of this structure pre date 1872. The presence of foundations is questionable, and this leads to the possibility that structural interventions could be required, though this has not been addressed in the application.

- 11.7 The existing single storey extension is of historic construction, and asymmetric roofline is part of the character and significance of the building relating to a former wraparound extension present on the historic maps. The building retains an original roof purlin which would be lost in the raising of the roof.
- 11.8 The new roof of the proposed first floor extension would link to the old roof, altering the overall appearance of the building, and adding to the bulk and mass of the building. Whereas currently the chimney stack is sited on the end of the gable, the addition would result in this chimney being isolated and incongruous within the extended roof.
- 11.9 The opening in the original rear wall to create a doorway to the new bedroom space, would result in an unacceptable loss of historic fabric and also an unacceptable alteration to the original plan form of the building. Although there have been alterations to the brickwork in this elevation resulting in a straight joint in part of the wall, the brickwork proposed to be removed to make way for the new doorway is of older handmade bricks of historic date. The loss of this brickwork has not been justified and would result in a loss of significance. The alteration to the plan form would result in a detrimental effect on the character and significance of the building.
- 11.10 There have been modern additions to the dwelling, in the form of a rear conservatory and larger pitched roof garage. The proposed first floor extension has been designed to be an obvious modern addition to the property, and would mimic the same architectural style of the conservatory. However this would result in a more suburban style of architecture which would not reflect the traditional, rural appearance of the building. The addition of a further extension would increase the scale and mass of the building which would have a cumulative effect, resulting in an unacceptable impact upon the historic scale and form of the building and erode its architectural integrity.
- 11.11 The proposed development would result in less than substantial harm to the character and appearance of the Listed Building. The building is currently used as a residential dwelling, and the proposal would add an additional bedroom to the existing 5 bedroomed property. Even though this would be of benefit to the applicants, it would not outweigh the harm caused to the Listed Building, set out in the provision of the NPPF para 196.

12 CONCLUSION ON THE PLANNING BALANCE

- 12.1 The proposals have been considered within the relevant local and national policy context. The proposed development would result in harmful additions to the Listed Building, and the loss of historic fabric which cannot be justified and are not outweighed by other benefits. As such, Listed Building Consent is recommended for refusal.

13 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Crime and Disorder

None relevant

Local Finance

Not applicable

Human Rights

In coming to this recommendation, consideration has been given to the rights set out in Article 8 (Right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (Right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions) of the European Convention on Human Rights. Whilst it is recognised that this recommendation, if agreed, may interfere with the rights and freedoms of the applicant to develop the land in the way proposed, the objections to the planning application are serious ones and cannot be overcome by the imposition of conditions. The public interest and the rights and freedoms of neighbouring property owners can only be safeguarded by the refusal of permission.

Equality

The Equality Act 2010 provides protection from discrimination in respect of certain protected characteristics, namely: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or beliefs and sex and sexual orientation. It places the Council under a legal duty to have due regard to the advancement of equality in the exercise of its powers including planning powers. The Committee must be mindful of this duty *inter alia* when determining all planning applications. In particular the Committee must pay due regard to the need to:

- (1) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act;
- (2) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and
- (3) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

14. RECOMMENDATION

REFUSE LISTED BUILDING CONSENT

Reason(s) for Refusal:

1. The proposed first floor extension would increase the scale and mass of the building, and taking into account previous extensions would detract from the architectural integrity of this building by making a further cumulative change to the original form of the Listed Building. Furthermore, the extension would be suburban in style, detracting from the traditional rural appearance of the building. This inappropriate addition would be harmful to the character and appearance of the Listed Building. This would be contrary to Policies CS2

and CS3 of the Core Strategy for the New Forest District outside the National Park, DM1 of the Local Plan Part 2: sites and Development Management Plan, and Chaps 12 and 16 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

2. The proposed development would result in the loss of part of the historic first floor gable wall, and an original roof purlin in the single storey element. There is no justification for the loss of this historic fabric, particularly as part of the gable wall forms part of the older part of the house. Furthermore, the resulting change to the historic plan form would result in less than substantial harm to the character and significance of the building. This development would be contrary to Policies and CS3 of the Core Strategy for the New Forest District outside the National Park, DM1 of the Local Plan Part 2: sites and Development Management Plan, and Chap the National Planning Policy Framework.

Notes for inclusion on certificate:

1. In accordance with paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework and Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015, New Forest District Council takes a positive and proactive approach, seeking solutions to any problems arising in the handling of development proposals so as to achieve, whenever possible, a positive outcome by giving clear advice to applicants.


Pre application advice was sought prior to the application being submitted, however the advice given did not support an extension in this position. Notwithstanding this, an application has been submitted. The application has been judged on its merits, but sufficient justification has not been provided for the works and therefore is not supportable. An extension of time was agreed to allow corrections to the plans to be submitted, but these did not alter the overall scheme or provide further justification for the works. As the application now falls to be determined, there is demonstrable harm to the designated heritage asset, and coupled with the lack of ecology information, a refusal is justified in this case.

2. This decision relates to amended / additional plans received by the Local Planning Authority on 24 June 2019

Further Information:

Kate Cattermole

Telephone: 023 8028 5588



New Forest

DISTRICT COUNCIL

Tel: 023 8028 5000
www.newforest.gov.uk

Claire Upton-Brown
 Chief Planning Officer
 Planning
 New Forest District Council
 Appletree Court
 Lyndhurst
 SO43 7PA

PLANNING COMMITTEE

August 2019

Item No: 3g/3h/3i/3j
 Parsonage House
 Green Lane
 Fordingbridge
 19/10300 -10301-10339 -10340

Scale 1:1250
 N.B. If printing this plan from the internet, it will not be to scale.