

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO DISTRICT OF NEW FOREST (OFF-STREET PARKING PLACES) ORDER - REPRESENTATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On 13 September 2005 the Council authorised officers to take steps to advertise amendments to the Council's Off-Street Parking Places Order. This was done and notice of the proposals was published in the local press and in all the car parks. Appendix 1 of this report sets out the main details of the proposals. This report provides an analysis of the representations received and makes recommendations for Cabinet to consider.

2. COMMENTS

2.1 Statutory Consultees.

2.1.1 The Highway Authority

Hampshire County Council, as Highway Authority, must give their consent to the order. Officers and Cabinet Members of the Council have been in discussions with their counterparts in the County. The County will formally consider the proposals at the Executive Members for Spatial Strategy meeting on 11 October 2005. Officers will report the results of the meeting orally.

2.1.2 Emergency Services and Haulage Industry

No representations were received.

2.2 Other representations received.

Town and Parish Councils and Chambers of Commerce were sent full details of the proposals. Their comments and consideration of them are set out in Appendix 2.

2.3 General comments

A summary of all representations received together with comments and suggested action can be found in Appendix 3. In total some 141 representations have been received. Although 141 is a considerable number, this should be considered in the context that some 65,000 clocks have been issued this year (less than 0.216%). A copy of all objection letters received has been placed in the Members' Room.

3. PROPOSED CHANGES TO ADVERTISED PROPOSALS

- 3.1 As a result of the comments the following amendments are suggested to the draft Order. It is believed that these amendments are not substantial enough to require the order to be re-advertised. (The relevant regulations require re-advertisement where a "substantial change" is proposed to the draft Order).

3.2 Hythe Ferry

At its meeting on 13 September 2005 Council approved Cabinet's recommendation "That consultation be undertaken with Hythe Ferry owners and Hythe and Dibden Parish Council with a view to establishing special arrangements for Hythe ferry users ". These consultations have taken place and a scheme devised that could well promote the use of the ferry and be of assistance to existing users.

As a result of the consultations it is recommended that a "Hythe Ferry clock" be made available to those who purchase the following ferry tickets:

10 Journey Ticket
Monthly
3 Monthly
Annual.

These tickets, together with the clocks, will only be available for purchase from the ticket office at Hythe pier head.

The Ferry clock is to entitle the holder to all the facilities of the Short Stay Clock plus long stay in Hythe. The cost of the clock is to be £8. the same as the standard short stay clock. Printed on the clock itself will be a ferry time table and other promotional material.

3.3 Community Centres

At its meeting on 13 September 2005, Council approved Cabinet's recommendation that "Consultations be undertaken with Community Centres where users are reliant on Council-owned off-street car parks, with a view to establishing special arrangements to assist appropriate Community Centre users".

In order to meet publication deadlines it has not been possible to conclude consultations with Community Centres prior to this report being written. From the discussions that have taken place to date it is envisaged that the arrangements will be as follows:

- Special arrangements will be put in place only for those Community Centres that have no on-site parking
- Lyndhurst and Beaulieu Centres do not have any parking at all attached to the premises
- The centres wish the short stay clock to allow 5 hours parking to overcome the problems they foresee as a result of the change in the clock scheme.

An oral report will be given on progress concerning these consultations and if any amendment to the above proposed changes needs to be considered.

3.4 Use of short stay clocks in long stay car parks

The order will not include any provision that will prevent the pre-purchase of a ticket from a parking meter to use in conjunction with a 3 hour clock in a long stay car park. Motorists must ensure that the period of parking allowed by the short stay clock and that purchased from a ticket machine covers the full period of their stay.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The proposals have been devised to try to maintain the financial viability of Town Centres and encourage commuters to consider using alternatives to individual cars. Special arrangements have been put in place to encourage the use of Hythe ferry as an alternative to commuting to Southampton by car.

5. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 None envisaged.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Given that in excess of 60,000 clocks and 1800 amenity permits will have been sold for year 2005, it is reasonable to assume the following sales under the suggested new scheme.

45,000 short stay clocks	£306,400 (incl Ferry Clocks)
5,000 District wide clocks	£340,400
2,000 half year District wide	£74,900

The above gives a total income of £721,700, which compares to a budgeted income from clocks of £332,200 for year 2005. The introduction of a District wide clock may result in a small reduction in the sale of Amenity Permits in the region of possibly £30,000 pa.

7. PORTFOLIO HOLDER'S COMMENTS

These will be reported to the meeting.

8. RECOMMENDATION

- 8.1 That due consideration be given to the objections and representations received following publication of the draft amendments to the Council's Off-Street Parking Places Order.
- 8.2 That, subject to consent being received from Hampshire County Council and to any further modifications Council may decide to make, officers be authorised to amend the District of New Forest (Off-Street Parking Places) Order to:
- (a) reflect the proposals set out in Appendix 1 to this report; and
 - (b) include further modifications to reflect the changes now proposed at sections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 of this report; and

- 8.3 That, in the Council's view, the modifications set out at 8.2 (b) above are not substantial changes to the draft Order such as to require further advertisement and a further period for public representation.

For Further Information Please Contact:

John Bull
Parking Manager
Tel (023) 8028 5949
E-mail john.bull@nfdc.gov.uk

MAIN DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO DISTRICT OF NEW FOREST (OFF-STREET PARKING PLACES) ORDER

1. To replace the current town and village centre parking clock scheme with the following:
 - (a) a shoppers' parking clock, at a cost of £8 for the 2006 calendar year or part thereof which, if properly displayed, enables a vehicle to be parked without further payment for up to 3 hours (subject to the terms of the District of New Forest (Off-Street Parking Places) Order) in any town or village centre (but not amenity) car park
 - (b) a District wide parking clock, at a cost of £80 for the 2006 calendar year or part thereof, or £44 for the 6 month periods commencing 1 January or 1 July 2006 or part thereof, which, if properly displayed, enables a vehicle to be parked without further payment for any period (subject to the terms of the District of New Forest (Off-Street Parking Places) Order) in any town or village centre, or any amenity, car park.

Both types of parking clock will be transferable between vehicles.

2. To provide that in the following "short stay" car parking areas, the maximum period for which vehicles may wait between 8.00 am and 6.00 pm will be increased from 2 hours to 3 hours: Hythe - Jones Lane, New Road; Lymington - Cannon Street East, Cannon Street West, Emsworth Road, Gosport Street, Southampton Road, St Thomas Street; Lyndhurst; Milford-on-Sea - Sea Road; New Milton - Elm Avenue, Osborne Road, Spencer Road North, Spencer Road South.
3. To vary the charges for amenity car park permits as follows:

Category of applicant	Previous charge (1 March to 31 October 2005)	Proposed charge (1 March to 31 October 2006)
Residents of New Forest District	£38.00 per permit	£45.00 per permit
Non-residents of New Forest District	£44.00 per permit	£50.00 per permit
Additional registration on same permit (maximum 2 vehicles)	£5.50 per permit	£6.00 per permit
Replacement permit	£5.50 per permit	£6.00 per permit

DETAILS OF REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED FROM TOWN AND PARISH COUNCILS

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
Sandleheath Parish Council	Bearing in mind that the current NFDC administration made the point when first introducing parking charges that it was not their intention to use parking charges as a fund raiser and any increases would not be over the rate of inflation this Parish Council feel that the District Council will be accused of bad faith if the proposed increases in parking clock charges are implemented as from the 1st January 06. It should be borne in mind that in January 05 the increase in parking clock charge was some 20% when the rate of inflation was not more than 2%. The proposed increase would be in the region of 33%. Such an increase is totally unacceptable.	The cost of the clocks for the period 1 January 06 until 31 December 06 has been carefully considered. The economic vitality of our Town Centres and transportation concerns have been taken into account. The cost of the short stay clock, £8 pa is low and should not prove a deterrent to visiting our Town/Village Centres. The long stay Districtwide clock (£80pa) is at a level which is below neighbouring Councils and reflects the poor public transport facilities in our rural areas. A 6-monthly £44 clock is available to those who may find a single £80 payment difficult.	None
Whitsbury Parish Council	i) Support for rise in short term clock from £6 to £8. ii) Concern that introduction of £80 long term clock will not discourage car use (your figures suggest a fall from 60,000 to 52,000 clock sales) merely displace them to on street parking and the consequent increase in signage/paint to control this.	The holder of a long stay clock can park for £1.54 a week. This is a comparatively small sum. It is to be expected that some motorists who use car parks infrequently may decide to purchase a daily ticket from a meter. Given the Council is taking on responsibility for on-street parking enforcement it will be better placed to deal with any problems that arise.	Monitor on street parking to ascertain if any action is required in the unlikely event of there being any displacement.
	iii) They note that 'on-street parking' surplus to be spent on allied transport services, whereas 'off-street' surplus may be spent on 'other areas' i.e. as a supplement to the Council Tax rate.		

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
Beaulieu Parish Council	<p>I believe that Mr Roger Neath has already put forward our request that Beaulieu car park has a restriction of 5 hours, rather than 3 hours, due to the fact that this is the only parking area in Beaulieu and locals working in the various businesses and living in the village need to use the car park for everyday life. Also our Village Hall is located adjacent to the car park and users of the hall also need to leave their cars there when they attend functions. To limit restrictions to 3 hours would definitely affect the viability of the Village Hall.</p> <p>Beaulieu Parish Council would also like to make the comment that the clock started out at £5, rose to £6 and now £8 - this is a very steep rise in only 3 years. One of our Councillors has also made the observation that with the possibility of the yearly clock costing £80, and it would obviously need to be displayed in a prominent position in the car, this could lead to vandalism due to the value of the clock.</p>	<p>Beaulieu car park is a long stay car park with a maximum waiting period of 20 hours. The proposed amendment to the Parking Order does not alter this. The Village Hall has no parking of its own. In recognition of this it is proposed to allow short stay clock holders to wait for 5 hours in order to attend events at the hall</p>	<p>Allow short stay clock holders to wait for 5 hours in Beaulieu car park.</p>
Ringwood Town Council	<p>1 that the shoppers clock ,at a cost of £8 be accepted</p> <p>2That the Districtwide clock at a cost of £80 for 2006 be rejected for Ringwood</p> <p>3 That the shoppers clock be applicable to all car parks in Ringwood, including long Stay car parks</p> <p>4 Although previously rejected by the Town Council, they would like to see an 8 hour maximum stay in the long stay car park. This it believes would go some way to alleviate the current pressures on parking in the Town. The</p>	<p>The long stay clock is set at a level which appears suitable for the District although it is lower than in neighbouring Councils. Short stay clocks can be used in both long and short stay car parks. The problem of commuters using Ringwood is acknowledged and altering waiting restrictions may alleviate this problem.</p>	<p>Investigate again merits of additional long stay waiting restrictions.</p>

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
	Town Council believes that the £80 fee will not free any additional parking as commuters would be prepared to pay this charge.		
Lyndhurst Parish Council	<p>I have been asked to write to you to stress that Lyndhurst Parish Council consider it very important to grant a special concession to allow a five hour time limit in our long stay car park for the price of a short stay clock (£8). Our Community Centre does not have its own car park and consequently users of this building park in the NFDC car park. Many users stay there for sessions of much longer than three hours and a five hour time limit would go some way to ensuring the stability of the Community Centre's use.</p> <p>I have also been asked to state that the Parish Council are disappointed to see such a large increase in parking charges and I would like to register our disquiet at having received so little prior consultation regarding the proposals.</p>	The Community Centre has no parking of its own. In recognition of this it is proposed to allow short stay clock holders to wait for 5 hours in order to attend events at the hall. If implemented the proposals will continue to provide parking at a cost lower than neighbouring authorities. The representations made by the Parish Council will be fully considered.	Allow short stay clock holders to wait for 5 hours in Lyndhurst car park.
Fawley Parish Council	This Council supports the consultation that is to take place with Hythe Ferry and users and would ask that in this consultation you consider users of the ferry from Fawley Parish.	The Council wishes to promote and encourage the use of Hythe Ferry. Consultations have taken place with the Highway Authority, Ferry owner and Parish Council. As a result special arrangements for all Hythe Ferry users have been proposed if agreed these will encourage and promote the use of the Ferry.	Special Hythe ferry Clock to be introduced to encourage use of Ferry
Marchwood Parish Council	Marchwood Parish Council wish to register their objection to the proposals and express their concern at the likely adverse impact on traffic movements and usage of the Hythe ferry.	The Council wishes to promote and encourage the use of Hythe Ferry. Consultations have taken place with the Highway Authority, Ferry owner and Parish Council. As a result special arrangements for Hythe Ferry users have	Introduction of a special "Ferry Clock"

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		been proposed if agreed these will encourage and promote the use of the Ferry.	
Breamore Parish Council	<p>The Council are most concerned at the proposals and object most strongly. NFDC was last elected on the subject of 'Free Car Parks' which had cost the community large sums of money when car parks had been set up with ticket machines, which were later removed. Since the inception of the current car park charges, the rate of rise in charges has been excessive, and the proposal now is to reduce the car park charge to just 3 hours.</p> <p>The new proposal is likely to make people park on the roads instead and this could cause more problems. This comes across as a money making exercise and not as a sensible 'traffic management' exercise. We must ask you to withdraw this current proposal, local people need to do their business in the Forest area without the problem of excessive car park charges, please think again.</p>	The proposed cost of 15.5 pence per week for a short stay clock and £1.54 a week for a long stay clock provides exceedingly good value for money.	None
Hythe and Dibden Parish Council	<p>1 Not generally a parking problem in Hythe 2 Hythe Ferry important in reducing congestion on A326. The special arrangements are acknowledged but concerned the new scheme may generally destabilise parking within Hythe 3 Increase in short stay from 2 to 3 hours welcomed but believe certain groups will be disadvantaged by the cost of £80 clock. 4 Long stay Town clocks should be available excluding amenity car parks at a lower price. 5 Problems of long stay parkers not being able to find a space.</p>	<p>1 Parking needs to be managed to ensure balance between long and short stay and to keep viability of Hythe ferry, 2 The Council wishes to promote and encourage the use of Hythe Ferry. Consultations have taken place with the Highway Authority, Ferry owner and Parish Council. As a result special arrangements for Hythe Ferry users have been proposed. If agreed these will encourage and promote the use of the Ferry.</p>	Introduction of a special "Ferry Clock"

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
	<p>6 Concessions for people undertaking voluntary work e.g. CAB</p> <p>7 The changes are fundamental and more detailed consultation required.</p>	<p>3 The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. A half yearly clock is available to those who do not wish to purchase a full year's clock.</p> <p>4. Inclusion of the amenity car parks in the long stay scheme was undertaken as an incentive to purchase the long stay clock. Excluding these car parks would not affect the price of the long stay clock.</p> <p>5. The previous scheme as with the proposed does not guarantee a parking space.</p> <p>6. There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The proposed relatively low cost of a parking clock goes someway to mitigate this concern.</p> <p>7. As can be seen from this report there has been considerable opportunity for consultation.</p>	
Totton & Eling Town Council	<p>(a) Expresses its concern that significant changes to the district-wide parking scheme are being proposed without any preliminary consultation with town and parish Councils and, generally, with insufficient justification.</p> <p>(b) Advises NFDC that it is not prepared to support any changes to the existing scheme</p>	<p>As can be seen from this report, full consultation is being undertaken.</p> <p>The Council believes the proposal is justified on financial and traffic management grounds.</p>	<p>The management of these car parks and regulations in force at the time will be reviewed when the Town Centre and Parking Strategies are developed.</p>

TOWN/PARISH	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
	<p>until justified.</p> <p>(c) Commends NFDC for retaining the present parking regime insofar as it affects the Civic Centre, Westfield and Rumbridge Street car parks.</p> <p>(d) Objects to any change to the present parking regime as it affects Elingfield car park and Winsor Road (long term) car park, pending completion and adoption of a car parking strategy for the Town Centre, to be prepared pursuant to the Totton Town Centre Strategy being commissioned by NFDC.</p> <p>(e) Advises NFDC that it believes that adoption of its proposed changes to the parking regime for Elingfield car park and Winsor Road (long term) car park are likely to result in more on-street parking in Eling and residential areas close to the railway station, and greater use of the Town Centre car parks by commuters, to the detriment of the Town Centre.</p>	<p>The parking strategy will take into account these proposals.</p> <p>Given the Council is taking on responsibility for on-street parking enforcement it will be better placed to deal with any problems that arise. Under the new proposals Rumbridge Street car park remains free of charge to encourage its use.</p>	
Fordingbridge Town Council	<p>Members expressed their concern at the proposed price rise of a long stay car parking space permit from an annual cost of £6 to £80. It is considered that this will be perceived as a huge rise.</p> <p>Concern has also been expressed about the charging for parking in the Town Centre car park on a Sunday. This has resulted in a drop in the number of shoppers and local trade is suffering as a result. Salisbury car parks are free on a Sunday and this has encouraged shoppers to shop there and not Fordingbridge. Members request that charges in Fordingbridge car parks are suspended on a Sunday.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>Sunday charge is not affected by the proposal set out in the draft Parking Order.</p>	<p>Note concern about Sunday charges when fees are reviewed.</p>

DETAILS OF GENERAL REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS547505	Philip J Leonard	Exploitation of locals Original idea to charge visitors Already pay one of the highest Council taxes No longer Value for Money	The proposed amendments to the Parking Order mean that convenient and relatively inexpensive parking will continue to be provided to residents. The holder of a long stay clock can park for £1.54 a week and a short stay clock for 15.5p a week	None
CS547938	Mrs Watts	Pass for Charity workers working more than 3 hours?	There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The proposed relatively low cost of a parking clock goes some way to mitigate this concern.	None
CS547946	Mr Ian Shutler Wilts and Dorset Bus Co	Large rise JP Morgan/Chase Manhattan staff taking up a lot of spaces Cause financial difficulties to some members of staff who need to park in Ringwood to carry out their work Spaces in New Forest car parks should be for those working, visiting or residing in the local area and making a financial contribution to the businesses here.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport to the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. Council car parks are by their nature "public car parks" available to all. The Council is aware of the pressure on long stay spaces caused by commuter parking in Ringwood	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS547947	Mrs J Fanton	<p>Penalise locals</p> <p>Not deter 'Park & Ride'</p> <p>No solution to parking problems in Ringwood</p> <p>Propose max 6 hours long stay with £8 clock to enable locals to move cars</p>	<p>The proposed amendments to the Parking Order mean that convenient and relatively inexpensive parking will continue to be provided to residents.</p> <p>Council car parks are by their nature "public car parks" available to all.</p> <p>The Council is aware of the pressure on long stay spaces caused by commuter parking in Ringwood.</p> <p>A short stay clock allowing 6 hours parking would not encourage a turnover of vehicles and thus exacerbate the problems of lack of space.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit.</p>
CS548178	Ken Drew Lym Market Supervisor Petition signed by X no of traders	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Lack of consultation</p> <p>Concession for market traders in Lymington?</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport to the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>All representations received are carefully considered.</p> <p>There appears to be no reason why market traders should be a "special case" and in fact their vehicles are often large taking up considerable space in public car parks.</p>	<p>None</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS548196	Sonia Norgan	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Suggest special charge for those using 'Park & Ride'</p> <p>Propose max 6 hours long stay with £8 clock to enable locals to move cars</p>	<p>The proposed amendments to the Parking Order mean that convenient and relatively inexpensive parking will continue to be provided to residents.</p> <p>Council car parks are by their nature "public car parks" available to all.</p> <p>The Council is aware of the pressure on long stay spaces caused by commuter parking in Ringwood.</p> <p>A short stay clock allowing 6 hours parking would not encourage a turnover of vehicles and thus exacerbate the problems of lack of space</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit</p>
CS548198	Fiona Clapp Ringwood Chamber of Trade	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Not deter 'Park & Ride'. Suggest a 6 hour short stay clock for Ringwood</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car . The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. A 6 hour clock/waiting limit would not find universal favour amongst those who work in Ringwood and could make the situation worse by discouraging the turnover of vehicles in the car park</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit.</p>
CS548417	Mr Lawrie Hooley	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Will increase provide better security?</p> <p>Victimised for owning a car</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. The</p>	<p>None</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			importance of the coach/bus interchange is fully appreciated. The car park is fully covered by CCTV at the present time.	
CS548418	Mrs L Simons	Penalised for parking in Ringwood and particularly those going on coach trips. People are being encouraged to use public transport.	The importance of the coach/bus interchange is fully appreciated. The Council believe that neither existing meter charges nor proposed cost of the clock are detrimental to parking then using public transport	None
CS548660	Mr M Reardon	Elected on a promise of abolishing parking charges Many people on a low income cannot afford the increase. If increase is aimed at commuters, it won't work - pain will be felt by local employees.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. In order to assist those who may find it difficult to purchase an £80 yearly clock a half yearly clock can be purchased for the sum of £44.	None
CS548682	Miss Shelley Gregory	Unacceptable increase Pay more if you buy 2 x half yearly Public transport not available when needed.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. Half yearly clocks are more expensive to reflect the additional administration costs. The cost of the clock provides parking at a cost lower than neighbouring areas; this is partly in recognition of the poor public transport provision in the District.	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS548701	Miss N Garside	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Amount should have been thought about 2 years ago</p> <p>Payment methods - suggests direct debit. Not everyone has credit cards.</p> <p>Unfortunate renewal time cost wise</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>In order to assist those who may find it difficult to purchase an £80 yearly clock a half yearly clock can be purchased for the sum of £44.</p>	None
CS548873	Mr John Dixon Managing Director	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Poor public transport links to Ringwood</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p>	None
CS549006	D P Nikandrou	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>only looking to increase revenue</p> <p>strain on employees income</p> <p>Does not consider Hythe to have a problem with parking</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>It costs considerable amounts of money to pay for parking facilities (NNDR etc has to be paid). It is only proper that the user pays. Parking in Hythe has to be managed, particularly on market days. Special provision will be made for Hythe Ferry users to encourage commuters not to drive to Southampton.</p>	A special clock to assist parking for Hythe ferry users will be introduced.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS549009	Mr D Browett	<p>staggering increase</p> <p>Workers parking for more than 3 hours seriously disadvantaged.</p> <p>Contrary to Council's stated aim of keeping Towns centre vibrant</p> <p>Employer cannot afford to increase wages to compensate</p> <p>Does not consider Hythe to have a problem with parking</p> <p>Public transport not viable option</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The short stay clock at £8 pa is designed to encourage people to use the facilities on offer in the district and provides convenient and inexpensive parking compared with neighbouring areas.</p> <p>It costs considerable amounts of money to pay for parking facilities (NNDR etc has to be paid). It is only proper that the user pays. Parking in Hythe has to be managed, particularly on market days. Special provision will be made for Hythe Ferry users to encourage commuters not to drive to Southampton.</p>	<p>A special clock to assist parking for Hythe ferry users will be introduced.</p>
CS549303	Mrs Christine Rickman	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Those working in Ringwood need to have more than 6 hours continuous parking, can not be expected to leave work to change location of car. Beneficial terms should be found for those who work in the town with different restrictions for those who do not. The increase should guarantee long stay parking</p> <p>workers and visitors need to be encouraged to stay and shop, this scheme will adversely</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. A 6 hour clock/waiting limit would not find universal favour amongst those who work in Ringwood and could make the situation worse by discouraging the turnover of vehicles in the</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>affect the business community</p> <p>Concessions for staff able to prove they work in Ringwood</p> <p>Feels old permit scheme fairer</p> <p>Valid reason to increase charge for 'out of town' company workers</p> <p>Ringwood workers to be penalised for problem created by others</p> <p>Not enough long stay spaces in Ringwood</p> <p>Furlong Centre Car Park, adjacent to Waitrose operated by Britannia with a 2 hour limit. Waitrose do not wish to have staff in their customer car park</p>	car park.	
CS549364	M J Losh	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Proposal enables use of other amenity car parks - a benefit not required by all</p> <p>Lymington residents already paying through local taxes.</p> <p>Will provide unlimited parking rather than existing 20 hours, not required.</p> <p>Proposals will force people to park on streets</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>Clock holders will be subject to the waiting restrictions which are applicable in individual car parks.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on- street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on- street problems</p>	<p>Monitor any on street parking problems that are reported with a view to considering if additional enforcement/regulations should be considered.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			that may arise.	
CS549666	Beverley Wade	<p>Cannot see sense in allowing short stay people to park in long stay bays which are in short supply in Ringwood</p> <p>impossible to find space in Blynkbonnie after 7.00am due to JP Morgan staff</p> <p>£80 clock only gives a 'fighting chance' of finding a space</p>	<p>In practical terms it is not possible to prevent short stay parking in long stay car parks and nor is it desirable. The priority for Town Centre parking is to provide short stay parking for those wishing to use shops/local business. The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. However, public car parks are by their nature available to all.</p> <p>The new clock scheme may improve turnover of vehicles and provide some additional parking opportunities. The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS549667	Ann White	<p>Never any long stay spaces after 8.15am in Blynkbonnie</p> <p>Disabled spaces not used in Blynkbonnie (at back of car park)</p> <p>Why can't parking be subsidized by road tax and Council tax?</p> <p>Give more thought to people who work in Ringwood</p>	<p>The new clock scheme may improve turnover of vehicles and provide some additional parking opportunities. The previous scheme as with the proposed does not guarantee a parking space. The number of disabled spaces in the car park complies with national guidelines laid down by the Department of Transport and adhered to by the Council to help comply with the Disability Discrimination Act.</p> <p>With services like parking it is a general principle that the users pay. The general</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			Council tax payer should not subsidise the service. There are many in the District who have no car and many who use the facilities but do not pay Council Tax to the New Forest District Council.	
CS549668	Matt Price	Not enough long stay bays in Ringwood short-stay parkers parking in long stay bays High cost of public transport	In practical terms it is not possible to prevent short stay parking in long stay car parks nor is it desirable. The priority for town centre parking is to provide short stay parking for those wishing to use shops/local businesses. The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. However, public car parks are by their nature available to all. It is not economic or environmentally acceptable to continually use more land space for the building of car parks. The cost of annual parking has been set taking into account the poor public transport in the District.	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.
CS549669	Laura Allen	Not enough long stay bays in Ringwood Clock does not even guarantee a space unnecessary increase	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. The previous scheme as with the proposed does not guarantee a parking space.	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS549670	Cheri Dixon Marketing Manager	<p>Unacceptable increase concerned that might lose staff</p> <p>Clock does not even guarantee a space</p> <p>Concessions for those able to prove they live or work in Ringwood?</p> <p>Support locals not outsiders</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase or restricting use to particular vehicles will detract from this.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS549671	Kjersti Smith	<p>Never any long stay spaces in Blynkbonnie due to JP Morgan staff parking for transfer to Bournemouth</p> <p>short-stay parkers parking in long stay bays will mean even less spaces</p> <p>Local workers support local shops, food outlets etc</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The proposals do not alter the regulations concerning parking times in long/short stay car parks. Motorists have always been able to park short stay in long stay car parks.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS549685	Jane Mills	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Never any long stay spaces in Ringwood due to JP Morgan staff parking for transfer to Bournemouth</p> <p>How is increase justified</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. The new clock scheme may improve turnover of vehicles and provide some additional parking opportunities. The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS549895	Mrs Jean Willis	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Unsuitable public transport times</p> <p>Concessions for those able to prove they live or work in Ringwood?</p> <p>Those parking in Ringwood to commute should be charged even more than £80</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase or restricting use to particular vehicles will detract from this.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS549897	Mrs Elaine Cameron	Charity workers in short supply and cannot leave shop to move car Charity shop workers will have to leave if they have to buy an £80 clock	There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The proposed relatively low cost of a parking clock goes some way to mitigate this concern	None
CS549902	Miss M Norris	Never any long stay spaces in Ringwood due to JP Morgan staff parking for transfer to Bournemouth £80 Clock does not even guarantee a space Objection to commuters parking for transfer to Bournemouth/ Southampton	The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities.	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.
CS549914	Marie Jipps	Fee acceptable if it guarantees a long stay space Local workers support local shops, food outlets etc Town like Ringwood need to encourage people to use them	The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550302	Mrs Fiona Barrow	<p>Part-time worker working more than 3 hours who cannot afford £80 permit.</p> <p>increase not justified</p> <p>Not deter 'Park & Ride' users</p> <p>New scheme will lose custom for Ringwood and force more shops to close</p> <p>Customers will choose to use free transport provided by larger stores</p> <p>Concessions for those able to prove they live or work in Ringwood?</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly long stay clock is available to those who do not wish to purchase a £80 annual clock.</p> <p>The £8 short stay clock has been devised to encourage the use of Town/Village centres within the District.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase or restricting use to particular vehicles will detract from this.</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550318	R T Desborough	<p>Significant disadvantage to those employed within the town (Ringwood). Many people on a low income cannot afford the increase.</p> <p>Scheme will force parking in residential areas</p> <p>£80 Clock does not even guarantee a space</p> <p>Enquiry to what the increased revenue will be used for</p> <p>Use of the car park cannot be justified by 'park & ride' Chase Manhattan staff</p> <p>Long Stay Car Park in Ringwood also used by HGVs</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half year clock is available to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>HGVs only use part of the long stay car park outside peak hours.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS550319	Mrs A I Marks	<p>£80 Clock does not guarantee a space</p> <p>NFDC staff get free parking</p> <p>Seem to be helping the shoppers but the people who work in the shops are being penalised</p> <p>Concerned about theft of £80 clock</p>	<p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities</p>	<p>The situation concerning security of clocks will be monitored and if there are any problems, amendments to the scheme will be considered.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550325	Mrs G Yellop	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>nowhere to park for 8 hour shift if long stay restricted to 6 hours</p> <p>Are these charges and restrictions being introduced at Council offices too?</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>No immediate proposals to restrict hours of parking in Ringwood, if considered full consultation will be undertaken. The car parks attached to Council Offices are private car parks.</p> <p>Council staff have no concessions when using public car parks.</p>	None
CS550330	Mrs P Hoddy	<p>Part-time workers & Students working more than 3 hours who cannot afford £80 permit.</p> <p>Will affect recruitment and make Ringwood a ghost town due to lack of staff</p> <p>Concessions for those able to prove they live or work in Ringwood?</p> <p>Isn't fault of residents that Council has not made sufficient provision for workers to park in Ringwood</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock is available if a motorist does not wish to purchase one for a full year</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit.</p> <p>Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550387	Joanne Brenton	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>£80 Clock does not even guarantee a space</p> <p>Scheme will force parking in residential areas</p> <p>Those parking in Ringwood to commute should be charged higher rate - not local workers</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities The scheme has been devised to keep administration costs as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS550392	Denise Rodriguez	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>£80 Clock does not even guarantee a space</p> <p>BMW garage using Blynkbonnie as an extended forecourt</p> <p>Never any long stay spaces in Ringwood due to JP Morgan staff parking for cheap park & ride transfer to Bournemouth</p> <p>Local workers support local shops, food outlets etc</p> <p>Scheme will force parking in residential areas - already evidence in Kingsbury Lane, Ringwood</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that may arise.</p> <p>There is no evidence to suggest that more contraventions of the Parking Order occur in Blynkbonnie car park than any other, no reports of cars being offered for sale in the car park.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550394	Victoria Foulds	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Not enough long stay bays in Ringwood</p> <p>Concessions for those able to prove they live or work in Ringwood?</p> <p>Scheme will force parking in residential areas</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities. The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>
CS550396	Debbie Smith	<p>Unacceptable increase</p> <p>Not enough long stay bays in Ringwood</p> <p>Why can't the whole car park in Ringwood be Long Stay?</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities. Short stay parking is required to ensure those wishing to use the business and shops in the town can park. This is essential in trying to maintain the financial viability of the town. Any reduction in the number of short stay spaces will result in a loss of turnover of vehicles with the subsequent loss of parking opportunities.	
CS550400	Mr & Mrs Croft	Concession for OAPs? Public transport not good enough	The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. Given this it does not seem reasonable to offer a concessionary rate. The inadequacy of public transport is known, this was taken into account when the proposed clock price was considered.	None
CS550410	Mrs G Kingsbury	Unacceptable increase Deprive older community of basic human rights of shopping, using the library etc. Will be forced to use other towns (Ferndown) where parking is free. Ringwood will virtually close to those who cannot afford it. Charity shop workers will have to leave if they have to buy an £80 clock	The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. This price has been set at a level which it is felt will not be to the detriment of local shops/businesses. There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The proposed relatively low cost of the parking clock goes some way to mitigate this concern. Given the above it does not seem reasonable to offer a concessionary rate.	None
CS550412	Mrs Janet Blizzard	Brockenhurst Bowling Club are concerned that any changes to the waiting times and the introduction of the 3 hour clock may cause them problems.	The existing 4 hour parking period in Brockenhurst will remain. Additional parking time can be purchased from a ticket machine to augment the 3 hours provided by the clock.	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		The existing 4 hour parking area is adequate for their needs		
CS550488	Mrs S William	High increase for her to use the Hythe Ferry	The Council wishes to promote the use of the Hythe Ferry to reduce the number of vehicles commuting between the Waterside and Southampton. In order to achieve this consultations have taken place with The Highway Authority, Ferry owners and Hythe and Dibden Parish Council. A special promotional ferry clock will be introduced at a cost of £8 pa.	Introduction of £8 Hythe Ferry clock.
CS550847	Mrs Millard	Unacceptable increase Greed by Conservatives Effect on local businesses because people will not go there if they have to buy the £80 clock Will change the way she votes in future	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS550973	Mrs Chalk	Will prevent her from continuing her hobby as a stallholder at the Junktique at Lyndhurst Community Centre once a fortnight Many stallholders feel the same and it could jeopardise these events which attract many visitors	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. Special arrangements are being considered for Lyndhurst Community Centre as it has no parking of its own.	This report recommends that because the Community Centre has no parking that the short stay clock be valid for 5 hours in Lyndhurst car park.
CS550978	Mrs Bennett	How can increase be justified? What will extra income be spent on?	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>How much does it cost to maintain a car park with the odd lamp post and a parking meter?</p> <p>Would expect full use of all car parks if increase goes through and reluctant to give up space for market.</p> <p>Would expect short stay clock holders not to be allowed to park in long stay car parks which they do especially on market day in Hythe.</p> <p>Will there be any dispensation or reduction to those who work in the village?</p> <p>Will kill the village as people will go elsewhere.</p>	<p>encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock and has been devised to try and maintain the economic viability of towns/villages.</p> <p>Income derived will ensure that car parks are self-financing and their cost not borne by the general Council Tax payer. The income will also contribute towards the cost of providing services. For example, the £700,000 cost of providing special travel concessions for the elderly.</p> <p>It is not practical to stop short stay parking in long stay areas. It is also not desirable as we wish to encourage people to use shops/facilities in our Town Centres.</p> <p>Given the relatively low cost of clocks no concessions will be offered except to encourage the use of Hythe ferry.</p>	
CS550981	Rosemary Nelson	<p>Make current use of parking to use Hythe Ferry unaffordable.</p> <p>Uses Ferry in an attempt to reduce volume of traffic and CO² emissions as encouraged by central government.</p> <p>Increase will affect already threatened Hythe Passenger Ferry.</p>	<p>The Council wishes to promote the use of the Hythe Ferry to reduce the number of vehicles commuting between the Waterside and Southampton. In order to achieve this consultations have taken place with The Highway Authority, Ferry owners and Hythe and Dibden Parish Council. A special promotional ferry clock will be introduced at a cost of £8 pa.</p>	Introduction of £8 Hythe Ferry clock

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		NFDC have not considered impact increased car usage will have both directly and indirectly on our environment. Draconian increase to long stay parking charges.		
CS550983	Mrs C Hardacre	Substantial increase makes a significant impact on my income. Many car park users are part-time or on low wages and will be the hardest hit by this huge increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.	None
CS550984	Mrs M Hysted	Extortionate raise in cost. How to you account for this massive rise? Earns just above minimum wage and increased cost will affect me greatly. May have to leave employment to look for work within walking distance of home. The increase from 2 to 3 hours in short stay parking means people can shop for longer but the shop workers still need to park.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.	None
CS550986	Derek Place	Object on behalf of Brockenhurst Bowling Club that waiting time is to be decreased from 4 hours to 3 hours. This does not give them enough time for their game of bowls	The existing 4 hour parking period in Brockenhurst will remain. Additional parking time can be purchased from a ticket machine to augment the 3 hours provided by the clock.	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS550989	Dian Young	Object on behalf of Brockenhurst Ladies Bowling Club that waiting time is to be decreased from 4 hours to 3 hours and request that the current 4 hours be retained within Brookley Road.	The existing 4 hour parking period in Brockenhurst will remain. Additional parking time can be purchased from a ticket machine to augment the 3 hours provided by the clock.	None
CS550992	Mrs J B Shirley	<p>Concerned about reducing long stay to 6 hours as she works a 9 hour shift as do colleagues and many other Ringwood workers.</p> <p>Suggests that if this scheme is to deter 'park and ride' parking in Ringwood then those who work locally should be issued with a special permit to allow them to park for the whole of their shift.</p> <p>Ringwood will not be best served by an empty car and shops that do not have workers because they have nowhere to park their cars</p>	<p>There are no firm proposals to reduce waiting restrictions in Ringwood to 6 hours at the present time. If any proposals are to be put forward they will be advertised for consultation in the normal way.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities. The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p>	None
CS550995	Michelle Marlor (Tesco)	Has heard that there may be a discount for employees of local businesses?	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>Given the relatively low cost of the clock it is not believed to be appropriate to offer a discount.</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS551152	Fiona Clapp	<p>Objection to increased charges particularly the £80 initial charge.</p> <p>Concerned that car may be broken into in order to steal parking clock</p> <p>Where is additional income being spent</p> <p>Compromise and increase stay from 3 hours to 6 hours</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>Increasing the short stay clock above 3 hours may reduce turnover of vehicles in car parks and thus reduce the number of available spaces.</p> <p>The most effective security measure is likely to be putting a registration number on the clock and recording them against an individual. This would considerably reduce the flexibility of the clock and increase costs. It is not anticipated that this will be a problem but this could be reviewed in light of experience.</p>	<p>The situation concerning security of clocks will be monitored and if there are any problems, amendments to the scheme will be considered.</p>
CS551154	Linda Jones	<p>Object to increased charges</p> <p>Shortage of long stay parking in Ringwood</p> <p>Increased on-road parking causing problems</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that</p>	<p>None</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			<p>may arise.</p> <p>There is no evidence to suggest that more contraventions of the Parking Order occur in Blynkbonnie car park than any other; no reports of cars being offered for sale in the car park.</p>	
CS551161	Mrs J Knight	<p>Local resident priority parking</p> <p>Parking permits for local residents</p> <p>Long stay parking an issue at Lyndhurst</p>	<p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase or restricting use to particular vehicles will detract from this.</p> <p>Special arrangements are being considered for Lyndhurst Community Centre as it has no parking of its own.</p>	None
CS551231	Ms L Appleby	<p>Object to increased charges</p> <p>Comments on Council workers perk of free parking</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>The poor public transport provision in the area is acknowledged, the income from parking charges means the Council has more resources to assist transportation.</p> <p>Council employees pay normal charges in public car parks, parking attached to offices is private parking in the same way as any parking facilities attached to an office complex.</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS551240	Mrs Lambley	<p>£80 a lot of money in pay in one go</p> <p>No guarantee of long stay parking space being available</p> <p>Residents penalised – pay Council tax so should have benefit of residents only parking scheme</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>In practical terms it is not possible to prevent short stay parking in long stay car parks and nor is it desirable. The priority for town centres is to provide short stay parking for those wishing to use shops/local businesses.</p> <p>The previous scheme as with the proposed does not guarantee a parking space</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p>	None
CS551304	Mrs J Darbyshire	<p>Residents penalised – pay Council tax so should have benefit of residents only parking scheme</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.	
CS551317	Mr J Davidson	<p>Concern about security of leaving a valuable item on display which has no security identification. Could cause an upsurge in theft from vehicles.</p> <p>The proposed cost will have a negative effect on High Street Business. Particularly on the recruitment of staff in shops who are paid not far above the minimum wage. Could charges not be dealt with on a local basis not a New Forest wide basis?</p> <p>A number of High Street residents have no option but to park in NFDC Car Parks, the increase will disproportionately affect them. Could not a resident's scheme be devised?</p> <p>The New Forest District Council has a duty of care. It is possible that damage flowing from proven parking clock induced theft may open the Council to action for damages under the tort of negligence.</p> <p>Making the clocks less attractive to thieves, adding security measures, applying a concession for those working or living in our town centres would alleviate my objections</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>The Head of Legal and Democratic Services has been consulted concerning the comments relating to duty of care and negligence. The advice received is that it is highly improbable that the Council would be liable for damages due to theft or attempted theft of a clock.</p>	The situation concerning security of clocks will be monitored and if there are any problems, amendments to the scheme will be considered.
CS551471	Diane Tuck	Residents penalised – pay Council tax so should have benefit of residents only parking scheme	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the	The situation concerning security of clocks will be monitored and if there are any problems, amendments to the scheme will be considered.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		Security measures should be added to clocks to deter thieves	car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.	
CS551726	Mr & Mrs D G Lowe	<p>Strongly objects to the use of our short-stay car parks by 'Park & Ride' type users whose out-of-town employers utilize our precious in town short term parking spaces for all day parking thus preventing local short term users from parking whilst shopping.</p> <p>Thinks that Ringwood businesses/ shopkeepers and their staff should be able to park all day.</p> <p>Out of town employers should organise out of town parking places for their pick-up points, rather than in town car parks designed for local shoppers.</p> <p>Cannot condone the proposal that will allow such long term car parking abuse merely because the motorists have the means to pay for the privilege.</p> <p>This proposed development was not a declared intention made clear at the inception of the parking clock scheme which did prevent</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car parks is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>Long stay parking is not permitted in Ringwood short stay car parks. Overstay charge notices are issued to drivers found to be doing so. Ringwood businesses/shopkeepers are permitted to park long stay in long stay car parks.</p>	<p>The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		this long term park & ride parking problem.		
CS551730	Robert Sparks	<p>The proposed new charge is arbitrary, unreasonable and disproportionate. It is arbitrary in that it is not based on any formal analysis of the type of local people using the car parks, nor on the needs of local businesses. It is unreasonable and disproportionate in that it is not based on any economic reasoning.</p> <p>The Council has failed to consult local businesses on the astronomical increase.</p> <p>Lyndhurst is dependant on tourism. Many workers only earn minimum wage. Many travel in by car and would be unable to pay the increased charges.</p> <p>Workers will seek to park in streets and roads where parking is free.</p> <p>Visitors wishing to stay for more than 3 hours will refuse to pay £80 for a clock which will act as a deterrent to tourism further affecting local businesses.</p> <p>Retail business already affected by consumer downturn – this increase will exacerbate the problem.</p> <p>Cllr Kendal has said 'We are striving to keep our town and village centres vibrant and</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The majority of tourists and day visitors purchase daily tickets from the parking meters. The amendments advertised do not alter these charges at meters.</p> <p>The short stay clock £8 pa is devised to assist shoppers making parking in the Council's car parks very attractive compared with costs elsewhere.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that may arise.</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		financially viable...’ This increase will have a deleterious effect on all local businesses like ours in towns like Lyndhurst. This is not the way to encourage local businesses.		
CS551760	Mr B Millard	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Gemma Kyte	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Melanie Slater	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Michelle Bettesworth	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	
CS551760	Darren Stevens	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Mandy Waters	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Wayne James	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Christina Woolley	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	
CS551760	Stacey Neville	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551760	Jo Rappini	Objects to such a vast price increase.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	None
CS551764	Mrs J C Tansley	<p>Horrified that short stay clock can be used in long stay car park where it is hard enough to get a space as it is.</p> <p>Permits for Hythe workers?</p> <p>Pay enough Council Tax and thought that Councils were elected to work for residents.</p> <p>Pay extra tax for Police but see no evidence in Hythe.</p>	<p>In practical terms it is not possible to prevent short stay parking in long stay car parks and nor is it desirable.</p> <p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this. The policing of Hythe is not a matter covered by the advertised draft amendment to the Council's Parking Order.	
CS551768	Mrs S Walden	<p>Parking already a problem in Fordingbridge.</p> <p>Few car users will pay £80, and will opt to park short stay and move their cars which will clog up short stay spaces for visitors and shoppers.</p> <p>Penalise local businesses by reducing the amount of customers because they cannot park in the short stay bays. Causing employers/employees extra costs.</p> <p>Many staff, especially in retail trade, will not be able to afford increase and will look for jobs elsewhere and employers will not be able to afford to buy the clocks for them.</p> <p>Could contribute to shop/business closure and loss of employment for locals.</p> <p>Special provision for proprietors and staff?</p>	<p>The impending improvement of the A338 Slip Road car park should provide additional long stay parking in Fordingbridge.</p> <p>Short stay 3 hour clocks can be used in long stay areas. Meter tickets can be purchased to top up the short stay clocks.</p> <p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock. Visitors tend to purchase a ticket via the meter in the car park rather than purchase a clock. The advertised proposals do not alter meter charges.</p>	Progress the improvements to the A338 Slip Road Car Park as quickly as possible.
CS551770	Mr J S Goddard	<p>Considerable increase – 8 x rate of inflation. Punishes taxpayers who already pay for local amenities.</p> <p>Can't keep up with never ending Council rises.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		Rise is unnecessary and counter productive as it will cause visitors to refuse to stop and use the local shops and amenities.	reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. The £8 short stay clock has been devised to encourage use of our Town Centres and provides parking at a cost considerably lower than neighbouring areas. Visitors tend to purchase a ticket via the meter in car parks. The advertised proposals do not alter meter charges.	
CS551810	Mr M A Wharf	<p>Increase of 1233.3% has caused great concern to company who employ 13 staff in Lyndhurst.</p> <p>Prejudiced against those that have no alternative parking.</p> <p>Some households will need more than one £80 clock which makes the cost totally unreasonable.</p> <p>Many may try to avoid parking the car park and park on residential roads to the annoyance of local residents.</p> <p>A totally unacceptable additional business tax on top of the considerable taxes which local firms already have to bear.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that may arise.</p>	None
CS551812	Mrs G Renyard	Limiting short stay parking to 3 hours in Beaulieu would seriously affect the letting of the Hall as this would not even cover a morning or afternoon session. Even a limit of 5 hours is going to cause problems for events that cover the whole day.	The advertised proposals make no changes to the waiting restrictions in Beaulieu car park. In order to assist Village Halls/Community Centres with no parking at all attached to the premises special arrangements for allowing short stay clock holders to stay for 5 hours are proposed in	Proposal that short stay clock holders can park for 5 hours in Beaulieu Car Park. The sole reason for this is to support the Village Hall that has no parking of its own.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>Will compound parking problems in Beaulieu High Street.</p> <p>Please consider the effect any change will have on Beaulieu village itself.</p>	this report.	
CS551813	Mrs J Hague	<p>Dismayed at exorbitant increase.</p> <p>Charity worker who cannot afford increase. She and colleagues will be unable to continue to work for the Red Cross.</p> <p>Special discount for those who actually work in Ringwood? Many cars parked regularly are by people who work in Bournemouth and Southampton.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p> <p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council.</p> <p>There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The relatively low proposed cost of a parking clock goes someway to mitigate this concern.</p>	None
CS551814	Rachael Moore	Happy to pay £6 for current scheme.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance	Introduce a special Hythe Ferry clock
CS551816	Miss S Picot	Increase to £80 seems excessive and unjustified.	the needs of those who work in the area and to	

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS551818	Mrs R Streets	Public transport expensive and unreliable.	encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.	
CS551820	Mr & Mrs M Gay	<p>New scheme is not environmentally friendly. People who currently park in Hythe and take ferry to Southampton will be unlikely to continue this and will drive to Southampton by road.</p> <p>Sometimes, especially Tuesdays, it is difficult to find a parking space in Hythe. £80 is a large amount to pay without being guaranteed a parking space.</p> <p>Penalises local businesses whose staff are being asked to pay such high costs.</p>	<p>A half yearly clock can be purchased at £44 to assist those who do not wish to purchase a annual clock</p> <p>The Council wishes to promote the use of the Hythe Ferry to reduce the number of vehicles commuting between the Waterside and Southampton. In order to achieve this consultations have taken place with The Highway Authority, Ferry owners and Hythe and Dibden Parish Council. A special promotional ferry clock will be introduced at a cost of £8 pa. The previous scheme as with the proposed does not guarantee a parking space.</p>	
CS551951	Peter Stevens	<p>Unacceptable increase. It can't be based on any analysis of people using the car park or on the needs of local businesses – it seems it is based on soaking visitors and people who work in the Forest.</p> <p>Many local shops and café's employ people on a minimum wage who have to travel by car because they are unable to travel on public transport. Parks in car park to avoid using residential areas. Cannot afford £80 in a lump sum. May have to give up job.</p> <p>Will affect visitor numbers and therefore local businesses because visitors won't buy the £80 clock.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half yearly clock is available to those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>Most visitors pay for parking via a meter in the car park. The advertised proposals do not alter meter charges</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		Increase will make it difficult to hire people in a time when there is a downturn in the retail trade.		
CS552354	Mr M P Lindsay	<p>The Tories reneged on their promise when reversing the Liberal party's charging system which was free for residents.</p> <p>You always cause inflation by increasing charges (why do you never reduce them?)</p> <p>Huge increase compared to pensions – cannot afford £80 clock so will clutter up the streets by parking there.</p> <p>What is the point of objecting if you never take any notice of the objections?</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The Council considers all objections.</p>	None
CS552372	David M Taylor	<p>Many on low incomes will find it hard to afford the £80 district wide clock (£88 when purchased in 2 half yearly stages)</p> <p>3 hour short stay limit not sufficient for those working part-time and on even lower wages. Suggest increasing 3 hour limit to 4 hours.</p> <p>If short stay clock holder wanted to stay longer than 3 hours then they have to return to their vehicle to buy a ticket from the machine on expiry of the 3 hours. This will not always be possible. Suggest that ticket from machine can be bought on arrival and displayed so that the short stay clock can</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>Allowing more than 3 hours short stay would reduce turnover of vehicles and thus decrease parking opportunities.</p> <p>Cabinet to consider an amendment to the draft order that would allow pre-purchase of machine tickets when used in conjunction with a clock.</p>	<p>Consider amendment to draft order to allow meter tickets to be pre purchased when used in conjunction with a short stay clock in a long stay parking area.</p>

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		'take over' when it expires.		
CS552374	C A Penny & Mr A Cooper	<p>Lives adjacent to Fordingbridge Car Park and has no choice but to use it so strongly object to proposed charges of £80.</p> <p>Charges are disgrace to local people. Family and friends have experienced jobs destroying cars and property in this car park for more than 9 years. Can you assure me that for this money the problem would be eliminated?</p> <p>There are 4 places by the side of my house which do not require limited parking or any charges. Therefore, could this area be made for the parking for the local residents only?</p> <p>Also, my partner is in the process of registering as disabled and therefore this extra expense would cause further hardship to our finances.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The Council takes over responsibility for the enforcement of on street parking in January 2006. This will give more scope to consider requests for residents' on street schemes. Those holding a Blue Disabled Parking badge park free of charge and are not subject to the majority of waiting restrictions both on and off street.</p>	When decriminalised parking is established review residents on street parking.
CS552379	Stephen Walker	<p>Strongly object to proposed new charges for long term parking. Parks at Ringwood to catch bus to Bournemouth. This charge will make this too costly to continue and therefore will not buy a clock and will have to use the car thereby increasing congestion and reducing income to bus company.</p> <p>Under this new proposal long stay parkers, who in Ringwood are predominantly local people will be subsidising the reduced income from the short term visitors who themselves</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>Meter charges have not altered and therefore tickets can be purchased as at present. It is not envisaged that there will be a reduction in income from short stay parking.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>are more likely to be tourists, why am I paying for the cost of people to visit this region?</p> <p>Why not charge out of area people for the long term car parking, as locals will have already contributed by buying one or more clocks. Another alternative is to increase the cost of the short and long term car parks for non-clock users, hence putting the charge on the visitors who are generating the increased need for parking.</p> <p>Charging additional money for long term, especially in Ringwood, will not increase the turnover in the short term car park. In fact lengthening the stay for the short term car park will have the opposite effect, as well as reducing income. If this is not the case in other Forest car parks, that are more tourist orientated, than please treat Ringwood as a separate case and implement a specific policy for Ringwood</p> <p>In addition, please change the mechanism for paying this tax. As once I have purchased the clock, valid for a year, I can still be fined for not displaying it. Surely these clocks can be linked to registrations hence removing the need for them to be displayed, why not use a tax disc style permit?</p>	<p>administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p> <p>Meter prices have to be set at a level not to discourage tourists/visitors.</p> <p>Waiting restrictions and ratio of long to short stay spaces is not altering in Ringwood.</p>	
CS552591	JG & NA Johnson	Residents again, in common with Council tax, facing horrendous increases with no significant increases in services.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to	Continue with the programmed replacement of unreliable ticket machines that have come to the end of

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>Why did you replace parking ticket machines a few months ago? There was nothing wrong with the existing installations.</p> <p>The parking charges should stay as they currently stand for local residents especially as most of us in this area are on low, fixed incomes.</p> <p>If charges are raised then small towns in the area will become bypassed as people will go to out of town shopping areas where parking is free.</p> <p>The tax paying public, especially senior citizens, are reaching breaking point with regards to the ever increasing Council tax. Please use your management and fiscal skills to find ways to reduce your expenditure and thus reduce this burden – start with retaining the current parking charges</p>	<p>encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The Council has replaced some ticket machines in coastal car parks as part of a programmed replacement scheme. The machines were on average over 10 years old, corroded by the salt air and had become unreliable.</p> <p>The charges for parking in the District are very competitive compared with neighbouring areas. The cost of parking should be borne by the user of the car park and not be a burden on the general Council Tax payer.</p>	their serviceable life.
CS552804	Miss M Carter	<p>Will not vote Conservative again as they have reneged on their pre-election publicity of free parking.</p> <p>Removal and installation of meters has been an unnecessary cost to the taxpayer.</p> <p>Will affect local towns as people will go where there is free parking.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. The short stay scheme has been devised in part to ensure that our Town Centres remain</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			competitive.	
CS552595	Steve Marshall, Curator St Barbe Museum	Huge increase in long stay charge. Volunteer workers doing 3 hour shifts will suffer. Will the Council consider the impact on voluntary organisations?	There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The relatively low proposed cost of a parking clock goes someway to mitigate this concern. There are a number of car parks near to the museum and it would only need a few minutes adjustment to shift times to work with the 3 hour clock. A one hour meter ticket could be purchased to "top up" the clock in long stay car parks.	None
CS552829	Nicola Leitch	Conservatives promised free parking. It is the workers who keep the town alive who will suffer financially. Employers will not be able to subsidise parking. Council employees have free parking. Has witnessed misuse of car parks, i.e. overstay without punishment with no action being taken by a warden. Until space is guaranteed then you have no right to charge these fees. As I understand it charging for a non-existing amenity is illegal. I shall note every time I try to park long term for work – and expect a refund if I cannot do so.	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. Whenever a Parking Attendant identifies a vehicle that has overstayed a penalty notice is issued. Unless a car park is a private car park incorporated in an office complex Council staff are subject to normal charges. The present scheme, as with the proposed, does not guarantee a parking space. The proposed scheme may increase turnover of	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			vehicles and therefore create additional parking opportunities	
CS552834	Various stallholders from Paraphernalia Fairs at Lyndhurst	Strongly object to pay £80 for one fair per month. Will greatly affect other events at Lyndhurst Community Centre.	If trading is on occasional/monthly basis it may be better to purchase a daily ticket for £3.50. Because the Community Centre has no parking consideration is given in this report to allowing the short stay clock to give 5 hours parking in Lyndhurst long stay.	Consideration given in this report to allowing short stay clocks to be used for 5 hours in Lyndhurst car park.
CS552806	Mrs E L Fowle	<p>New system more complicated.</p> <p>Large increase – not in line with pensions.</p> <p>Increase from 2 to 3 hours parking will mean less spaces available.</p> <p>Out of town shopping centres will become more popular as local town centres become more difficult and expensive to park in.</p>	<p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. The proposed scheme is simple to use. The increase from 2 to 3 hours requested by Local traders and Community Centres.</p> <p>The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. The short stay scheme has been devised in part to ensure that our Town Centres remain competitive.</p> <p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p>	None
CS552808	Mrs M Mount	<p>Ringwood part time employee who would suffer from the massive increase from her salary.</p> <p>Objects to park & ride users.</p>	<p>The problems caused by commuters in Ringwood car park is acknowledged by the Council. However, public car parks are available to all.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep</p>	The Council will monitor the long stay parking/commuter parking in Ringwood with a view to considering if changes in regulations would be of overall benefit. Consideration is being made to

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		Could a concession for Ringwood employees be considered - the proposed scheme seriously affects the future of commerce in the town?	administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase or restricting use to particular vehicles will detract from this.	ascertain the viability of providing a small extension to the long stay car park in Ringwood to increase capacity.
CS552811	Eileen Lee	Huge increase for essential workers. Not enough spaces for those travelling by train. (Totton)	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week. Totton station does not have a great deal of parking surrounding it and this does cause problems. This is one of the reasons Rumbridge car park has been kept free of charge - to encourage its use.	Consultations will be undertaken concerning the land referred to in the letter.
CS552812	Mrs J Hadfield	Such an increase will affect volunteers working more than 3 hours. Particularly concerned about Lyndhurst and New Forest Museum. Adversely affect local businesses and their employees. Are Council employees exempt?	Because Lyndhurst Community Centre has no parking, consideration is given in this report to allow the short stay clock to give 5 hours parking in Lyndhurst long stay. The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. The short stay scheme has been devised in part to ensure that our Town Centres remain competitive. Unless a car park is a private car park incorporated in an office complex Council staff are subject to normal charges.	This report recommends special arrangements to overcome the fact that Lyndhurst Community Centre has no on site parking.
CS552813	Kate Lindsay Kid Ease Day Nursery	Will force her employees to park in residential areas as £80 clock unaffordable to them. Please consider reduced rate for local	The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		employees.	<p>encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half year clock is available to those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p> <p>The scheme has been devised to keep administration cost as low as possible and provide flexibility for clock holders. Any additional restrictions on who can purchase, or restricting use to particular vehicles, will detract from this.</p> <p>The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that may arise.</p>	
CS552814	Mrs A L Eames	<p>Unfair, unaffordable and unworkable £80 long stay clock proposal.</p> <p>If unable to afford the £80 clock then will have to park in short stay for 3 hours and move, but if not able to return for 2 hours then where can she park? If she does have to move her car then her shop will have to close resulting in lost income to her and the shop.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>A half year clock is available to those who do not wish to purchase an annual clock.</p>	None
CS552815	B Lawrence	Property backs onto Jones Lane car park and is the only one not to have a dedicated parking space. Asking for dispensation from charges.	It has always been the practice of the Council not to provide long term off-street residential parking. With the onset of decriminalised parking the Council will have greater scope to	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			influence the provision of residents parking schemes	
CS552817	P Stephenson	<p>How can 60% increase in 2 years for the short stay clock be justified?</p> <p>Whereas it was possible to park all day in the same place, it will now only be possible to park for a maximum of 3 hours.</p> <p>This only suits people who wish to visit the town for a maximum of 3 hours.</p> <p>Many people like to come into Lymington for the whole day, particularly at the weekend. This proposal will not allow people to do so unless they purchase an £80 long stay clock.</p> <p>£80 fee an outrageous increase.</p> <p>Will there be a public meeting on this matter?</p>	<p>The proposed costs more accurately reflect the value of the clock. The clock scheme represents good value for money, particularly the £8 pa short stay clock. The short stay scheme has been devised in part to ensure that our Town Centres remain competitive.</p> <p>The proposals do not alter the waiting times in the car parks except increasing short stay from 2 to 3 hours.</p> <p>Visitors can purchase a day ticket from a parking meter in the same way they have done previously.</p> <p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the Council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car. The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at the reasonable cost of £1.54 a week.</p> <p>There are no plans to hold a public meeting. The statutory consultation process will be followed and the advance publicity for the scheme has given ample opportunity for public comment.</p>	None
CS552819	David Rule	<p>Huge increase in long stay charge. Volunteer workers doing 3 hour shifts will suffer.</p> <p>Will the Council consider the impact on voluntary organisations?</p>	<p>There are many groups who undertake vital voluntary work in the community and administering a scheme to cover them all would be unwieldy and expensive. The relatively low proposed cost of a parking clock goes some</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
			<p>way to mitigate this concern.</p> <p>There are a number of car parks near to the museum and it would only need a few minutes adjustment to shift times to work with the 3 hour clock.</p> <p>A one hour meter ticket could be purchased to "top up" the clock in long stay car parks.</p>	
CS553192	Various – Petition signed by 59 people	<p>Large increase not in line with other commodities. Why such a rise?</p> <p>Bad time of year to have to find money and more expensive in 2 instalments</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car .The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at reasonable cost, £1.54 a week .</p>	NONE
CS553196	Mrs A Charles	<p>How can such a large increase be justified?</p> <p>Fordingbridge residents being punished for problems in Ringwood.</p> <p>Parking arrangements have been satisfactory in Fordingbridge until now – what has changed to warrant the increase to £80?</p> <p>Local businesses will suffer and non-locals are less likely to use Fordingbridge when they can use other supermarkets for free.</p> <p>Visitors will revert to on-street parking in residential areas.</p>	<p>The cost of the long stay clocks has been carefully considered by the council to balance the needs of those who work in the area and to encourage use of alternative transport than the car .The proposed cost better reflects the value of the clock and still provides parking at reasonable cost, £1.54 a week. Off street parking in Fordingbridge needs to be managed and the clock system is an effective way of doing so The short stay clock has been devised to encourage shoppers to use our town centres and meter charges have not increased. The Council becomes responsible for the enforcement of on-street parking regulations in January 2006. This will place it in a good position to deal with any on-street problems that may arise</p>	None

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
CS553197	J Nellthorp	Local workers to be penalised with this very high increase. Fordingbridge does not suffer from the same problems as Ringwood.	As above	None
CS553198	Mrs E Harvelt-Childs	Will push locals out to out of town shopping centres where parking is free. Huge increase, unfair to residents who live and work locally. Will cause people to park in residential areas. Fordingbridge residents being punished for problems in Ringwood.	As above	None
CS553203	White Horse Ferries (Hythe Ferry)	Thanked Chris Malyon for consideration given to Ferry Provided figures which showed passenger figures grew in Feb 2005 because of road works but have since that date fell by some 50,000 to date. The company believe there are 3 main reasons for this, the conclusion of major roads works on Totton Causeway, the pier being out of action for a time due to damage, and Parking charges. The Company also provided information to demonstrate the importance of Jones Lane Car Park Hythe to their operation. The Company believe that the following measures would help minimise any negative	A clock scheme for Hythe Ferry is outlined in this report. This scheme is supported by Hampshire County Council and the Ferry Company. Changing the existing short stay spaces into long stay in Jones Lane Car Park has implications for local shops, the Community Centre and nearby school. Before any proposals are made consultations will need to take place with the various groups concerned. The Council can promote the Ferry Clock as part of its advertising for the general clock scheme.	Introduce the Hythe Ferry Clock as outlined in this report. Undertake consultations concerning changing the 46 short stay spaces in Jones Lane car park to long stay. Incorporate the Hythe Ferry clock in the publicity for the general clock scheme.

REF NO	NAME	DETAILS	CONSIDERATION	ACTION
		<p>impact of the proposals and urged members to adopt them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Hythe Ferry Clock, as outlined in this report, • Converting the existing short stay spaces into long stay in Jones lane Car Park • District wide promotion of the Ferry Clock 		