

RINGWOOD TOWN ACCESS PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

1. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to seek the adoption of the Ringwood Town Access Plan Supplementary Planning Document.
- 1.2. The importance of improving accessibility to facilities and services within the towns in the district has become an increasingly important, particularly in areas proposed for significant growth. The Ringwood Town Access Plan is a strategy which sets out a shared vision for how access to facilities and services within the town can be improved. It is a joint document of Hampshire County Council (HCC) and New Forest District Council (NFDC), which has been prepared in close cooperation with Ringwood Town Council and the local community.
- 1.3. Production of a Town Access Plan (TAP) for Ringwood is included in the Local Development Scheme, and will form part of the Local Development Framework for New Forest District (outside the National Park). The purpose of this SPD is to provide additional guidance on the implementation of transport schemes, primarily funded by developers contributions, relevant to Core Strategy Policies CS23 (Transport Proposals), CS24 (Transport Considerations) and CS25 (Developers' Contributions) within the adopted Core Strategy. The TAP will also form part of HCC's Local Transport Plan 2 (2006-2011) and the emerging LTP 3 (2011-2031).
- 1.4. The objective of the TAP is to produce an SPD that will carry substantial weight in reaching decisions on planning applications and which will be supported by Inspectors at appeal. The Ringwood Town Access Plan Supplementary Planning Document is the first TAP to be considered for adoption by the Council. When adopted this SPD will provide an action plan for investment in the town identifying transport measures necessary to accommodate existing and future development, guiding and justifying future investment and coordinating funding from different sources.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 There are significant issues related to accessibility within Ringwood and the existing transport infrastructure in the town and a need to mitigate any adverse impact associated with the planned growth within and adjoining Ringwood. The Ringwood TAP identifies transport schemes necessary to address these issues.
- 2.2 In order to be more robust in seeking contributions from developers, for the provision or funding of transport schemes, the Council needed the planning policies in statutory development plan documents (the adopted Core Strategy) and identified transport schemes and improvement measures to be backed up by related Supplementary Planning Documents.
- 2.3 It was agreed that the first Town Access Plan SPD to be prepared would be for Ringwood and a Ringwood Town Access Plan Steering Group was set up to inform the process and agree the methodology. The TAP has been produced through joint partnership working between HCC, NFDC and Ringwood Town

Council. The schemes have been identified by taking into account work previously contained in the Ringwood Walking and Cycling Strategy and the Local Plan First Alteration as well as suggestions from technical officers, Transport Community Action Network members and the local community.

- 2.4 The main source of funding for schemes identified in the TAP Action Plan and scheme schedules will be from developers' contributions. The principal mechanism for securing this funding for the implementation of the TAP is the Transport Contributions Policy (TCP). The County Council and NFDC adopted their TCPs in 2007 and March 2008 respectively. The TCP sets out the levels of contributions to be sought to mitigate the impact of development, in accordance with relevant Government advice and regulations and LDF Policies.
- 2.5 In September 2010 the Planning and Transportation Portfolio Holder agreed to the publication of the draft Ringwood Town Access Plan Supplementary Planning Document for a six week period of public consultation commencing on 18th October 2010 and ending on 30th November 2010.

3. RESULTS OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION

- 3.1 During the period of public consultation 18 respondents made comments. These, together with the officers recommended response to the comments are set out in the schedule in Appendix A to this report. This schedule was discussed by the Ringwood TAP Steering Group at its meeting on 13th December 2010. The group were satisfied with the officers' proposed responses to the comments received and agreed that the Ringwood TAP SPD should go forward to the Cabinet for consideration of its adoption.
- #
- 3.2 Appendix B of this report is the proposed final text of the Ringwood TAP SPD. This final text incorporates some amendments in response to comments received and other minor editing changes. The maps and plans have also had minor alterations made for the final publication following the consultation.
- #

4. CONCLUSIONS

- 4.1 Following the period of public consultation, some limited revisions to the documentation (as identified in the 'Response' column of the Schedule in Appendix A) have been made to the document. However, the document recommended for adoption is substantially the same as that published for public consultation in October/November 2010.
- #
- 4.2 With the Adoption of the final version of the Ringwood TAP Supplementary Planning Document the document becomes part of Local Development Framework for New Forest District (outside the National Park). The document will be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications within the built-up area of Ringwood, and will play a key role in the implementation of Policies CS23, CS24 and CS25 of the adopted Core Strategy.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS / CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS / EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The SPD will increase understanding and appreciation of local accessibility issues in Ringwood and will help improve the quality of sustainable travel infrastructure within the town. The identified proposals have environmental benefits of encouraging walking, cycling and the use of public transport. Traffic calming will also help reduce the risk of personal injury accidents.
- 5.2 There are no crime and disorder implications associated with this report.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1. Direct costs associated with publishing the final adopted document will be contained within existing budgets.

7. COMMENTS OF PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION PORTFOLIO HOLDER

- 7.1. "This document, which describes current and future plans for new and improved transportation access measures for Ringwood, has been drawn up in consultation with local organisations, residents, businesses and elected Councillors. I am more than pleased to recommend adoption of this Planning Document as an achievable contribution to the future viability of the Town, in terms of both community welfare and economic progress."

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1. It is RECOMMENDED that:

- #
- (a) The Ringwood Town Access Plan Supplementary Planning Document (as set out in Appendix B) be adopted as part of the Local Development Framework for New Forest District (outside the National Park).
- (b) The Head of Planning and the Principal Engineer (Transportation), both in consultation with the Portfolio Holder and appropriate HCC officers be authorised to make minor editorial changes to the document and amend the proposals to take account of:
- the recommendations in safety audit reports
 - the conclusions of feasibility studies
- (c) The addition or amendment of individual proposals be delegated to the Portfolio Holder.

For Further Information Please Contact:

Nick Hunt
Principal Engineer
Tel: (023) 8028 5916
E mail: nick.hunt@nfdc.gov.uk

Louise Evans
Principal Policy Planner
Policy and Plans Team
Tel: (023) 8028 5359
E mail: louise.evans@nfdc.gov.uk

Background Papers

Published documents.
Transportation and Planning
Policy section IT files

**Appendix A:
Schedule of consultation responses and officers comments**

Comments on Ringwood TAP

The draft Ringwood TAP was published for public consultation between 18th October and 30th November 2010. Comments were received from 19 respondents. These are set out (in document order) below, together with the initial assessment of how the Council should respond to the comment.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
Whole Document					
	R3	Mr P Gray	Overall the plans make the most of the available routes		Comments noted.
	R2	Mr D Whitfield	New Forest Area Transport Strategy indicated that Ringwood needed Safety and Accessibility improvements.		Comments noted.
	R9	Mr Mick Devine	Supports the TAP but need to sort out the basics first, e.g. the drainage.		Support welcomed. Comments noted for HCC maintenance to investigate. Not a matter for this document.
	R10	New Forest Access Forum	Welcomes the plan and aspirations.		Support welcomed.
	R18	Ringwood Town Council	The document has the full support of the Town Council.		Support welcomed.
	R12	Highways Agency	Considers the TAP to be satisfactory.		Support welcomed.
General	R9	Mr Mick Devine	<p>Overall I welcome the improvements suggested but have a number of concerns.</p> <p>The number of toucan / pedestrian crossings seems excessive, if they were all to be introduced. Traffic calming and safety islands in the middle of roads would appear more appropriate in a number of areas (and a lot cheaper?)</p> <p>I thought NDFC (and Ringwood) had a policy of reducing/minimising traffic signs/traffic furniture - such as putting speed limits painted on the roads rather than actual posts at the sides of the roads. Therefore I would question how compatible is all this additional signage in Ringwood with NDFC policy?</p> <p>The insufficient drainage of water on the roads and inconsiderate drivers who speed through the puddles and splash pedestrians prevent</p>		<p>Support welcomed.</p> <p>Comments noted. Crossings were considered relevant for the locations, taking into consideration road widths and need for safer crossing points for more vulnerable users and people with disabilities.</p> <p>Signage will be provided where appropriate and reducing signage clutter is an aspiration of the Council.</p> <p>Drainage is a maintenance issue and not a matter for this document. It is acknowledged that being heavily splashed is a disadvantage to walking so this matter will be subject of separate investigation.</p>

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			children walking to school in wet weather. It would help if councillors from Town, District and County, in particular Highways, got out of their cars and walked along Hightown Road on a wet morning, when mums with buggies and kids are trying to get to school avoiding the puddles that cars will speed through, then they might have a better idea about why I dont want my daughters sitting in a classroom with soaking wet feet/legs whilst they dry out and end up with flu and miss school.		
General (Blashford)	R5	Mr P Webster	The Blashford Lakes Access Forum is the right place to discuss improvements under the chairmanship of the newly appointed Rights of Way Manager for HCC, Denise Hewlett.	Suggests Ringwood Town Council works more closely with the Blashford Lakes Forum and consider becoming a Strategic Partner.	Comments noted. Members of RTC are members of the Forum.
General (Blashford)	R11	Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	Ringwood TC and NFDC must work more actively on the Blashford Lakes Access Forum to help improve access to the Blashford Lakes on foot and cycle. Paragraph 3.44 of the Blashford Lakes Strategic Management Plan requests that they do not promote access by car.	RTC and NFDC must work more actively on the Blashford Lakes Access Forum	Comments noted, no change as suggested proposal is outside the TAP area. Members of RTC and NFDC officers are members of the Forum. Work involved in the production of the Green Infrastructure Strategy in the Sites and Development Plan document will focus on leisure/recreational routes including the Blashford lakes area.
General (Parking)	R8	S Wilson	Parking and parking restrictions: there is a significant minority who continue to ignore double yellow lines around the town. This was demonstrated by the difficulties on Castleman Way between New Street and Christchurch Road, during and after the recent resurfacing work. The temporary absence of road markings led to people parking right on the junction with Hightown Road, restricting the line of sight and making vehicular exit onto Castleman Way extremely dangerous. People attending the Salvation Army services on		Comments noted, no change required. Parking enforcement issues are not a matter for this document, comments will be forwarded to the parking enforcement team. The School's Travel Plan should address the parking issues associated with the school and seek appropriate solutions and funding to alleviate the problems identified.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			<p>Sunday mornings park on the double yellow lines with apparent impunity. A van was parked all day on 20/11 on double-yellow lines within a few yards of the junction of Hightown Road and The Quomp - I have never seen anyone enforcing parking restrictions anywhere near where we live. However, I do sympathise with those who are genuinely unable to find a legitimate parking spot close to their destination. This is a particular problem in and around the schools. At the Junior School, the recent explosion of adjacent double-yellow lines has not been offset by increased parking provision nearby. The cemetery car park would appear to be ideal and I cannot see a good reason why this could not be used for the short periods required.</p>		
General	R11	Ellingham, Harbrdige and Ibsley Parish Council	<p>The NFDC Core Strategy up to 2026 makes provision at Ringwood for:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 420 new dwellings which equates to about 20 private and social houses a year, which is very poor. There is at present a waiting list of 8 years with 1200 people needing house in the Ringwood area on the list. Low levels of additional housing will add to the traffic problems on our roads, as people cannot live within the town. • Up to 5 hectares of employment which is good, but will only add to the traffic chaos. • Up to 4,500 square metres of town centre retail floor space, which is also good but again will add to the traffic chaos of people accessing and leaving the town via the A31/ A338. 		<p>The Core Strategy has been adopted by NFDC and the proposals in the TAP are to improve existing transport infrastructure as well as mitigate any impact resulting from development in the town. The District Council will monitor the impact of Core Strategy policies to ensure they deliver housing/employment requirements.</p>
General	R12	Highways Agency	<p>Would like to see more detail regarding monitoring and review processes – it's unclear how and when reviews will take place,</p>		<p>Revise text in section 6.9 to reflect the need for better scheme appraisal and monitoring of impact of schemes</p>

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			particularly regards to car use.		on non-motorised and motorised traffic.
General	R13	Mr R Old	Forward planning to consider bringing back rail to join Ringwood to the main network reducing car journeys to London.		Association of Train Operating Companies report 2009 'Connecting Communities' suggesting opening a line along the former Brockenhurst-Wimborne-Poole line at a cost of £70m. Difficult to see how costs will be justified during TAP period. No amendment to text suggested.
General	R5	Mr P Webster	Traffic using the Gorley Road has increased a great deal due to the Doctor's Surgery in Poulner, Tesco, Poulner School and the closure of Ibsley Village Shop and Post Office.		Comments noted. No change proposed.
SECTION 1					
Paragraph 1.12	R5	Mr P Webster	Strongly agrees with all the objectives particularly with regard to high quality walking and cycle routes.		Support welcomed.
1.14	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Should reference be made in this paragraph to the next LTP (2011-2026)?		Amend paragraph to reflect the emerging LTP3: hants.gov.uk/hampshire-transport/local-transport-plan/ltp-consultation.htm
1.18	R18	Ringwood Town Council		Reword third line to “..this funding is through implementation of the Transport Contributions Policy..”	Text to be amended as suggested.
SECTION 2					
General	R16	Cllr Treleaven	Suggest more formal link between the access problems and the proposed solutions. For example, para 2.3 highlights difficulties caused by A31, which has led to schemes PC1, PC10, PC13 etc. Cross-referencing in some way would improve public understanding of why certain schemes are included. It would also highlight lack of solutions to problems posed e.g. para 2.16 refers to High St. problems but there is no scheme contributing to solutions,	Cross referencing between section 2 and 3 required.	Action plan final column to be amended to cross reference back to paragraph number in preceding text where the problem is stated.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			why not? (See also TMH4)		
2.1	R14	Ringwood and Fordingbridge Footpath Society.	Access to the riverside: Desirable but in many places difficult to achieve except at great cost due to the level of the water table and winter flooding.		Comments noted. No change proposed.
2.2	R16	Cllr Treleaven	Avon Valley Footpath: No reference made to this important pedestrian access route which traverses the Town. Amend the map R706(R)v2 accordingly and comment somewhere whether this footpath is fine as it is or whether there are any access improvements needed within the Town.	Make reference to path, review if path is satisfactory and add to plan in Appendix 3	Path is considered fit for purpose and changes will be made to the text to reflect this. It will also be annotated on the map as suggested.
2.4	R18	Ringwood Town Council	The map of key facilities does not include much of the Town Council's leisure/open spaces facilities, e.g. Ash Grove Play Area, North Poulner Open Space, Toad Corner Play Area, 3 x allotment sites, 10 acre field, Jubilee Gardens, Danny Cracknell Pocket Park and The Mount. There is also a green blob over Moortown – could you confirm what this relates to please?	Add to plan.	Locations of facilities to be added as requested and plan enlarged. "Green blob" is a David Lloyd health and leisure club.
2.8	R18	Ringwood Town Council		Reword second to last line to "...highlighted that many footways had the scope to be improved to meet the.."	Text to be changed as suggested.
2.9	R11	Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	Residents in our Parish are enduring the knock on effects of traffic congestion problems on the A31 at Ringwood with heavy traffic at peak flow times and on forest roads including the Linwood Road and Gorley Road when the A31/A338 are impassable.		Comments noted. No change required.
2.17 (see TMH4/5 below also)	R5	Mr P Webster	It is too late to improve the A31/A338 junction at Ringwood before the 2012 Olympics but the West Street Access must be closed now.		The A31 scheme is currently not included in the HA's "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list. Text to be amended to reflect this and the aspiration to investigate closing West Street independently of the Highways Agency scheme.
2.17	R16	Cllr Treleaven	A31 Improvements: Amend paragraph as a	Para. 2.17 needs	Paragraph to be amended as above.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
(see TMH5 below also)			result of the recent Highways Agency announcement that the westbound lane project will not be delivered in the short term (at least). Also, there were no VMS systems installed in Summer 2010 – although promised, we are still waiting! There is however still a case for considering West St exit to A31 being closed.	amending	VMS to be installed in December 2010 but may have been delayed due to recent bad weather. West St closure to be investigated independently of the Highways Agency scheme which has now been dropped by the HA.
2.17(see TMH5 below also)	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Should this paragraph be reviewed in light of the recent HA decision?		Yes – text to be amended as above.
2.17 (see TMH5 below also)	R12	Highways Agency	A31 is not included in the HA's "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list, therefore asks for revisions to para 2.17, in particular where it refers to <i>"Details of this proposal are in the Longer Term Schemes schedule (Section 5.3), although at the time of writing there was uncertainty over the availability of funding for these proposals"</i>		Text and action plan to be amended.
2.18	R10	New Forest Access Forum	Furlong car park design, results in serious bottle necks, as motorists take up the first spaces available. This creates many empty spaces further into the car park while queues form out onto the access road. Traffic flow configuration should be re-examined.	Modify routing within car park	The Furlong car park layout is to be amended as part of redevelopment of the visitor information centre (see paragraph 2.22). No change required. See Planning application no: 10/96354
2.19	R18	Ringwood Town Council		P12 – 2.19 – in fourth line delete 's' from "terms"	Text to be amended as requested.
2.23	R1	Community Safety Officer NFDC	There are occasional references to reduction of crime but no details on crime incidents along the access routes and transport modes; or where local people are fearful of use during day/night hours. There is reference to improving the lighting on foot routes where there are other works in the action plan however I would have also expected to see areas highlighted where maintenance is an issue. In summary I believe there are some key considerations that the document would benefit from		References to crime to be amended in text. Suggest amending last sentence of paragraph to 'and alleviate fear of crime'. This will avoid adding data on crime levels and research into whether there are specific crime incidences on certain routes. Lighting improvements will be delivered through lighting Private Finance Initiative – available via this link Street Lighting PFI 2010-2035

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What routes and transport modes are people fearful of using in the daylight hours and the night time hours 2. What routes/transport modes did people use previously but now don't – and why? 3. What are the actual crime/asb/disorder incidents along the access routes, occurring on public transport, or at 'terminals for transport routes' e.g. car parks, bike parks, bus stops etc. 		
2.25	R5	Mr P Webster	Rat runs have not all been eliminated. Some westbound traffic on the A31 leaves the A31 at Cadnam Roundabout and pass through Brook and Linwood to Gorley Road. As many as 400 vehicles an hour have been counted.	Signs must be put up on the M27 and A31 advising motorists that these roads are for access only and no through traffic is permitted.	Comments noted. New signage on these routes would not be considered appropriate and over provision could lead to sign clutter, which has road safety implications and can also be inefficient and unsightly.
2.25	R5	Mr P Webster	A nice path and cycle way by the A338 from Ringwood northwards may have been put in place but unfortunately stopped 35 yards short of the Blashford Lakes at the Ivy Lane Junction. Walkers and cyclists have to use the dangerous A338 or Gorley Road. People do not realise it is possible to access the lakes on foot by walking along the verge of the A338 for 35 yards and simply walk over a bridge to get on the permissive path by Ellingham Lake.	This section of path must be improved and signposted as soon as possible.	Comments noted. No change as this falls outside the TAP area, however the extension of the cycle route to Ivy Lane will be investigated. (A narrow footpath north of Snails Lane to Ivy Lane exists, this would require widening and removal of grass verge)
2.25	R11	Ellingham, Harbrdige and Ibsley Parish Council	Para 2.25 refers to the Provision of A338 cycle route as a successful scheme. It is a great pity that our Parish Council was not consulted as we would have suggested that this path and cycle way should gain access to the Blashford Lakes and not stop in the middle of nowhere, as it does now.		Comments noted. No change required. Cycle route implemented as part of HCC's A338 major maintenance scheme. The Parish were consulted by HCC prior to implementation and the route could not be extended at that time.
2.25	R8	Mr S Wilson	I agree with the council's assertion that the closure of the Quomp has been a success and has made walking and cycling both safer and more pleasant. Key to the success appears to be the comprehensive trials conducted		Comments noted. No change required.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			beforehand, to establish the best place at which to make the closure.		
2.27	R6	Go South Coast	It states that Wilts & Dorset operate route the route between Southampton and Ringwood, and town circular service. These links are provided by other operators. Officers in the Passenger Transport Group and HCC will be able to confirm the full details. This paragraph doesn't mention the X3 route which runs every thirty minutes to Bournemouth and Salisbury. The route to Verwood (36) also services Bournemouth, so there are three buses each hour to Bournemouth. The 36 also stops adjacent to Bournemouth rail station, so the links to nearby rail stations are also understated in your report.	I would hope that these points could be corrected and due consideration given to the role they play for the residents and visitors in Ringwood.	Update from HCC's Passenger Transport has been provided. Text to be reworded to ensure accuracy.
2.27	R10	New Forest Access Forum	We would like to see the feasibility of bus services to Bournemouth and Southampton airports being investigated.	Feasibility study	There is no prospect of funding such routes as there are existing shuttle buses from Bournemouth town centre and the Airport parkway rail service for Southampton which would provide direct competition.
2.29	R19	National Express	Ringwood is an important location on the National Express network of coach services. Therefore it is welcomed that you recognise the role that coach plays in the Ringwood transport scene		Comments noted. No change required.
2.34	R18	Ringwood Town Council		In seventh line change favourable to favourably	Text to be amended as suggested.
2.42	R18	Ringwood Town Council	2.42 – the paragraph number referred to in the second line (3.12) does not exist		Text to be amended, paragraph no should be 2.16
SECTION 3					
3.1 Cycleways and footpaths	R14	Ringwood and Fordingbridge Footpath Society	Particularly keen to see an extension of the Castleman Trailway into the New Forest. Cycleways that are safe and off road are needed. The route northwards needs to link to the Blashford lakes and continue onwards into the New Forest National Park.	Cycle routes should not be on highways. A programme for the removal of stiles and other barriers is desirable.	Comments noted. DfT guidance advises that pedestrians and cyclists should generally be accommodated on streets rather than routes segregated from motor traffic. The guidance sets out the benefits of this. Some off road routes are

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
					proposed and barriers will be removed where appropriate. No change as the extension of the cycle route to Ivy Lane falls outside the TAP area however will be investigated as part of the Green Infrastructure Strategy.
3.1	R5	Mr P Webster	It is vital that children can walk, cycle or take a bus to Poulner School because of the volume of traffic arising due to the School Run.		Comments noted. No change required. Some of the schemes in the TAP aims to improve access to the schools. The school's travel plans should indicate travel options available to pupils.
3.5	R10	New Forest Access Forum	Fig 4.1 Physical Barriers: We would like to see mention of the replacement of stiles with kissing gates as being desirable.	Mention desire to replace stiles with kissing gates.	Text will be added to note this. Fig. 4.1 to be amended.
SECTION 5					
General (Priorities)	R16	Cllr Treleaven	Scheme Priorities: It is clear that the majority of funding for the proposed Schemes depends on Developers' Contributions, and the schemes must relate to the particular development for which Contributions are claimed, but an order of priority should be provided as to which scheme should be developed in locations where there are choices. E.g. in the southern area of Ringwood there is a demonstrable and arguably urgent need to provide at least one protected pedestrian crossing point for pedestrians across B3347 Christchurch Road (PC20,22-24). In contrast, there is no demonstrable urgent need to create a cycleway between Crow Arch Lane and Moortown Lane (PC6). In view of the probability that either of these needs could be addressed by the same development contribution, it should be clear as to preference.	It would be helpful, for future development, to prioritise many if not all of the listed Schemes.	Text to be reworded following Steering Group Meeting. The action plan will be updated with committed schemes. Issues of priorities could prevent funding be collected for some of the "lesser priority" schemes . Local NFDC and HCC Councillors are consulted and their views carefully considered before decisions made regarding allocation of funding to particular schemes.
General (Priorities)	R10	New Forest Access Forum	Schemes costs seem substantial so schemes should be loosely prioritised to ensure money spent on design is not spent on works which		As above. Detailed design is progressed after transport developers' contributions are

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			are unlikely to happen within a reasonable period.		allocated to a proposal.
General (Priorities)	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Members identified the following schemes as a priority for implementation, and would like to see these flagged up in the final document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PC11 – Castleman Way to Town Centre cycle route – p27 • PC17 – Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing in Christchurch Road near to Greyfriars – p28 • PC21 – footway widening in Southampton Road, west of Frampton Place – p29 • PC29 – Town centre pedestrian signs – p30 • PT1 – New bus shelters in Southampton Road – p34 	Mark schemes as a priority.	As above
SECTION 5.1					
Section 5.1 AP1 (Southampton Road Improvements)	R2	Mr D Whitfield	The improvement of Southampton Road south of Mansfield road is commendable; however there was the opportunity to make this area and High St so much better, at the same time. Safety of pedestrians is paramount and removing as much traffic from the very narrow confines of the town centre would benefit all.		Comments noted. No change required.
Section 5.1 AP1	R9	Mr Mick Devine	I am continually amazed at the lack of speed at which the work is progressing on Southampton Road.		Comments noted. No change required.
Section 5.1 AP3 (Extension of footpath alongside Bickerley Road)	R7	Mr & Mrs Lachlan	Surprised this is proposed in times of funding shortages, especially before funding for long planned safety improvements along Bickerley Road [NFDC Local Plan RW-6]. RTC (landowner) should make a footpath adjacent to the road and common, possibly just cutting a strip of grass and add gravel if it becomes muddy.	Delete 'Delivery' and 'Funding' comments, and replace with: "Ringwood Town Council to be encouraged to implement this by providing a footpath on their land near the edge of Bickerley Common. RTC should raise necessary funds including contributions	Comments noted. No change to text is proposed as the path is a committed scheme in the action plan and will continue the existing path along Bickerley Common. Developers funding is being held for this scheme and cannot be used for any other purpose in accordance with the provisions of a legal agreement.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
				from Ringwood Carnival which has benefited from using Bickerley Common in recent years". This source funded the purchase of Greyfriars for use as a Community Centre.	
Section 5.1 AP4	R8	Mr S Wilson	This recently completed scheme on Hightown Road has already resulted in a number of near misses as drivers struggle to comprehend who has right of way (or just ignore the "Give Way" signs and continue through at speed). Vehicles also mount the pavement, presenting a danger to pedestrians which did not exist before. This scheme is overly complex, which seems to be a direct result of its placement, on a bend, adjacent to 2 road junctions. The more complicated the solution to a problem, the greater the scope for unintended consequences. It is also significant that this scheme, in sharp contrast to the Quomp closure, was only trialed for one day.		Comments noted. No change required. The scheme and associated signage will slow traffic at this location and assist the crossing of the road at this point, benefiting cyclists and pedestrians crossing the road. Scheme to be modified to reduce traffic speed and improve conditions for pedestrians
AP4	R10	New Forest Access Forum	There is a greater case for additional traffic calming in Eastfield Lane than in Hightown Road. This could be verified by a survey of relative speeds. There is also a better case for a pedestrian crossing near Ash Grove. We do not think that the reference to "assisting the Castleman Way to Quomp pedestrian/cycle route" has any validity.		Comments do not directly refer to scheme AP4. Traffic calming along Eastfield Lane already provided and a crossing near Ash Grove will be considered.
SECTION 5.2					
5.2 (General)	R3	Mr P Gray	Overall the plans make the most of the available routes		Comments welcomed
5.2 (General)	R5	Mr P Webster	These policies are to be welcomed but will need a lot of money from Developers to finance.		Comments noted, no change required.
5.2 (General)	R11	Ellingham, Harbridge and	The Council approves the proposals that would benefit residents of our Parish e.g.		Comments welcomed, no change required.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
		Ibsley Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PC7 - to improve access to Linford Bottom and the New Forest by cycle through improvements to the Linford Road. • PC12 - to improve access by cycle to Poulner Open Space and so to the Blashford Lakes. • PC16 - to make Gorley Road safer to cycle on from Poulner to the Hyde direction to gain access to the New Forest. • PC27 - to improve the Avon Valley Path from Hurst Corner north to the Blashford Lakes 		
5.2 (General)	R10	New Forest Access Forum	The existing network of cycle tracks is poorly signposted. Additional small signs for existing cycle routes and the proposed additional routes will help to raise awareness and usage.		Comments noted. Better signage of existing routes will be added to proposals, where necessary noting desire to avoid sign clutter.
5.2 (General)	R10	New Forest Access Forum	There are a number of existing off-road routes which are used by cyclists not in the report. e.g from the north end of Eastfield Lane to Parsonage Barn Lane, from Eastfield Lane to Old Barn Close, from Ash Grove to the Elm Tree pub, and from the west end of the Bickerley to the Market Square. There may well be others. We think these should be identified and incorporated into the plan.	Amend Plan in Appendix 3	No change. Existing legal cycle routes are identified on the maps to provide context and identify where the gaps are. Informal routes and footways (not designated for cycle use) will not be added to the maps. The routes identified are footpath routes.
5.2 (General)	R8	Mr S Wilson	The walking and cycling strategy improvements cited at para 2.25 and the future plan have a very strong cycling element (PC1-15). Pedestrians form the vast majority of the walking/cycling group (and are likely to remain so), so the balance between walking and cycling projects – and expenditure – looks wrong. Walking is the most convenient way to access the town centre, particularly when the journey time is 5-10 minutes maximum. With the exception of the major roads at peak times, journeys around Ringwood for the confident		Comments noted, no change required. The schemes proposed have taken account of the Ringwood walking and cycling strategy. Where possible the cycle routes are on the carriageway but to increase safety if feasible off road routes have been proposed. Improved and additional walking routes will be looked at as part of the open space and green infrastructure work being carried out

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			and proficient cyclist are both easy and relatively safe. Many less-confident cyclists might resort to the pavement. According to Cycling England, "the greatest reduction in pavement cycling will come from continued investment by central and local government in better road design, cycle training, cycle parking and speed reduction initiatives to make cycling safer". Some of these elements are largely absent from the RTAP.		as part of the preparatory work for the Sites and Development Management DPD. Cycle parking is proposed within the plan – see scheme ref PC 30.
Section 5.2 PC3	R3	Mr P Gray	Carvers field and PC3 adjacent to the Infant school are particularly advantageous in joining up routes.		Comments welcomed.
Section 5.2 PC4	R7	Mr and Mrs Lachlan	There is fast moving traffic mixing with pedestrians on Bickerley Road (no pavement on the north side of the road). A footway would help access Bickerley Common and homes in this area as it is difficult for pedestrians and cyclists to cross from Bickerley Road to Castleman Way. To save money, a footway could be delineated on north side of Bickerley Road, as has been done in Kingsbury's Lane, and where Bickerley Road is too narrow the dangerous roadside ditch moved a few feet southwards.	This scheme should include the provision of footway [and cycle way] urgently needed on north side of Bickerley Road, to improve road safety for all road users.	No change required, an existing footpath is provided along much of the south side of Bickerley Road. An extension of the footpath on the southern side of Bickerley Road to the north west of the junction with Kingsbury's Lane is proposed to help address the problems for pedestrians – see scheme ref AP3.
Section 5.2 PC6	R18	Ringwood Town Council		In purpose of scheme column, add the words "and leisure facilities" after "estate"	Text to be amended as indicated.
Section 5.2 PC7	R18	Ringwood Town Council		change "NPA" to "National Park"	Text to be amended as indicated.
Section 5.2 PC8	R18	Ringwood Town Council		in purpose of scheme column, change "estate" to "estates"	Text to be amended as indicated.
Section 5.2 PC8	R10	New Forest Access Forum	There is a great need to connect Ringwood with the New Forest south east of the town, preferably building on what has already been provided via the route of the old railway line.		Comment noted, no change required, links to recreational areas will be looked at as part of the open space and green infrastructure work

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
					being carried out as part of the preparatory work for the Sites and Development Management DPD.
Section 5.2 PC11/PC15	R3	Mr P Gray	Awkward joining of routes by crossing on Mansfield road – especially as PC14 seems to have 30m on Mansfield Road itself after emerging from Carvers Lane.	Move route to Collins Lane and Middle Lane.	No change required. The routes link directly to the crossing on Mansfield Road providing a safer crossing point for cyclists.
Section 5.2 PC11	R8	Mr S Wilson	I was always told that the place for bikes is on the road or a dedicated cycle path. Cycling on the pavement is subject to a £30 fixed penalty notice due to the significant hazard to pedestrians. A number of the proposals (eg PC 11) go against this established logic by combining footpaths and cyclepaths. A separating white line down the centre of a combined path is essentially useless, as a cyclist friend of mine discovered when an elderly lady wandered in front of him and died from the resulting collision. Most pedestrians have little concept of how serious a collision with a bike can be and often walk out into the road in front of oncoming bikes.		The cycle routes, where possible are proposed to be on the carriageway but to increase safety, if feasible, off carriageway routes have been proposed in the form of a shared cycle path, having regard to the level of use of both pedestrians and cyclists. The Highway Code says cyclists should “take care when passing pedestrians, especially children, older or disabled people, and allow them plenty of room. Always be prepared to slow down and stop if necessary.”
Section 5.2 PC11	R18	Ringwood Town Council	The proposed Castleman Way crossing appears to have been identified on the wrong map – it should be included on drawing no. R754 (RI)	Amend map	No change required. It forms part of the cycle route and scheme ref PC11 so was shown on the cycle routes plan.
Section 5.2 PC12	R18	Ringwood Town Council		change “Lake” to “Lakes”	Text to be amended as indicated.
Section 5.2 PC13	R10	New Forest Access Forum	The provision of the cycle track northwards alongside the Salisbury road is commendable, an extension of this towards Blashford Lakes would be extremely beneficial.	Extend cycle route	Comments noted, no change as suggested proposal is outside the TAP area, however the extension of the cycle route to Ivy Lane will be investigated. (A narrow footpath north of Snails Lane to Ivy Lane exists, this would require widening and removal of grass verge to cater for cyclists)
Section 5.2 PC14	R3	Mr P Gray	Not clear how route northwards would work along Moortown area. Would this be a	Move route to Collins Lane and Middle Lane.	No change required. Assumed reference is to PC15, the cycle route

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			northbound cycle lane along the verge on the East side? Otherwise a crossing of the road to join and exit the turnout (green area) would be pointless, and the situation for northbound cycling unchanged from the present. A right turn opposite the Wellworthy site would be particularly un-appealing. Suggests a continuous bi-directional cycle lane along east verge of Christchurch Road.		is adjacent to road utilising the verge on eastern side of Christchurch Road and service road, then passing through Wellworthy site. More experienced cyclists may prefer Christchurch Road but the less experienced, including child cyclists, also need to be catered for.
Section 5.2 PC 15	R8	Mr S Wilson	Any path which deviates significantly from the most direct route (eg PC15) runs the risk of a significant number not using it. Why would a cyclist (cycling along Christchurch Road from south) heading to the town centre choose to turn right into New Street? This defies logic.		Comment noted, no change required. The cycle routes, where possible are proposed to be on the carriageway but to increase safety, if feasible, off road routes have been proposed avoiding major junctions. This route also provides a link from the former Wellworthy site to the town centre.
Section 5.2 PC 16	R5	Mr P Webster	The suggested cost of £5,000 is not enough due to the narrowness of Gorley Road and heavy traffic as mentioned above. Gorley Road is popular with cyclists but is dangerous. The reduction of traffic on this road is vital, as verges are being destroyed. The section of road between North Poulner Road and the ford at Linbrook is popular with walkers and horse riders. The overhanging branches and hedges must be removed to enable cyclists, walkers and horse riders to be seen more readily. The branches obscuring the width restriction signs at North Poulner Road junction with the Gorley Road must be removed NOW.		The route is on road and is likely to be identified by some signage/road markings, therefore cost is relatively low. Removal of overhanging branches is a maintenance issue and not a matter for the TAP.
Section 5.2 PC26	R2	Mr D Whitfield	A pedestrian crossing could be installed to the Furlong during the course of the works in developing the Visitor Information Centre.		Comments noted. Pedestrian improvements in this area are included in scheme PC26.
Section 5.2 PC27	R5	Mr P Webster	The state of this section of the Avon Valley Path is a disgrace, especially due to the roots across the path, leaning trees, no fence by the		No change required. Improvements to be addressed as part of this scheme.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			stream at one point, deep shade and no views.		
Section 5.2 New Scheme (Blashford)	R5	Mr P Webster	Nothing has been done to encourage access to the lakes on foot or by bicycle from Ringwood. Blashford Lakes has permissive paths but they have to be made user friendly and connected to paths and cycleways from the surrounding town and villages. There are no cycle routes or bridleways at the Blashford Lakes.	Cycle routes and footpaths to be added.	See below.
Section 5.2 New Schemes (Blashford) Also relevant to Section 5.2 PC7/12/16	R10	New Forest Access Forum	TAP should be extended to incorporate the following access issues relating to the Blashford Lakes complex, which has huge potential as a recreation asset for the town. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grid References SU 155 093 to SU152 083 designation of all-year access of existing path 2. Creation of path and cycleway SU 148 093 to SU 150 072 to the side of the A338 3. Establishment of path through Blashford Lakes site, SU 151 083 to SU 159 085 linking car park to open access woodland area 4. Creation of path, bridleway and cycle way along road leading north from North Poulner Road SU 162 066 to SU 162 069 		Comments noted, no change required. Work involved in the production of the Green Infrastructure Strategy in the Sites and Development Plan document will focus on leisure/recreational routes including the Blashford lakes area.
Section 5.2 New Scheme (Blashford)	R18	Ringwood Town Council	A new scheme to improve access to recreation areas in the north of Ringwood and improved connectivity for walking to Blashford Lakes. The (£30k) scheme would make good the vehicle access road and create a safe pedestrian route Access to Poulner Lakes (off North Poulner Road)	Add new scheme to TAP	No change required, see above.
Section 5.2 New Scheme	R3	Mr P Gray	Eastfield Lane has Scout Huts on each side of the A31. Provision of a cycle lane would be desirable for an area with heavy youth usage.	Cycle routes to be added	No change. The adjacent over-bridge and associated roundabout and slip road is not considered to be a potential cycle route. However the proposal PC2 is available.
Section 5.2	R17	Ringwood and	Lack of pedestrian crossing points along High	Provide crossing points at	In the High Street there is no single

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
New Scheme		Fordingbridge Club for the Blind	Street and on Christchurch Road between junction with Mansfield Road and Fridays Cross. (Also see TMH4 below)	these locations.	point where pedestrian crossing could meet pedestrian desire lines. It is suggested that, as in Lymington High Street, a number of uncontrolled crossing points, possibly including build outs be provided. In Christchurch Road, potential crossing point locations to be investigated for uncontrolled crossings.
Section 5.2 New Scheme	R8	Mr S Wilson	Christchurch Road: more needs to be done to improve the pedestrian friendliness of the section between the roundabouts at Castleman Way and Bickerley Gardens. In particular, there is no pedestrian crossing on this section, one located in the vicinity of the fire station would also slow traffic along this straight stretch. There is a very narrow pavement on the slight bend opposite the Woodstock Lane exit and this can be quite dangerous, particularly when large vehicles pass.	Provide new crossings	No change required. Crossing points are to be provided near the war memorials, see scheme ref PC17.
SECTION 5.3					
Section 5.3 New scheme	R15	Mr B Knott	Open space between Christchurch Road and service road. Request for the council to drop the kerb so vehicles may relocate to the front of the houses on the service road side, reducing the need for parking on the grass. It would be nice to see at least some of the area protected as a green open space for the benefit of the local residents as it was originally intended.	New transport scheme to allow parking in this area.	No final response can be given until the status of the land is known. Generally parking on highway verges is not encouraged nor is driving across footways except to access property.
Section 5.3 TMH1(Cloughs Road)	R13	Mr R Old	One way on Clough Road will increase traffic speed and numbers on Eastfield Lane (south bound), as no traffic will slow to turn into Clough Road. It is already difficult to exit properties here.	If it goes ahead it will require traffic calming to slow traffic (priority for northbound) on Eastfield Lane.	Comments noted. If road made one way speed will be monitored with consideration being given to speed tables if traffic speeds are inappropriately high.
Section 5.3 TMH3	R13	Mr R Old	In widening junction, consideration should also be given to moving the traffic island as traffic strays onto opposite side of the road.	Move traffic island so that all northbound traffic is directed to the left of the traffic island.	No change required. Location of traffic island will be considered as part of the junction improvement design.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
TMH4	R2	Mr D Whitfield	<p>Irrelevant of whether West Street is closed or not, a considerable amount of traffic leaves the Market Square via the High Street. There is considerable congestion on a daily basis, vehicles mount pavements, illegally parked cars and delivery vehicles parked on the pavement means more danger to pedestrians. To alleviate this problem, and the one that will occur in Southampton Road, would be to change the direction of traffic through Meeting House Lane into the Market Square at the western end of the High Street, so that traffic would flow into the town car park in a north easterly direction. This would solve a lot of the congestion within the High Street and would also mean that traffic wanting to exit the Market Square and head for Poulner or Salisbury and the north of the town would not be using the new 'shared space' of Southampton Road. Whilst it would mean more traffic using the Stallards Lane and Furlong car park roads, these are not in such close proximity to pedestrians, albeit that there is the Furlong Shopping Centre opposite the main car park and are much wider than the High Street.</p>	<p>Change the direction of traffic through Meeting House Lane into the Market Square at the western end of the High Street</p>	<p>Technical comments - the acknowledged congestion inevitably reduces traffic speeds and the accident record is good for a town centre traffic route. Currently there are only two routes into the Market Place area. Altering Meeting House Lane to one-way northbound would create a large over length cul-de-sac. This is not recommended, especially because it would result in no alternative access for emergency vehicles if the High Street was blocked. A workable proposal would need to include other elements to provide another secondary access and is proposed for investigation under scheme ref TMH4. Ringwood Town Council is reconsidering the benefits of a Prohibition of Driving Order for the Market Place/High Street area on Market Days (Wednesdays). Their decision is anticipated prior to Cabinet. If they reaffirm their support for an Experimental Prohibition of Driving Order this is likely to be progressed in 2011/12.</p> <p><u>Postscript:</u> <i>The Town Council originally supported the experimental closure of Market Place on Market days, however in February 2011 the Town Council firmly rejected the proposal. They did not think that the proposal would be effective, particularly as most local traffic avoids this area on Market days anyway.</i></p>

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
TMH4	R16	Cllr Treleven	<p>Market Square/High Street/West Street: Although dismissively referred to in para 2.16, the adopted Town Council 2008 Town Plan (and Strategic Implementation Plan) refers to the need to re-appraise the case for altering the traffic management arrangements for this crucially important Town Centre area. The case for either pedestrianising the High Street or making it one way with a return route via Meeting House Lane, with the A31 being made inaccessible from West Street has frequently been argued, and it is a lamentable omission that these possibilities are not even discussed. I am aware that a former Ringwood County Councillor offered to make a case for part or total pedestrianisation at County level, but this offer was declined by the then Town Council. But, many changes have happened since that time:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a different Town Council • increased traffic levels both within the Town and through it (including vehicles using the Market Place/West St as a 'rat-run' to avoid the A31 congestion further east) • the introduction in certain Towns of 'smart card' operation of retractable bollards to allow resident and delivery access only to restricted streets • the anecdotal view of some retailers and residents that reduced through traffic would enhance this Town Centre area as an attraction for shopping, al fresco cafes, and pedestrians • present concern (public petition) regarding closing the A31 access through West St. on Market Day. 	In view of this and importance to Town Centre viability TMH4 should be strengthened and the scope widened.	As above.
TMH4	R13	Mr R Old	Consider changing direction of travel from Meeting House Lane to Market Place to be one		As above

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
			way, to enable exit to A31 closed off.		
TMH4	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Should this scheme be reviewed in light of the recent HA decision?		Yes. As above.
TMH4	R10	New Forest Access Forum	Concern that the issue of congestion and traffic/pedestrian conflict in the Market Place and West St, particularly on market days, will be shelved, following cancellation of the planned additional joining lane to the A31.	Continue to investigate solution to problem	As above
TMH4	R18	Ringwood Town Council	A new scheme should be provided to restrict vehicle access through the Market and improve layout of Market. To improve the safety of pedestrians in the Market Place on Market Days (Wednesdays)	Amend scheme	As above. <i>Postscript:</i> <i>The Town Council originally supported a proposal for closure of Market Place on Market days, however in February 2011 the Town Council firmly rejected the proposal. They did not think that the proposal would be effective, particularly as most local traffic avoids this area on Market days anyway.</i>
TMH4	R5	Mr P Webster	It is too late to improve the A31/A338 junction at Ringwood before the 2012 Olympics but the West Street Access must be closed now.		As above
TMH4	R17	Ringwood and Fordingbridge Club for the Blind	Lack of pedestrian crossing points along High Street and on Christchurch Road between junction with Mansfield Road and Fridays Cross.	Provide crossing points at these locations.	The congestion inevitably reduces traffic speeds and the accident record is good for a town centre traffic route. Provision of pedestrian facilities is proposed for investigation under scheme ref TMH4, as is a Prohibition of Driving Order in this area on Market Days (Wednesday). <i>Postscript: Ringwood Town Council originally supported an experimental closure of Market Place on Market days, however in February 2011 the Town Council firmly rejected the proposal. They did not think that the proposal would be effective, particularly as most local traffic avoids this area on Market days</i>

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
					<i>anyway.</i>
TMH5 (A31 and A31/A338 junction, safety and capacity improvements):	R7	Mr and Mrs Lachlan	The proposal is unaffordable given UK economy. This proposal could make matters worse and waste money. TMH5 proposal should be postponed until the construction of the long planned much needed westward extension of M27 across the New Forest, which when built would probably be a more efficient way of improving this junction. Unless the Somerfield petrol station and the Fish Inn are to be closed, a slip road will still needed for these businesses & therefore there is no real need to close the West Street access to the A31, as it can continue to share the access to the Somerfield petrol station.	Simplify the scheme to take account of the economic problems of the UK. In the meantime the West Street access to A31 and the slip road to Somerfield could be made safer by the provision of warning signs to alert traffic on A31 and West Street for drivers to take care.	Text to be amended to reflect the A31 scheme is currently not included in the Highways Agency "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list.
TMH5	R4	Mr C Hoff	To refer to my comments to Ringwood Council in February 2010, I feel the whole atmosphere of the town centre will be improved regarding pedestrian safety and traffic congestion once access from West Street to the A31 is closed. There is absolutely no advantage in motor vehicles using the exit, and doing so causes traffic to back-up through the town during busy periods. This also affects daily commuter cyclists like myself coming home, who regularly have to take defensive action due to cars often travelling in the middle of the road in the opposite direction. There is also the other advantage of better traffic control on the A31.	Please ensure the exit is blockaded by pillars or similar method, as road signs on their own can easily be ignored.	Text to be amended to reflect the A31 scheme is currently not included in the Highways Agency "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list. Investigatory work regarding the closure of West Street is proposed under TMH4. <i>Postscript: Ringwood Town Council originally supported an experimental closure of Market Place on Market days, however in February 2011 the Town Council firmly rejected the proposal. They did not think that the proposal would be effective, particularly as most local traffic avoids this area on Market days anyway.</i>
TMH5	R12	Highways Agency	A31 is not included in the HA's "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list, therefore asks for revisions to the reference made in the schemes table on pg33.		Text to be amended to reflect the A31 scheme is currently not included in the Highways Agency "Investment in Highways Transport Schemes" list.
TMH5	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Should this scheme be reviewed in light of the recent HA decision?		As above.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
TMH8 (Speed reduction measures at Bickerley Road at its junction with Bickerley Gardens)	R7	Mr and Mrs Lachlan	There is an urgent need for traffic calming. Traffic often accelerates along eastern section of Bickerley Road, making crossing difficult particularly for children and elderly people. The current experimental King's Arms Lane one-way system has caused traffic to be funnelled off several car parks and shopping streets on to this narrow residential road (with no pavements).	Traffic calming should be provided, especially on the eastern section of Bickerley Road. Implementation of other highway improvements as detailed in Local Plan Policy RW-6 should be encouraged.	Comments noted. No change required. Kings Arm Lane has been subject to an Experimental One Way Traffic Order. The period for objections was 6 months plus and representations have been carefully considered. It is anticipated that the Order will shortly be made permanent (the alternative being to take no action which will result in the Experimental Order lapsing automatically). This scheme reference is to be changed to TMH5.
TMH8	R18	Ringwood Town Council	This scheme relates to poor visibility on the exit from Bickerley Gardens and not speed. Drivers exiting right can't see down the road due to parked cars and often commit themselves to find a vehicle coming towards them in the opposite direction. This has caused some severe delays in the area as it often means that drivers have to get out their cars to explain to cars behind them that they need to reverse.		Comment noted, text in schedule to be amended to identify that visibility is the issue rather than speed of traffic. This scheme reference is to be changed to TMH5.
SECTION 5.4					
Section 5.4 (General)	R10	New Forest Access Forum	We understand that all buses have to be fully accessible by 2015. It may be worth considering therefore to make raised areas removable for when they are no longer required.		Comment noted, no change required. To be checked with HCC Passenger Transport but it is thought raised kerbs are fully compatible with accessible buses and beneficial as they create a more level access point for bus users.
Section 5.4 PT2 – PT4	R19	National Express	Whilst there are occasional times where we have issues with traffic on the A31, the majority of journeys have very few problems with getting in and out of Ringwood. Therefore we welcome the proposals to improve the interchange in Meeting House Lane. It is important that this links easily with local transport and remains convenient for people to be dropped and met by car.		Comment noted, no change required.

Section/Page	Rep. No.	Name of representee	Comment	Respondent's Suggested change	Response
Section 5.4 PT3 and PT4	R19	National Express	I also note that you refer to the desire to have real time information for bus passengers. I will talk with my colleagues to see if it would be possible to provide a National Express display. We would also welcome reviewing the standard of the waiting facilities with you to determine if any further improvements should be made.		Comment noted, no change required.
Section 5.4 New PT scheme	R1	S Bennett Community Safety Officer NFDC	It would be very beneficial for community safety reasons to consider the introduction of a taxi rank near the end of St George Mews – the rank would need to be operational nighttimes from 2200. This would improve the movement of people from the nightclub/snooker club at closing time. At present they are required to phone for a taxi that waits elsewhere in the town and the noise of people phoning, waiting and chatting disturbs residents of St George Mews. People also phone for taxis after visiting the takeaway food establishment in the Market Square. We are currently working in partnership with the police to try to reduce the noise nuisance reported by St George Mews residents and the provision of a taxi rank next to the location would assist in that work.	The introduction of a taxi rank near the end of St George Mews should be considered.	Comment noted, this is not a matter for the TAP and will be passed on to the taxi licensing officer.
APPENDICES					
Appendix 2 Page 40	R18	Ringwood Town Council	Second line of Ringwood Town Plan / 'Love It, Hate It, I Wish' paragraph – change "sort" to "sought" Fourth line of Qualitative Assessment paragraph – change "issue" to "issues"	Text amendments	Text to be amended as indicated.
Appendix 3 See Para 2.2 above	R16	Cllr Treleaven	Amend the map R706(R)v2 page 45 accordingly and comment somewhere whether this footpath is fine as it is or whether there are any access improvements needed within the Town.	Amend Plan	Map to be amended as indicated.

**Appendix B:
Amended Text for adoption**

PLEASE NOTE LARGER PLANS DETAILING THE LOCATIONS OF THE PROPOSED TRANSPORT SCHEMES WILL BE AVAILABLE AT THE CABINET MEETINGS



Ringwood Town Access Plan

March 2011

New Forest District (outside the National Park)
Local Development Framework
Supplementary Planning Document

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Accessibility in Ringwood	8
3. Improving Accessibility	19
4. Town Access Plan – Issues and Measures	21
5. Action Plan and Improvement Scheme Schedules.....	23
6. Next steps, Monitoring and Review	38
Appendix 1 - Hampshire County Transport Contributions Policy.....	40
Appendix 2 - Accessibility Assessment/TAP Methodology.....	41
Appendix 3 - Action Plan Measures	43
Map 1 Transport Schemes	
Map 2 Cycle Routes	

1. Introduction

Introduction

- 1.1 Ringwood is a historic market town lying on the western fringes of the New Forest National Park, crossed from East to West by the A31. Ringwood is well connected by road to a number of cities and towns including Southampton and Bournemouth. Ringwood provides a range of facilities and services that serve the day to day needs of people living in Ringwood and the surrounding area. Provision of good quality infrastructure and services for all modes of transport in and around Ringwood is important in:
- Supporting the local economy, especially by helping to support Ringwood’s retail sector and market,
 - Helping to ensure that all areas and groups within the community can access facilities and services that they require independently and conveniently,
 - Providing good access to both existing and future employment sites within the town,
 - Enhancing the local community by fostering local interactions and activities.
- 1.2 The Ringwood Town Access Plan (TAP) is a strategy which sets out a shared vision for how access to facilities and services within the town can be improved and will help guide transport infrastructure development within Ringwood over the next 20 years.

Planning for Ringwood

- 1.3 Ringwood is situated adjacent to the western fringes of the New Forest National Park, at the junction of the A31 and A338. The A31 Trunk Road, a major strategic route to the south east Dorset conurbations, dissects the town creating noise problems and inhibiting movement between the northern and southern parts of the town. Ringwood’s position and good links to the regional transport network, the New Forest, the coast and the cities of Southampton, Winchester and Salisbury and the Bournemouth/ Poole area are valued by local residents.
- 1.4 Future growth around Ringwood is significantly constrained by the New Forest National Park, national and international nature conservation designations, areas of flood risk and Green Belt.
- 1.5 The adopted Core Strategy sets out the strategy for Ringwood for the period up to 2026. It states:

“9.46 The spatial strategy defines Ringwood as a larger town and service centre, and a sustainable location for new development which is consistent with maintaining and enhancing the town’s character. Ringwood will be the main shopping and commercial centre for the west of the district providing for bulk convenience food shopping and a reasonable range and choice of comparison shopping facilities and other services. New development in the town centre will enhance its role as a retail, service and cultural centre. (See Policies CS9, CS10, CS20).

“9.47 Over the plan period up to 420 additional homes will be built in Ringwood. This will be provided through ongoing infilling and redevelopment within the built-up area where new housing can be accommodated while respecting the character and identity of the neighbourhood, and by future site allocation for around 150 dwellings to be considered in the Sites and Development Management DPD. New housing schemes will provide additional affordable dwellings to address the housing needs of local people. (See Policies CS10, CS11, CS12).

“9.48 Ringwood will be an important local centre for employment. There will be opportunities for local businesses to expand, through the intensification of use of existing sites, the development of existing allocations and through release of some new green field land for employment development adjoining existing employment sites on the southern edge of the town, west of Crow Lane. The Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document will consider whether it would be appropriate to permit some form of enabling development on part of the site to bring forward the regeneration of land at Christchurch Road for employment development. Alternative provision would need to be made for any reduction in land for employment uses from this site. (See Policies CS17, CS18)”

- 1.6 The Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document (under preparation) will provide additional, more detailed, planning policies guiding future development in Ringwood. This will include the allocation of some additional greenfield land for housing and employment development as referred to above.


Development Plan Status of the Ringwood Town Access Plan

- 1.7 The Ringwood Town Access Plan will form part of the Local Development Framework for New Forest District (outside the National Park). When adopted the Town Access Plan will have the status of a Supplementary Planning Document and assist in the implementation of the adopted Core Strategy in the Ringwood area, in particular policies CS23 Strategic transport proposals and CS24 Local transport considerations (and other policies in the emerging Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document).

Purpose of the Town Access Plan

- 1.8 This Town Access Plan (TAP) not only sets out a vision for how access to facilities and services within the town can be improved over the next 20 years, but also provides an **Action Plan** for investment, identifying measures already planned and also identifies **Longer Term schemes for improvements** which are necessary to accommodate future development. These will be used to guide and justify future investment and to coordinate funding from different funding sources. Given the current economic climate and anticipated cuts in public spending, funding for the implementation of the Ringwood TAP is expected to come principally from contributions from developers.
- 1.9 Accessibility in the context of the Ringwood TAP refers to the community’s ability to access facilities within the main built up areas and areas of open space by all modes of transport, notably walking, cycling, public transport and the car. Ringwood has a wide range of facilities and services spread throughout the town. Although there is a focus on the town centre, where many of the key facilities are located, Ringwood TAP covers the entire built up area of the town and considers how it relates to the areas beyond. This is to ensure that the access needs of the whole settlement are taken into account and the close links to these other smaller settlements are recognised. The Ringwood TAP area is shown in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Ringwood TAP Area



1.10 Section 5 of this document sets out an 'Action Plan' which details short term transport schemes (committed and funded measures that have deliverable timescales associated), and 'Schemes lists' which outline the transport infrastructure required to support future development.

Aims and Objectives of the Ringwood TAP

1.11 The broad aims of the Ringwood TAP are:

- To improve accessibility throughout Ringwood by all sustainable modes of transport, notably walking, cycles and public transport;
- To enhance Ringwood as an important centre to live, work and visit;
- To support the local economy by providing improved transport infrastructure;
- To promote social inclusion and access for all;
- To integrate transport proposals with land use development, and
- To reduce the adverse impact of traffic

1.12 The objectives of the Ringwood TAP are as follows:

- Enhance the quality of the town centre public realm and to underpin its function as an important local retail centre;
- Enhance the streetscape and ease of movement in and around the town centre;

- Ensure the town centre remains an important location for retail, employment and leisure by creating good access to and within it;
- Develop the transportation network to accommodate future development requirements;
- Enhance access to important community facilities outside town centre core (schools, health and leisure centres, medical facilities) and employment sites;
- Improve personal safety, especially for pedestrians and cyclists;
- Reduce the effects of severance caused by the A31;
- Recognise and respond to the needs of those with impaired mobility;
- To promote improvements in the quality and sustainability of transport infrastructure;
- Encourage greater use of more sustainable means of transport, particularly walking and cycling ;
- To further develop the high quality network of walking and cycling routes;
- Encourage healthier and more active lifestyles through better access to leisure facilities

1.13 The twelve objectives are reflected in the following five policy objectives, which provide the categories for the schemes outlined in the Action Plan and Longer Term Scheme schedule. These are as follows:

- Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes;**
- Policy B: Reduce the negative impacts of vehicle movements in the Ringwood TAP area;**
- Policy C: Provide measures and facilities to encourage the maximum use of public transport;**
- Policy D: Develop and encourage alternative initiatives for travel change behaviour;**
- Policy E: Support enhancements to Ringwood’s pedestrian environment/ public realm, underpinning the future economic strength of the town centre**

Relationship of the Ringwood TAP to other policies and plans

1.14 In addition to being a Supplementary Planning Document and part of the Local Development Framework for New Forest District (outside the National Park), the TAP forms part of the County Council’s Second Local Transport Plan (LTP2) - a county wide plan for improving transport for the period 2006-2011, and in particular the LTP2’s Accessibility Strategy. The Long Term Strategy in the emerging third Local Transport Plan also refers to Town Access Plans in ‘setting out packages of sustainable transport measures to improve accessibility and modal choice’.

1.15 As well as the LTP, the Ringwood TAP is also influenced by a number of other specific strategies at the local, county and national level. The key documents include:

- The Town Council’s ‘Town Plan’¹ and Strategic Implementation Programme;
- The New Forest District Local Strategic Partnership’s Sustainable Community Strategy;
- The County Council’s Corporate Strategy;
- Hampshire Strategic Partnership’s Community Strategy;
- White paper ‘Delivering a Sustainable Transport System’ (DaSTS)².

¹ Ringwood Town Council, *Town Plan 2008*, and *Ringwood’s Future 2008: Strategic Implementation Programme*

- 1.16 The Transport White Paper, Delivering a Sustainable Transport System (DaSTS), published in 2008 emphasises the Government's policy towards transport and its links to economic prosperity, climate change and social inequality. The five objectives are as follows:
1. to support national economic competitiveness and growth, by delivering reliable and efficient transport networks;
 2. to reduce transport's emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, with the desired outcome of tackling climate change;
 3. to contribute to better safety, security and health and longer life expectancy by reducing the risk of death, injury or illness arising from transport, and by promoting travel modes that are beneficial to health;
 4. to promote greater equality of opportunity for all citizens, with the desired outcome of achieving a fairer society; and
 5. to improve quality of life for transport users and non-transport users, and to promote a healthy natural environment.
- 1.17 The Ringwood TAP is designed to reflect and address these national priorities at the local level. Where possible these issues will be reflected in the schemes and initiatives proposed in the relevant Action Plans.

The Ringwood Town Access Plan Implementation

- 1.18 The main source of funding for schemes identified in the Town Access Plan (TAP) Action Plan will be developers' contributions. The principal mechanism for securing this funding is through implementation of the Transport Contributions Policy (TCP). The TCP was adopted by the County Council in 2007 and by NFDC in March 2008. The TCP (Appendix 1) sets out the levels of contributions to be sought to mitigate the impact of development based on projected impact, in accordance with relevant Government advice and regulations and LDF Policies. (Note: The details of TCP may be subject to review during the lifetime of this SPD, in which case the revised policy will be applied.)
- 1.19 Other funding sources may include, Hampshire County Council, New Forest District Council, Ringwood Town Council or other agency funding (via grants or other methods).
- 1.20 The schemes listed in this document will require detailed design work to be undertaken before they can be implemented. This detailed design work will ensure that each scheme complies with Policy CS2 (Design Quality) and Policy CS3 (Protecting and enhancing our special environment) of the New Forest District (outside the National Park) Core Strategy, and contributes positively to local distinctiveness. In the town centre, particular attention will need to be given to protecting the town's historic character. In some circumstances, it may be necessary to modify some of the schemes listed in the schedule as a result of detailed design considerations.

Accessibility in Ringwood


- 2.1 Accessibility describes the ease with which a person can access or use services and activities such as jobs, education, leisure facilities and shops. Accessibility is determined by a number of factors that range from the location of services and the means of transport available to reach them to individual factors such as a person's physical mobility and fitness and their ability to pay for transportation.

² Department for Transport Nov 2008 – Delivering a Sustainable Transport System

This Town Access Plan examines accessibility in Ringwood in order to identify and address barriers to access thereby promoting good accessibility for all to a range of services and destinations.

- 2.2 The historic town centre of Ringwood lies in the west of the settlement and provides a good range of local shops and services. Retail floor space is concentrated in the town centre and includes two major supermarkets. There is a public transport (bus/coach/taxi) interchange on Meeting House Lane. Employment sites and health and leisure facilities are found both in the town centre and in neighbourhoods across the town. The Castleman Trailway is a way-marked walking and cycling route that follows the old Dorchester to Southampton railway line connecting Dorset and Hampshire. The Trailway links through the southern part of the TAP area and provides a superb leisure route to and from the town. The Avon Valley Path, which is a 34 mile long distance path connecting Salisbury to Christchurch, also provides a good route through Ringwood town centre. Within the TAP boundary, the Avon Valley Path links from Hurst Road, adjacent to the Blashford Lakes area, through the town centre along West Street before heading south towards Bickerley Common.
- 2.3 In Ringwood the A31 is a particular issue. It splits Ringwood in two, and the amount of daily traffic the A31 carries causes noise and pollution problems and disjoints local travel between the north and south of the town. In peak times of the day the road network becomes congested at the A338/A31 interchange which creates traffic queues through the town on the B3347 south of the A31.
- 2.4 Figure 2 below shows the location of key facilities requiring good accessibility, including employment sites in Ringwood.
- 2.5 Improvements to accessibility are particularly important to those vulnerable groups such as the young, elderly and less mobile and those without access to a car. Improving access and permeability can provide choice in travel behaviour which will in turn promote and support sustainable travel and enhance and maintain vibrant, healthy communities and prosperous places to live and work.

Figure 2: Key facilities in Ringwood



Ringwood TAP Methodology

2.6 In order to improve accessibility in Ringwood it is necessary to examine the ease with which people can reach destinations throughout the town. Several different approaches have been used to assess this. These have included:

- 'Love It, Hate It, I Wish' surveys (carried out by Ringwood Town Council as part of the development of the Town Plan);
- Stakeholder consultation;
- Community Street Audits (carried out as part of the development and review of the Ringwood Walking and Cycling Strategy)

Further details of these are given in Appendix 2

2.7 Findings on Accessibility are set out in the following section.

Current Provision and Issues

2.8 Transport links have been key to Ringwood's development. Although the road network and parking provides good access for car travel to the town centre and for trips around the town, there are a certain number of constraints to the network. Many of the local roads are characterised by relatively narrow carriageways where buildings closely abut the footways (which themselves are often narrow). This leaves little space for free-flow pedestrian movement or scope for area wide improvements. In 2007, the New Forest Access for All group carried out an 'Access Review' of the roads and footways in the town. The results of their assessment fed into the Town Plan and highlighted that many footways had the scope to be improved to meet the needs of the less able.

2.9 The A31 often causes problems for traffic entering and exiting to the town centre, due to congestion running back from the A31 junction in the peak hours. At times this leads to traffic queuing up to the A338/A31 interchange which makes access to the town from the strategic road network problematic. Similarly, when there is an incident or particularly heavy congestion on the A338 Bournemouth spur road, traffic often diverts through Ringwood from the south via the B3347. The Ringwood TAP does not address maintenance issues directly because the TAP principally refers to accessibility with its main funding source from developer contributions.

2.10 In the majority of cases, unless specifically agreed, developer contributions cannot be used for maintenance of the existing highway network. It is recognised however that maintenance issues do directly impact on the usability of the transport network. Therefore the Ringwood TAP will help inform maintenance programmes where there is an inter-relationship between schemes for development and general maintenance.

2.11 Non-motorised modes of transport, and the infrastructure to support such, are particularly important because the community is dispersed both north and south of the A31. The relatively short distance between the north and south of the town are within the range for walking and cycling trips for those able.

2.12 The following paragraphs set out a summary of the current position for different modes of transport within the town and sets the scene for some of the associated problems and shortfalls.

Roads, Traffic and Parking

- 2.13 There are no proposals for significant changes to the existing road network in Ringwood.
- 2.14 The road network within the town is reasonably free-flowing, with the exception of the B3347 Christchurch Road leading northwards to the A338/A31 interchange. The B3347 Christchurch Road acts as a distributor road for the town, enabling access to the town centre and Castleman Way (which in turn provides access to most of the industrial trading units in Ringwood). Traffic speeds in the town are relatively consistent in the areas covered by 30mph speed limits. The recorded 85th percentile speeds are not untypical for urban and semi-urban roads of this character³.
- 2.15 The Southampton Road is however an important distributor route which provides access across the A31 via an over bridge. This route connects the north of the town and Poulner with the town centre, Fridays Cross and onward routes to the south of the town.
- 2.16 The High Street (and the northern end of Christchurch Road) in the older historic sector of the town causes problems for pedestrians because the footways and carriageway are narrow. This causes some conflict between pedestrians and vehicles which is exacerbated by a perception of poor safety by its users. Delivery vehicles are often parked on footways causing other vehicles to stack back in the High Street because they are forced to give way at parked vehicles before safely moving forward. In order to achieve a more pleasant shopping experience, many residents cited the need for 'Pedestrianisation' or pedestrian priority of the High Street as part of the consultation work on the Town Plan.
- 2.17 The feasibility of safety and capacity improvements for the A31 for the 'Ringwood – Ashley Heath corridor' has been considered recently by the Highways Agency. The Highways Agency is introducing 'vehicle activated signs' on the A31 on Poulner Hill to enable drivers to be warned of traffic queues ahead. The objectives of the signs are to improve safety and reduce rear end shunts. A separate Highways Agency (HA) scheme to alleviate the congestion and safety problems on the westbound carriageway by providing an additional lane has also previously been proposed however the (HA) currently has no funding for the progression of this scheme. The introduction of this measure would have necessitated the closure of the West Street junction with the A31. In the event that the capacity improvement scheme is taken forward in future years, the County and District Council would wish to see an assessment of the impact of this on the town centre and the local economy before taking a view on the acceptability of the closure of West Street. In the absence of this Highways Agency scheme, the concept of closing West Street to traffic exiting onto the A31 could still have some benefits for the town. The exact impacts on traffic flow, access to properties and businesses and to the general town centre economy are unknown; a study of such impacts would be needed in order to make a full assessment and inform a strategy for the future of the town to assist in deciding upon options for implementation.
- 2.18 Ringwood has a total of three public car parks. Two are owned and operated by NFDC - The Furlong and Blynkbonnie. These provide a total of 404 long stay spaces providing up to 20 hours, 378 short stay spaced providing up to 3 hours, 2 coach bays and 24 disabled parking spaces. The third main car park is provided by the Waitrose Supermarket / Furlong development which has 212 short stay (maximum of 2 hours) car parking spaces of which 6 are disabled parking spaces.

³ newforest.gov.uk/index.cfm?articleid=8172

- 2.19 In addition to pay and display parking, New Forest District Council provides residents and shoppers parking clocks which allow affordable annual payment for parking, for long term or short term periods. The current District Council policy (2011) is to allocate short term spaces to shoppers closest to the town centre. Those commuting to work, who park there all day are accommodated further out. In the case of the Furlong car park, the main town centre car park, the long term spaces are situated furthest away from the shops with disabled spaces closest.
- 2.20 Part of the long stay section of the Furlong car park operates as a lorry park between 6pm and 8am and can accommodate in the region of 20 lorries. There is a taxi rank in the southern part of the car park. All parts of the Furlong car park are covered by Closed Circuit Television.
- 2.21 The Furlong car park is well used by commuters who often park in the long-stay section and car share for onwards journeys. The informal 'park and share' system that has formed reduces the available space for town centre shoppers and workers. Informal 'park and share' also occurs at The Mount and around the sub-station off Salisbury Rd (adjacent to the eastbound off-slip of the A31).
- 2.22 A new Ringwood 'Gateway' building accommodating a Visitor Information Centre, public conveniences and shared public office accommodation is planned for the south western sector of Ringwood Furlong Car Park. Revisions to the layout of the car park will be required.

Walking and Cycling

- 2.23 Walking is the second most popular means of travel after the car, with 7.5%⁴ of people regularly making journeys to work by foot. Residents chose to walk for a number of reasons, most commonly, to keep fit and for convenience. The subway under the A31 is a popular route for pedestrians and cyclists, and although the A31 slip roads need to be crossed (zebra crossings provided), this provides a vital link between the two parts of the town. Many residential areas have good provision of footways within them; however it is often the case that routes linking areas are not as good. A lack of footways, difficulty crossing roads and a lack of lighting in certain areas, do not make the transition between areas attractive and easy. Some footways could be converted for shared use with cyclists. More generally, lighting is to be improved in the Ringwood area as part of the Private Finance Initiative to replace and improve lighting facilities over the next 25 years⁵. This will help to improve the safety of streets and alleviate fear of crime.
- 2.24 An extensive 'Walking and Cycling Strategy' was produced between 2002 and 2004 and was a joint project between Hampshire County Council, New Forest District Council and Ringwood Town Council, and was developed in consultation with the public. The strategy is essentially a plan of improvements for walking and cycling routes, from maintaining existing infrastructure to implementing new.
- 2.25 The town has seen a number of schemes implemented as a result of the 'Walking and Cycling Strategy', the following are some of the most successful:
- Permanent closure of the Quomp to through-traffic, with the result of eliminating rat-running and creating a more pleasant and safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists;
 - Junction improvement to Parsonage Barn Lane and Southampton Road to accommodate cyclists;

⁴ Office of National Statistics 2001 Census – Method of Travel to Work

⁵ hants.gov.uk/roads/street-lighting/streetlighting-pfi-contract.htm

- Provision of the majority of Southampton Road- Town Centre cycle route;
- Provision of A338 cycle route

2.26 The intention is for the Ringwood TAP to consolidate and maintain the momentum on the improvements to walking and cycle routes in Ringwood. Therefore the priorities of the 2002-2004 Walking and Cycling Strategy have been reviewed and incorporated into the relevant TAP Action Plan and schemes schedules in Section 5 and presented in the Maps in Appendix 3. It is intended that these routes and facilities will be implemented in the period up to 2026.

Bus

- 2.27 Ringwood is well served by a network of bus routes, including regular services to Bournemouth, Poole, Ferndown, Verwood, Fordingbridge and Salisbury. There are hourly public buses to Bournemouth railway station. There are also less frequent services to Bransgore and Christchurch. The Ringwood town circular routes together provide three buses an hour between the town centre and Poulner and Hightown. A number of occasional services also operate into Ringwood from neighbouring towns and villages, including market day journeys on Wednesdays. Wilts & Dorset is the main local bus operator, complemented by a number of smaller operators.
- 2.28 Only 1.5% of Ringwood residents regularly use the bus to undertake a journey for work purposes, lower than the average within the New Forest District area (2.3%).⁶ This is partly because many local journeys are over short distances and can be made conveniently by foot (7.5% compared to 6.9% for the District), so that for example a relatively high proportion of people walk to their place of employment from their home.
- 2.29 There are National Express coach services which provide access to Southampton coach station (a short walk from Southampton Central rail station), and London as well as Weymouth, Swanage, Bournemouth and other destinations. First Group also run quality low-cost express coach services to London under its 'Greyhound' brand.
- 2.30 All of the above services stop at the town centre public transport interchange (bus, coach, taxi) in Meeting House Lane, where there are also public conveniences and the Visitor Information Centre. It provides adequate setting down and waiting areas for passengers with bus shelters (mainly Adshel). The area is covered by CCTV. Improvements in this area will be considered as part of the 'Ringwood Gateway' proposals in the Furlong. Whilst the existing landscaping of trees make this otherwise quite bleak area more attractive, their canopies do reduce natural light levels and make the street lights less effective. Improved lighting below "tree level" and improved pedestrian links to the town could be provided. The interchange would also benefit from a number of pedestrian and 'service information' upgrades. These would enhance usability and access and also provide more accurate and real-time information for bus users (see Long Term Action Plan section 5.4). The Ringwood 'Gateway Project' will also provide improvements to facilities in this area, including new public conveniences and visitor information centre.

Community Transport

- 2.31 Ringwood operates the 'Good Neighbours' transport scheme which offers community transport to medical and hospital appointments to residents in Ringwood and Poulner. The service operates Monday to Friday from 10am to 12am and can be booked with two days notice.

⁶ Office of National Statistics 2001 Census – Method of Travel to Work

- 2.32 The Hampshire Minibus Register is designed to put in contact with each other organisations that have a minibus available for hire with those needing to hire a minibus in their local area.
- 2.33 A “Wheels to Work” shared moped scheme covers the Ringwood area and continually needs support for its success. The ‘Wheels to Work’ moped loan scheme is designed for people aged between 16 and 25 who don’t have access to public or private transport to get them to a job, vocational training or to attend interviews. A moped can be loaned for 3 to 12 months depending on the individual’s circumstances.

Smarter Choices

- 2.34 Smarter travel choices encompass a range of measures that seek to give better information and opportunities, helping people choose to reduce their car use if it suits their circumstances, while enhancing the attractiveness of alternatives to the car. Such measures include travel planning (school, workplace, residential), information provision, personalised journey planning, awareness campaigns, car clubs, car sharing, electrical vehicle charging points and flexible working. The Department for Transport estimates that the potential benefit from such measures is significant and that they compare favourably in terms of the cost benefit to other capital schemes⁷. They can therefore help to reduce car traffic and encourage more active travel, thereby supporting the objectives of the plan.
- 2.35 Both the County and District Councils currently promote and encourage the use of healthier and more sustainable modes of travel. The aim is to promote change in travel patterns and behaviour by securing well designed developments underpinned by robust and effective travel plans. A travel plan seeks to encourage the delivery of a package of measures aimed at widening travel choice, supporting all modes and reducing unnecessary car use by encouraging the use of other modes.
- 2.36 A formal workplace travel plan for the Pullman Business Park was developed in 2003. The plan aims to reduce the number of single car users and increase public transport use. This includes provision of physical measures at the site (such as cycle shelters) that encourages the use of sustainable transport as well as promoting alternatives to the car.
- 2.37 All of the five schools in the Ringwood TAP area have a stage 3 school travel plan. This means it has been adopted by the school and the County Council and progress should be made towards achieving the goals set out in the respective school travel action plans. Annual monitoring is required to keep the plan in date and relevant to the local needs.

Freight/Heavy Commercial Vehicles

- 2.38 Heavy Commercial Vehicles (HCVs) provide a vital service in delivering to local businesses, both within the town and the surrounding area, and thereby support the operation of the local economy. However, they undoubtedly have an impact on the quality of life for many residents. It is vital, therefore, that the routing of HCVs is properly managed to provide for access needs while making best use of the most suitable routes.
- 2.39 There are local lorry restrictions that restrict HCVs using the southern end (beyond the Hightown Road junction) of Eastfield Lane. There are conflicting views regarding the most appropriate route from the A31 to the various destinations within the town.

⁷ Cains et al 2004: *Smarter Choices*

- 2.40 For example, residents of Eastfield Lane would like to see traffic using the B3347 Christchurch Rd (accessed via the A31/A38 interchange). Other than the problems associated with volume of HCV traffic, HCV's find the junction of the A31 off slip and Eastfield Lane difficult to negotiate and often mount the kerb in doing so.
- 2.41 The opposing view is that there are too many freight vehicles already using this route. The B3347 through the town is considered unsuitable as it dissects the central core of the town where there are fairly narrow carriageway and footway widths and thus pedestrian activity fronts directly to the carriageway. Given the lack of roads through the town that are suitable for use by HCVs and the spread of sites that generate HCV traffic there is little scope for developing a local HCV routing strategy.
- 2.42 A similar issue exists along the High Street where residents consider is traffic dominated and congested particularly by HCV's (as mentioned in paragraph 2.16). However most of the HCV's and smaller vans are providing essential deliveries to the shops and services (often front servicing from the High Street itself) which are required to maintain the economy.
- 2.43 Lorry parking is provided at the Furlong car park for overnight purposes.

Planned Development in Ringwood to 2026

- 2.44 The adopted Core Strategy makes provision for the following development in Ringwood:
- 420 new dwellings, including around 150 dwellings outside the existing settlement boundary; (CS11(ii))
 - Up to 5 hectares of employment land (Core Strategy Policy CS18(a)(iii))
 - Up to 4500sq.m town centre retail floorspace (Core Strategy Policy CS20(d))

In accordance with the adopted Core Strategy, Policy CS24, appropriate measures will be required to minimise the impact of this new development on the existing transport infrastructure, by requiring development proposals to:

- “(a) include a range of appropriate mitigating transport measures, particularly aimed at improving accessibility by non-car modes and reducing the adverse impact of traffic;
- (b) ensure that adequate lorry access routes are available and suitable;
- (c) ensure necessary transport improvements are addressed prior to development;
- (d) produce and implement Transport Assessments and Site Travel Plans, as appropriate.”

And, where appropriate, “the development shall make provision for improvements to pedestrian and cycle routes running alongside or through the site.”

(See Core Strategy and Policy CS24 for full requirements.)

Trends

- 2.45 In addition to a minor level of planned development in Ringwood, it is likely that three key trends will significantly influence the future of transport demand and consequently provision:
- Increasing levels of car ownership and car use is forecast to lead to significant growth in traffic. Predictions suggest that traffic may grow nationally by 17% by 2015 and 32% by 2030

compared to 2003 levels⁸, while traffic on Hampshire's local road network is growing around 1% a year⁹. While traffic growth in any locality is restricted by road capacity, growth at the national and county levels is likely to be reflected to some extent in Ringwood. Increasing car use would be likely to create congestion issues, particularly for those commuting into and out of the town centre at peak times, this could lead to poorer air quality around congested roads. Increased traffic also makes non-car modes of transport less appealing, can compromise road safety and is often associated with reduced activity levels and public health issues¹⁰.

- In New Forest District, an increase of around 37% in the number of people aged 65 and over is expected between 2006 and 2026. Ringwood is expected to reflect this trend of an ageing population.
- Climate change is likely to lead to a number of changes to weather patterns with potential disruptive effects for transport planning and accessibility. New developments and transport schemes need to be designed to account for higher temperatures as well as episodes of high rainfall and flood risk. The DaSTS objectives also reflect the importance of tackling climate change and seek a national commitment to reduce transport emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The Ringwood TAP will seek to contribute towards adaptations towards lower carbon modes of transport. Locally, this could mean that Ringwood may have to develop more 'smarter' choices, such as for example, car clubs, to help create a community less reliant on personal journeys and car ownership. The provision of electric vehicle charging points will need to be planned for, particularly in larger new developments.

⁸ Department for Transport, 2009. *Transport Statistics for Great Britain: 2009 Edition*

⁹ Hampshire County Council, 2006. *Local Transport Plan 2006-11*

¹⁰ National Institute of Clinical Excellence, 2008. *Promoting and Creating Built Environments that Encourage and Support Physical Activity.*

3. Improving Accessibility

The Challenge

- 3.1 Current travel trends could lead to increases in traffic in the coming years, up to 30% more vehicle kilometres travelled nationally in 2025 than 2003¹¹. Without steps to tackle this, it could lead to more congestion, air pollution and accidents. It could also make travel by public transport, cycling and walking less appealing. For example, if traffic volume increases substantially, parents may consider it unsafe and unpleasant to walk their children to school and drive them instead, leading to greater difficulties for those without access to a car and an overall reduction in accessibility.
- 3.2 The road network through the town south of the A31 is a limiting factor on traffic and the provision of pedestrian facilities. Alternatives to the private car need to be put in place so that planning development in the Town will not have a detrimental impact on accessibility.
- 3.3 The Ringwood TAP needs to identify ways to improve the ease with which people can use public transport, walking and cycling to travel around Ringwood and encourage their usage. It is recognised that the car plays a vital role for many in providing convenient services and destinations.
- 3.4 This approach supports a range of policy objectives of both New Forest District Council and Hampshire County Council, especially to:
- Reduce the negative impact of transport on people and on the environment;
 - Invest to maintain and improve the local transport network;
 - Improve accessibility whilst reducing reliance on the private car;
 - Support measures to improve safety and manage congestion on the A31, provided that these do not impact unacceptably on the local economy;
 - NFDC's Core Strategy Objective 5 – Travel – 'to improve accessibility to services, employment, social and leisure opportunities in the safe and convenient way, thus minimising the need to travel, particularly by private car';
 - Hampshire County Council's current Local Transport Plan (2006-2011) objective to Widen Travel Choice – 'Widening travel choice helps to improve accessibility and tackle congestion by promoting non-car modes';
 - Hampshire County Council's emerging Local Transport Plan (2011-2026) objective to 'contribute to better safety, security and health' by reducing the risk of death, injury or illness arising from transport, and by promoting travel modes that are beneficial to health.

Barriers to Good Access

- 3.5 Figure 4.1 highlights the main barriers to good access in Ringwood.

Figure 4.1 Barriers to Good Access

¹¹ Dft Road Transport Forecasts 2008: Results from the DfT's National Transport Model

Lack of appropriate facilities	A variety of facilities are required to assist and facilitate access, such as a comprehensive cycle route network, improvements to walking routes including dropped kerbs for those with mobility difficulties or children's buggies, higher frequency local bus services and safe crossing points for pedestrians and cyclists
Physical barriers	These will vary from large scale barriers such as the main roads of the A31 or B3347 through the town to small scale ones such as steps or uneven surfaces for those with buggies, cycles or mobility difficulties and likewise replacement of stiles with kissing gates.
Road Safety	The perceived risks associated with walking and cycling can deter people from using these modes. High levels of traffic and the general perception of high traffic speeds and lack of safe facilities, e.g. cycle lanes and pedestrian crossings, often lead to concerns and prevent people from making a journey or encourage the use of the car. Lack of maintenance such as worn cycle lane markings can create real or perceived safety problems.
Security	Fears over personal security are shaped by factors such as lighting and the overlooking of paths by buildings and the wider community, levels of crime and perceived threats. Concerns over personal security can act as a deterrent for using certain routes, travelling at night for public transport, walking and cycling.
Information and Awareness	The availability of information about alternative modes of transport is a key factor when deciding how to travel. Signage, the availability of public transport timetables, real time information and route planning offer choice and confidence when making a journey.
Cost	Cost is often a barrier to the use of motorised forms of transport, including the car. Just under 17% ¹² of households in the Ringwood area do not have access to a car. Such families are more reliant on the provision of public transport and good walking and cycling routes to provide access to services.

¹² Census 2001. *Neighbourhood Statistics*

4. Town Access Plan – Issues and Measures/Action Planning

- 4.1 The various information gathering processes, which have been undertaken in preparing this document (described in Appendix 2), have resulted in a range of issues and proposed measures to address them being identified. Transport infrastructure schemes, which can be achieved in the short term are set out in the **Action Plan** (Table 5.1). These schemes are already identified in delivery programmes, have funding allocated and are therefore committed for implementation. The '**Longer Term Improvement Schemes**' schedules (in Tables 5.2 to 5.6 in Section 5 of this document) set out lists of transport improvement schemes which would support and mitigate the impact of new development in the town.
- 4.2 The Longer Term Schemes are categorised into the five policy groups (reflecting the Policies set out in paragraph 1.10). The policy themes are:
- Pedestrian and Cycle Improvements (PC); (Table 5.2)
 - Traffic Management and Highways Improvements (TMH); (Table 5.3)
 - Public and Community Transport Improvements (PT); (Table 5.4)
 - Smarter Choice Initiatives (SC); (Table 5.5)
 - Pedestrian environment/public realm Improvements (PR); (Table 5.6)

Action Plan and Longer Term Schemes Schedules

- 4.3 The 'Longer Term Scheme Schedules' are set out in the following way:
- Column 1 - Location of the accessibility problem or deficiency;
 - Column 2 – Proposed measures (to help overcome or mitigate the problem);
 - Column 3 – Cost (estimated scheme cost where known);
 - Column 4 – Funding (whether funding is in place or to be secured and through the most likely source);
 - Column 5 – Purpose of scheme.

Longer Term Schemes to support new development

- 4.4 Implementation of schemes will be significantly dependant on the timing of new development in the town, and the receipt of associated developers' contributions required to mitigate the impact of their development on the town's transport infrastructure. (Policy CS24, CS25)
- 4.5 The improvement measures or 'schemes' have been identified following various consultations associated with the early stages in the preparation of Ringwood TAP, such as the stakeholder workshop. Proposals from existing documents, such as the New Forest District Local Plan (First Alteration) and local strategies such as the Ringwood Town Plan and the Ringwood Walking and Cycling Strategy have also been reviewed to assist the development of the Action Plan and list of Longer Term Schemes. There will be a further review of proposals as part of the preparation of the Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document being prepared by New Forest District Council.
- 4.6 The outputs from both the Action Plan and Long Term Scheme measures are illustrated in the Maps in Appendix 3.

- 4.7 Schemes will be prioritised for delivery taking account of funding availability and local priorities. Any decision relating to developer contributions will need to take account of current Government guidance for the securing of contributions. All developer funded schemes will need to meet the tests of necessity and be related to the impact of the development. Local aspirations of the Town Council, District and County Councils will be taken into account when negotiating and allocating funding to schemes.

Section 5: Action Plan and Improvement Scheme Schedules

5.1 Action Plan

All committed schemes					
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Cost	Funding	Delivery	Purpose of Scheme
AP1 Southampton Rd/Mansfield Road junction and Southampton Rd/The Close (south of Mansfield Road)	Environmental and pedestrian enhancements to Southampton Rd/The Close. To include a restricted parking zone and an even level surface with no kerbs to create a balance between pedestrians and motorised traffic.	£300,000	Developers Contributions NFDC RTC HCC	Due to be implemented as part of 2010/11 Country Towns Initiative Programme	The scheme will enhance the pedestrian environment in the town centre and provide improved links to the services and facilities in the centre. The improved pedestrian links will promote walking to and from the town centre as a viable alternative to car travel. See paragraph 2.15
	Improvement to the pedestrian route from the Furlong car park to Southampton Road, including new trees and shrub planting.	£50,000			
	Pelican crossing upgrade to Toucan at the Mansfield Road/Southampton Road junction				
AP2 Clough's Road	Footway improvement and provision where missing.	£20,000	HCC Minor Works Programme	2010/11 Statutory Undertaker assessment and design underway	This will assist safe walking in this area, particularly as a route to/from the town centre from this residential area. See paragraph 2.26

All committed schemes					
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Cost	Funding	Delivery	Purpose of Scheme
AP3 Bickerley (north west end)	Extension of footpath alongside Bickerley Road to create a continuation to 'Danny Cracknell Pocket Park'.	£10,000	HCC Developer Contribution	2010/11 – 2011/2012 HCC Minor Works programme. Currently on hold pending compulsory purchase of land.	This will continue and develop upon the pedestrian network in this area, helping promote walking in and around the town. See paragraph 2.26
AP4 Hightown Road	Traffic Calming in the form of build-outs with priority working for traffic to create an informal pedestrian crossing. This will assist the Castleman Way to Quomp pedestrian/cycle route.	£24,000 Phase 1 – southern section	£26,000 Developer contribution required for phase 2 to complete the scheme	NFDC to deliver through Traffic Management Agency 2010/11	This scheme will assist pedestrians and cyclists crossing Hightown Road as well as slowing traffic speeds increasing safety and accessibility, promoting cycling and walking in this area.
AP5 Town Centre Pedestrian Signing	Improve signing of pedestrian routes in the Town Centre from car parks to increase footfall through the town centre.	£10,000 Phase 1 (see PC29 for further phase)	RTC	To be implemented through Traffic Management Programme 2011/12	Improved information and awareness of routes can encourage walking to and within the town centre. Identified in Town Plan, see paragraph 1.15
AP6 Street Lighting improvements (PFI contract)	Replacement of lighting columns throughout the TAP area.		PFI	Hampshire County Council May 2013 (see delivery schedule) lightsoninhampshire.co.uk /Public/ Default.aspx	More efficient use of energy; Improved visibility and reduced light pollution at night; Safer streets and reduced crime; Dimming lights by 25% to reduce carbon

All committed schemes					
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Cost	Funding	Delivery	Purpose of Scheme
					emissions See paragraphs 2.23 and 2.45

5.2 Longer Term Schemes - Pedestrian and Cycle Improvements

Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users (See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PC1 Gravel Lane to Southampton Road	Off road cycle route through Orchard Mount to include upgrade of zebra crossings to Toucan crossings on the A31 slip roads (with agreement from Highways Agency)	£36,000 £120,000 for Toucan crossings	Developer contribution required	The cycle route and the crossing upgrade will improve crossing safety promoting cycling to/from the town centre
PC2 Kingsfield to Southampton Road	On and off road cycle route via Manor Road, Green Lane, Parsonage Barn Lane (with dismount section on pedestrian bridge over A31) and Winston Way.	£35,000	Developer contribution required	Improves accessibility and linkages across the A31 to the town and schools, reducing the need to travel by car and reducing the effects of severance by the A31.
PC3 School Lane to Cloughs Road	On road cycle route via Manor Road with short off road section adj./through Schools	£56,000	Developer contribution required	Improves accessibility and linkage to the town and school from the residential area to the east, reducing the need to travel by car
PC4 Bickerley Common to Hightown Road junction (via Castleman Way)	On and adjacent to road cycle route via Bickerley Road and Castleman Way. Includes shared cycle/footway on northern side and part of southern side of Castleman Way Toucan crossing to be provided on Castleman Way	£94,000 (cycle route only) £50,000	Developer contribution required	Improves walking and cycling, providing improved linkages to areas of employment in Hightown Industrial estate.
PC5 Quomp to Hightown Gardens via Hightown Road	On road cycle route with traffic calming along Hightown Road. (See AP4 page 22 for traffic calming).	£6,500	Developer contribution required	Increased safety for cyclists along this route which links residential areas to schools and areas of employment
PC6 Crow Arch Lane to Moortown Lane	Cycleway across fields to Moortown Lane (including small section on Crow Arch Lane)	£118,000	Developer contribution required	Improved connectivity to the industrial estate and leisure facilities promoting cycling.

**Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users
(See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)**

Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PC7 Linford Road	On and off road route linking into National Park through to Linford Bottom	£2,000	Developer contribution required	Improved safety for cyclists from areas in the north east of Ringwood, promoting cycling to the town.
PC8 Castleman Way to Crow Lane via Embankment Way	Adjacent to and off road cycle route.	£131,000	Developer contribution required	This continues the existing route improving accessibility to Ringwood and the industrial estates from the Crow area.
PC9 A31 to Mansfield Road via West Street	Strategic on road cycle route	£3,500	Developer contribution required	Route will provide improved safety route for cyclists to and through the town centre, promoting cycling as opposed to car travel.
PC10 Southampton Road to North Poulner Road	Strategic on road cycle route via Gorley Road and Poulner Infant and Junior Schools. Toucan crossing on Southampton Road to link with routes PC1 and PC14	£4,500 for cycle route £40,000	Developer contribution required	The cycle route adds to the existing network improving linkages for cyclists between North Poulner and the town centre, as well as to the Ringwood and Poulner schools. The crossing point would increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists encouraging walking and cycling in and through the town.
PC11 Castleman Way to Town Centre, via Quomp and The Close	On road cycle route along The Close and Quomp (northern part) with off road section through Victoria Gardens. New crossings in Hightown Road and Castleman Way included. Also upgrade of existing Mansfield Rd/The Close Pelican crossing to a Toucan crossing	£60,000 £40,000	Developer contribution required	The cycle routes can assist in encouraging cycling to/from the town centre. New and improved crossing points will increase pedestrian and cyclist safety and confidence aiding in promoting walking/cycling.

**Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users
(See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)**

Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PC12 North Poulner Road	On road cycle route linking PC10 to Poulner Lakes area	£3,000	Developer contribution required	This link provides improved connectivity and access to the school and recreation areas in the north of Ringwood/ Blashford for cyclists.
PC13 Town centre to A338 Salisbury Road	On road cycle route	£1,000	Developer contribution required	Improved links for cyclists to promote cycling. This route improves accessibility between the Blashford area and town centre.
PC14 Mansfield Road to Southampton Road via Carvers sports ground	Cycle route adjacent, on and off road sections	£68,000	Developer contribution required	Improved cycle connections to promote cycling as an alternative to car travel. This route improves cycle links through the town.
PC15 Moortown to Castleman Way via New Street	Cycle route on and adjacent to road sections	£69,000	Developer contribution required	Improved cycle connections to promote cycling as an alternative to car travel. This route connects areas to the south of Ringwood to the town and employment area.
PC16 Gorley Rd towards Hyde	Strategic Cycle Route connecting Ringwood to countryside to the north	£5,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme improves cyclists safety, promoting cycling between Ringwood and the adjacent National Park as a viable alternative to car travel.
PC 17 Christchurch Road (in vicinity of the War Memorial at junction of the Bickerley and Mansfield Road)	Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing facilities required	£20,000	Developer contribution required	Improved crossing points will improve pedestrian safety, promoting walking in the town.

**Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users
(See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)**

Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PC18 Poulner Infant and Junior Schools North Poulner Road	New pedestrian entrance and footpath widening	£30,000	Developer contribution required	Improved pedestrian facilities will promote walking to/from the school, helping reduce traffic congestion in the vicinity of the school.
PC19 The Close to Christchurch Road	Improvements to existing footpaths and footways, to include removal of tree roots, new surface overlay and improved lighting.	£20,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme will promote safe walking to the town centre by providing an improved pedestrian environment. Improved lighting will help reduce perceived security issues.
PC20 Moortown to Town centre via Quomp	Improvements to existing footpaths and footways to include a footway link through the former Wellworthy site to connect to Castleman Way and Christchurch Road. Similar objective for the cycle route proposed in PC15 except this route is via the development site.	N/A	Developer to fund and construct through development site.	The improvements will assist pedestrians and those with disabilities by improved footways linking towards the town centre from the south.
PC21 Southampton Road, west of Frampton Place	Footway widening either by purchase of land or any other viable alternative to obtain the additional width to create a 'standard' facility.	£30-50,000	Developer contribution required	This improvement will assist pedestrian safety encouraging walking to and from this area of Ringwood and the school and recreation ground.
PC22 Christchurch Road and Parkside	Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing facility required.	£15,000	Developer contribution required	This facility will assist safer crossing of the B3347 improving walking links to/from the employment area.
PC23 Christchurch Rd and junction of Millstream Industrial Estate	Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing facility required.	£15,000	Developer contribution required	This facility will assist safer crossing of the B3347 improving walking links to/from the employment area at Millstream

**Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users
(See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)**

Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
				Industrial Estate.
PC24 Christchurch Road junction with Shires Close	Uncontrolled crossing near to the junction.	£15,000	Developer contribution required	The crossing will assist pedestrians crossing the B3347 to reconnect to the footpath, as there is currently no footpath on the eastern side of the road at this location.
PC25 Gravel Lane to Furlong car park and Southampton Road	Accessibility improvements for pedestrians and cyclists to complete route – to include signing	£30,000	Developer contribution required	Improvements in this area will promote walking and cycling to the town centre from northern areas of Ringwood.
PC26 Furlong car park to Market Place/High Street	Improved links between the car park and town centre. To include accessibility and pedestrian improvements to adjacent roads including tactile paving and dropped crossings.	£100,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme will improve facilities for pedestrians as well as those with disabilities improving access for all to and around the town centre.
PC27 Hurst Road to Blashford Lakes	Improve surface of footpath to assist access to open space/leisure facility	£20,000	Developer contribution required	This can encourage healthier and active lifestyles through improved pedestrian links to recreation/leisure areas.
PC28 Hightown Road junction with Gardner Road	Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing required.	£15,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme will promote increased pedestrian/cyclist safety.
PC29 Town centre pedestrian signage	Improve signing of pedestrian routes in the Town Centre from car parks to increase footfall through the town centre.	£20,000	HCC Traffic Management Programme (tbc) or developer contribution required to fund phase 2/completion of project. Phase 1 referred to in	Improved information and awareness of routes can encourage walking to and within the town centre.

**Policy A: Provide better pedestrian and cycle routes, crossing facilities and lighting to increase levels of accessibility by sustainable and healthier transport modes for all users
(See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26)**

Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
			AP5 page 22.	
PC30 Cycle Parking	Upgrade existing facility outside Visitor Information Centre. New facilities required in the Market Place and Carvers Recreation Ground. New facilities at Butlers Lane shopping area, Poulner.	£10,000	Developer contribution required	Improved secure facilities will encourage cycling to these retail, leisure and employment areas.
PC31 Improved cycle route signage	Improve signing of cycle routes within Ringwood to raise awareness of existing infrastructure.	£20,000	Developer contribution required	Improved information and awareness of routes can encourage cycling to and within the town centre.

5.3 Longer Term Schemes - Traffic Management and Highways Improvements

Policy B: Reduce the negative impacts of vehicle movements in the Ringwood TAP area				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
TMH1 Clough's Road	Possible one way traffic (eastbound) to prevent rat-running.	£10,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme could reduce traffic congestion in this area and reduced traffic numbers can improve the pedestrian environment encouraging walking/cycling. See paragraphs 2.23, 2.24 and 2.26
TMH2 Southampton Road/Carvers Recreation Ground – verge parking.	Improvements to verge to better manage car parking and reduce the level of obstruction of the footway facility.	£10,000	Developer contribution required	Improvements to the parking area will reduce the impact vehicles have on the pedestrian environment, improving walking links to/from the recreation ground. It will also improve highway safety.
TMH3 Eastfield Lane/A31 slip road – large vehicles mount the kerb when negotiating the corner.	Providing no third party land is required, the junction could be widened to overcome this problem.	£40,000	Developer contribution required	This will improve accessibility and safety for larger vehicles and goods vehicles accessing Ringwood. See paragraph 2.40
TMH4 High Street/West Street – Pedestrian Improvements	<p>Improve facilities for pedestrians to encourage greater footfall in this part of the shopping area whilst maintaining vehicle access for shopping and servicing. Includes improved pedestrian links along West Street and dropped crossings to be provided on High Street.</p> <p>An environment and traffic management strategy for the future of the town centre is to be prepared.</p>	£300,000	Developer contribution required and HCC Funding	Improved pedestrian environment will encourage walking/cycling to the town centre reducing reliance on the private car improving access for all and enhancing the economic vitality and environment of the town centre. See paragraph 2.16

Policy B: Reduce the negative impacts of vehicle movements in the Ringwood TAP area				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
TMH5- Bickerley Road at its junction with Bickerley Gardens	Junction improvement measures (possible change in junction priority)	£20,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme aims to improve visibility at the junction improving safety for pedestrians and cyclists improving links to and from the town centre along this route, encouraging non-car travel to the town.
TMH6 – CCTV for traffic/incident monitoring	B3347 Mansfield Road junction with the Furlong/Southampton Road. To be incorporated into HCC urban traffic control systems.	£35,000	HCC funding	CCTV linked to ANPR and Variable Message Signs (VMS) can be used to minimise congestion and better manage incidents such as road traffic accidents. VMS can warn westbound traffic on A31 of traffic queues ahead enabling people to amend their routes helping alleviate congestion.
TMH7 – Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR)	ANPR for journey time analysis and incident management at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A338 Northbound, north of junction with the A31; • A338 Southbound north of junction with A31 	£24,000	HCC funding	

5.4 Longer Term Schemes - Public and Community Transport Improvements

Policy C: Provide measures and facilities to encourage the maximum use of public transport				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PT1 Southampton Road (north side adjacent to 6 Whitehart Fields and south side adj. 254 Southampton Road)	New bus shelters required	£5,000	Developer contribution required	Bus stop improvements in this area will assist/promote use of the public transport service by providing greater awareness and information of services available.
PT2 Ringwood Bus Interchange – Meeting House Lane	Measures required to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised kerbs; • Bus stop/clearway boxes; • Poles and flags; • Litter bins; • Tactile paving; • Clocks; • Ticket Purchasing Facilities; • Improved interchange layout; New lighting (below tree canopy level)	£70,000	Developer contribution required	Improvements to the bus interchange area will help promote use of the services available through greater awareness and knowledge of the services provided encouraging bus travel as a viable alternative mode of travel to the car for visitors/residents in the town. See paragraph 2.30
PT3 Ringwood Bus Interchange – Meeting House Lane	Journey planning kiosk required and bus departure screen for better information provision	£30,000	Developer contribution required	Improved information and knowledge of the services available will promote use of public transport by making the use of public transport more user-friendly. See paragraph 2.30
PT4 Ringwood Bus Interchange – Meeting House Lane	Provision of bus departure display screens inside shelters at the interchange for better information provision	£40,000	Developer contribution required	Improved information and knowledge of the services within the shelters assist users of the bus services and will promote use of public transport. See paragraph 2.30

5.5 Longer Term Schemes – Encouraging ‘Smarter Choices’

Policy D: Develop and encourage alternative initiatives for travel change behaviour				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
SC1 Ringwood TAP Area Wide	School travel plan development and implementation	Variable	To be provided by developer	See paragraph 2.37
SC2 Ringwood TAP Area Wide	Workplace travel plans for existing and new employers in the area	Variable	To be provided by developer	See paragraph 2.36
SC3 Ringwood TAP Area Wide	Travel plans in association with new development	Variable	To be provided by developer	See paragraphs 2.35
SC4 Ringwood TAP Area Wide	Provision of electric vehicle re-charging points in suitable locations, which may include public car parks and designated on street parking places. Provision should also be made as part of development proposals.	Variable	Developer to implement and contributions required	Provision of this will promote use of ‘greener’ electric vehicles See paragraph 2.34

5.6 Longer Term Schemes – Public Realm Improvements

Policy E: Support enhancements to Ringwood’s pedestrian environment/ public realm, underpinning the future economic strength of the town				
Location/ Issue	Proposed Measure	Est. Cost	Funding source	Purpose of Scheme
PR1 Southampton Road (southern section to Fridays Cross)	Enhanced pedestrian environment to make the area safe and attractive for walking and cycling. Could present Phase 2 of the current Country Towns Initiative scheme described in AP1.	£200,000	Developer Contributions required	The scheme will enhance the pedestrian environment in the town centre and provide improved links to the services and facilities in the centre. The improved pedestrian links will promote walking to and from the town centre as a viable alternative to car travel. See paragraph 2.15
PR2 Southampton Road cul de sac (northern section from junction with Mansfield Road)	Accessibility improvements and enhanced pedestrian environment to make the area safe and attractive and to enhance footway links through the town.	£300,000	Developer contribution required	This scheme will improve pedestrian safety encouraging walking to/from the town centre reducing reliance on the private car. See paragraph 2.15
PR3 High Street/Market Place	Landscape and streetscape improvements to complement traffic management changes as described in TMH4	£300,000	HCC Funding	Improvements to the pedestrian environment will encourage non car travel to the town centre. See paragraph 2.16

6. Next steps, monitoring and review

How the TAP will used

- 6.1 This document will be used to guide the implementation of improvements to the transport infrastructure in Ringwood. It provides detailed information regarding the improvements to the local transport infrastructure that are required to enable development proposals in Ringwood to meet the requirements of the Core Strategy Policies CS24 and CS25 and Hampshire County Council's 'Transport Contributions Policy'. It sets out the schemes which developers' contributions will be used to implement to enable their development to proceed. It informs developers of the mitigation measures that are required in Ringwood (depending on the location of development). It is anticipated the TAP will also be used by developers in their preparation of Transport Assessments.
- 6.2 The TAP will be used to inform decisions on where funding should be sought and which schemes should be implemented. Detailed design work will ensure that each scheme complies with Policy CS2 (Design Quality) and Policy CS3 (Protecting and enhancing our special environment) of the New Forest District (outside the National Park) Core Strategy, and contributes positively to local distinctiveness. Further public consultation on individual schemes will take place as and when required. In some circumstances, it may be necessary to modify some of the schemes listed in the schedule as a result of detailed design considerations.

Responsibility for the implementation of the TAP

- 6.3 The Ringwood Town Access Plan has been prepared by Hampshire County Council, New Forest District and Ringwood Town Councils in partnership.
- 6.4 The Ringwood Town Access Plan will be adopted by New Forest District Council as a Supplementary Planning Document, forming part of the Local Development Framework.
- 6.5 The document will be adopted by the County Council's Executive Member of the Environment (following the public consultation) as a County Council policy document.
- 6.6 All three authorities will however share the responsibility in implementing the TAP.

Likely and possible sources of funding for schemes

- 6.7 The TAP is largely reliant upon developer contributions obtained through the implementation of the Core Strategy and Transport Contributions Policy policies. The TAP will serve as a key document in the negotiations that take place between developers, the Highway Authority and Local Planning Authority to secure appropriate local improvements to transport infrastructure to support new development within the town.
- 6.8 There may be the opportunity to direct other sources of funding towards the delivery of schemes by the pooling of funds. This may include LTP funds, capital funds from NFDC or HCC or other key stakeholders investing in the town such as the Department for Transport and Public Transport operators.

How implementation of this TAP will be monitored and reviewed

6.9 The TAP will be jointly monitored and kept under review. Future reviews will take account of:

- revisions to local and national policy, and in particular the emerging Sites and Development Management Development Plan Document (under preparation by New Forest District Council);
- new information on transport/access issues in Ringwood;
- the impact of new development;
- changes to the transport network;
- the impact of schemes implemented as a result of the TAP;
- any new schemes or initiatives emerging from NFDC/HCC/RTC monitoring that are suitable for inclusion in the Action Plan.

Appendix 1 – Hampshire County Transport Contributions Policy

Transport Contributions Policy: A New Approach to Calculating Transport Contributions in Hampshire

September 2007

C3 - Residential	Cost per Trip (£)	Household Occupancy	Multi-Modal Trips (per dwelling)	Cost per dwelling (£)
1 Bed Dwelling	535	1.3	3.7	1980
2-3 Bed Dwelling	535	2.42	7.0	3745
4+ Bed Dwelling	535	3.5	10.2	5457
B - Employment	Cost per Trip (£)		Multi-Modal Trips (per 100sqm)	Cost per 100 sqm (£)
B1 Business	230		18.7	4301
B2 General Industry	230		7.5	1725
B8 Warehouse & Distribution	230		9.4	2162

Appendix 2 – Accessibility Assessment/TAP Methodology

The following paragraphs provide evidence of the various ways in which the access needs of Ringwood have been highlighted and analysed. The outcomes are reflected in the Ringwood TAP Action Plan.

Ringwood Town Plan / ‘Love It, Hate It, I Wish’ surveys

As part of the development of the Town Plan and its associated Strategic Implementation Programme in 2008, the depth and breadth of townspeople’s views were sought on a number of topics. The surveys capture issues such as roads, transport and accessibility. As a snapshot of some of the outputs, people cited the fact that they liked the good transport links, especially the town’s strategic position on the road network and the fact that there is a good provision of bus and coach services. On the flip side, people found that entering and exiting the town from the A31 was difficult due to congestion. Many people wished for a traffic free shopping area in the High Street and an extended network of cycle tracks throughout the town. The full results can be viewed on the Town Council’s webpages ¹³.

Ringwood Town ‘Signage Group’ Work

A Signage Project Group was set up in 2006 to carry out a review of directional and other signage in the town and to put forward proposals for their improvement. The Group is made up of members of the public, representatives of the Chamber of Trade and Town Councillors. The Group identified the need to improve pedestrian signing in the town centre so that visitors can find their way easily to all parts of the town, the need to improve signage on the A31 to attract more people into the town and to improve the signs at entry points to the town. A new map was created and map boards located in the Furlong car park now act as a starting point for visitors. The proposed new pedestrian signs will guide visitors to areas of interest located on the map and require implementation through the TAP Action Plan.

Qualitative Assessment

It is important to combine the various consultation events with local knowledge and perceptions which can highlight the range of difficulties and barriers that individuals face reaching their destination by all modes available to them. This has been gathered through informal consultation with the different departments responsible within the County and District Councils for issues affecting accessibility.

Stakeholder Consultation

Local stakeholders, including elected members, Headteachers, Chamber of Trade, New Forest Access for All Forum, and Students have been widely consulted and questioned on transport and access issues. The Local Strategic Partnership action group responsible for transport, (who comprise of a large number of organisations who work in the community), were asked to prioritise the main transport issues in the town and their responses have informed the preparation of this document.

A stakeholder workshop was held in July 2009 which focused on participants’ knowledge of transport and access problems within Ringwood. Participants consisted of local members, students, New Forest access groups and schools. Groups were asked to map barriers to accessibility and provide any useful information from their experiences of access within the town.

¹³ ringwood.gov.uk/Your_Council/Ringwood-future/future-homepage.html

Community Street Audits (CSA's)/ Ringwood Walking and Cycling Strategy

CSA's are essentially audits of the street carried out by a representative community/ public group. The purpose is to identify the barriers and obstacles to access and movement within the town and to suggest ways in which they can be overcome. The outcomes of the audits can be used to help prioritise the implementation of works.

The County Council hosted five CSA's between 2002 and 2004 in partnership with the Town and District Councils and with the involvement of community representatives. The purpose of these CSA's was to help develop a comprehensive walking and cycling strategy for the town. Some of the outcomes of these CSA's still remain valid and have helped form the basis of street audits that were carried out in August 2008 to act as a refresher of the original CSA's held some years ago.

Common issues identified in the CSA's included poor maintenance, obstructions to footpaths and inadequate signage for pedestrians and cyclists.


Some of the results of these CSA's have already led to changes within the town such as the permanent road closure of Quomp. This has stopped rat-running and speeding traffic and produced a pleasant environment for walking and cycling to the local schools and town centre.

The time and effort of those who contributed to the CSA's between 2002 and 2004 and the Walking and Cycling strategy is gratefully acknowledged in the production of this plan.

Appendix 3 - Action Plan Measures

Map 1 Transport Schemes

Map 2 Cycle Routes



- PROPOSALS KEY**
- Footpath Improvement
 - Highway Improvement
 - ▲ Junction Improvement
 - Controlled Crossing
 - Uncontrolled Crossing
 - Bus Stop Improvement
 - Car parks, Bus/Rail Station, and other transport proposals
 - ◆ Traffic Management

This plan is to identify locations of the proposals only.
Please see the schedule for comprehensive list.

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Copyright © Crown copyright and may be reproduced in any form or by any means without charge, subject to any conditions that may apply in connection with any reproduction or use of the material.




New Forest DISTRICT COUNCIL
Town Hall
Avenue Road
Lymington
Hampshire
SO41 9ZG
Tel 023 8028 5000
Fax 023 8028 5943

Ringwood Town Access Plan

Measures to Promote Walking, use of Public Transport and Reduce the Adverse Impact of Traffic

Issue March 2010
Scale 1:4000 (at A0)
Author DS
Designer DS
Checker DS

R754 (RI)



Existing & Proposed Cycle Routes

On road recommended
 Existing Proposed
 On road cycle lane
 Existing Proposed
 Adjacent to road
 Existing Proposed
 Off road
 Existing Proposed

Cycle Parking
 Existing Cycle Parking
 Proposed Cycle Parking

Note 1:
 Proposed cycle routes agreed by NFDC
<http://www.newforest.gov.uk/communities/cycleplan/r706r1.pdf>

MAPS TO LOCATE PROPOSALS ONLY.
 NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE USE OF ANY ROUTE SHOWN. THE EXISTING ROUTES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN MAY NOT BE SUITABLE FOR USE AT THIS TIME.

MAPS TO LOCATE PROPOSALS ONLY.
 NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE USE OF ANY ROUTE SHOWN. THE EXISTING ROUTES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN MAY NOT BE SUITABLE FOR USE AT THIS TIME.

MAPS TO LOCATE PROPOSALS ONLY.
 NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE USE OF ANY ROUTE SHOWN. THE EXISTING ROUTES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN MAY NOT BE SUITABLE FOR USE AT THIS TIME.

MAPS TO LOCATE PROPOSALS ONLY.
 NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE USE OF ANY ROUTE SHOWN. THE EXISTING ROUTES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN MAY NOT BE SUITABLE FOR USE AT THIS TIME.

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of the Map. © Crown copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey. For more information please contact Ordnance Survey on 0333 200 111 or visit our website www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk

New Forest District Council

Town Hall
 Avenue Road
 Lynton
 Hantsport
 SO41 9ZG

Tel 023 8028 5000
 Fax 023 8028 5943

Ringwood Town Access Plan

Cycle Route Proposals

DATE: July 2010 SCALE: 1:5000 (at A0)

DESIGNED: DS	CHECKED: DS
R706(RI) v2	