

4 DECEMBER 2002

NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL

CABINET

Minutes of a meeting of the Cabinet held at Appletree Court, Lyndhurst on Wednesday, 4 December 2002.

p Cllr M J Kendal (Chairman)
p Cllr T M Russell (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors:

e P C Greenfield
p J D Heron
p Mrs M D Holding

Councillors:

p B Rickman
p N L T Smith
p C A Wise

In Attendance:

Councillors:

K F Ault
W R Catt
B D Dash
F R Harrison
J A G Hutchins

Councillors:

Mrs B M Maynard
B M F Pemberton
D N Scott
D B Tipp
P R Woods

Officers Attending:

D Yates, N Gibbs, C Malyon, Ms J Bateman, Mrs P Higgins, Ms G O'Rourke and for part of the meeting J Ward, G Ashworth and R Millard.

87. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN.

RESOLVED:

That Cllr Russell be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Cabinet for the remainder of the municipal year.

88. MINUTES.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meetings held on 6 and 18 November 2002, having been circulated, be signed by the Chairman as correct records.

89. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

Cllrs Heron, Pemberton and Russell declared interests in Minute 92.

Cllrs Dash and Kendal declared interests in Minute 106.

90. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.

Mr R Cooper addressed the Cabinet during the public participation period.

Mr Cooper commented on issues surrounding the relationship between the Council and the New Forest Committee.

91. ANNUAL AUDIT LETTER 2001/2002 (REPORT A).

The Cabinet considered the Annual audit letter that summarised the work undertaken by the District Auditor for the preceding year and received a presentation from District Auditor highlighting some of the issues raised.

The recommendations contained in the Audit Letter needed to be considered against a backdrop of high quality service provided by the Council at a time of financial constraints. The District Auditor hoped to issue an unqualified audit opinion in the next few weeks.

The Chairman commented that the Audit Letter covered the financial years 2001/2002 and he paid tribute to Cllr Simon Hayes, Cllr Wise, the Chief Executive and the Director of Resources for the work that they had undertaken.

The Chairman also expressed his thanks to Tracey Purvis who had worked with the Council for a number of years but who was now leaving to take up a new post.

Members were pleased to note in the Annual Audit Letter the comment that "The Council continues to maintain a sound financial position, with both good budgetary control and a good year end debtors position."

It was noted that the Council had successfully produced an implementing E-Government Statement and had met its initial IEG targets. However, the District Auditor had commented that there was a need to develop a comprehensive E-Government Programme.

The Environment Portfolio Holder agreed that the comments in the Annual Audit Letter were excellent, however he was of the view that in order to take forward the Council's E-Government Programme in the most appropriate way the Head of ICT should form part of the Council's management team.

The Chief Executive responded that the Council E-Governance Programme was in fact well developed. The IEG Statement updated an earlier strategy document, related well to the emerging Community Strategy and Corporate Plan, and gave costings and a programme of works. E-Governance was championed at the most senior officer and member levels, and allowed for full involvement of the Head of ICT.

Members noted that in relation to housing responsive repairs, whilst there was an over reliance on manual paper based systems and under use of technology, officers were already aware of the need for improvement and were already implementing the recommendations from District Audit. The current satisfaction rates of tenants and all Best Value Performance Indicators were met. However, it was agreed that there would always be room for improvement.

The Chairman said that the Council's reserves, referred to as increasing significantly year on year, would drop over coming years. A number of capital schemes were already financially committed and there was already a greater number of bids for funding in 2003/04 than there were reserves available.

The Finance and Support Portfolio Holder commented that the financial outturn for 2001/2002 had been good and would put the Council in a better position to deal with any further cuts in Government funding in the coming year.

RESOLVED:

That the Annual Audit letter for 2001/2002 be noted.

92. PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES – NEW FOREST EAST AND NEW FOREST WEST (REPORT B).

The Cabinet considered the revised recommendations arising from the Public Inquiry into the Boundary Commission's initial findings. The Cabinet were pleased to note that the revised recommendations included locating the new Boldre and Sway ward in the New Forest East Parliamentary constituency as proposed by this Council.

RESOLVED:

- (a) That the Boundary Commission be informed that their revised proposals for the New Forest East and New Forest West Parliamentary constituencies, placing Boldre and Sway ward in New Forest East, be supported on the grounds of the historic, social and cultural links between Boldre and Sway parishes and communities to the east; and
- (b) That the Boundary Commission be thanked for their good decision.

93. NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN FIRST ALTERATION – REVISED DEPOSIT (REPORT C).

Cllr Heron declared a personal interest in this item as President of Ringwood Chamber of Trade. He did not consider his interest to be prejudicial. He remained at the meeting, took part in the discussion and voted.

Cllr Pemberton declared a personal interest in this item as a member of the Institute of Shipbrokers. He did not consider his interest to be prejudicial. He remained at the meeting and took part in the discussion. He did not have a vote.

Cllr Russell declared a personal interest in this item as a Director and Shareholder of St Georges Hospital Ltd. and Dragon Holdings Ltd. He considered his interest to be prejudicial and as such left the meeting during consideration of Recommendation: 6 Care Homes. He took no part in the discussion of that particular issue and did not vote on it.

Members considered the outstanding recommendations on the proposed revisions to the Local Plan First Alteration and commented as follows:-

Recommendation 2: Employment Provision

In relation to the expansion of the allocation of land at Gordleton Pit the Cabinet noted that this was not supported by Local Members; the Special Joint Meeting of Planning Development Control Committee and Economy and Planning Review Panel; the Residents' Association or the local Chamber of Trade.

Members noted that in particular, there remained problems with access to the site in terms of highway issues. The County Council were undertaking a study into the four main roads leading to the site but that there was no obvious solution to the difficulties in view of the designation of the area.

In view of the comments received the Cabinet agreed that the proposal to expand the allocation of land at Gordleton Pit should be deleted.

Recommendation 5 : Affordable Housing

Members noted the comments from the Special Joint Meeting and from the Housing, Health and Social Exclusion Review Panel. The Cabinet were of the view that to introduce a requirement for 50% provision of affordable housing in rural areas and Sandheath would be unworkable and would deter developers from coming forward. They therefore confirmed the proposals put forward by the Economy and Planning Portfolio Holder to introduce a requirement for 35% affordable housing provision consistent across the district.

Recommendation 12: The Furlong, Ringwood

After discussion members agreed that the reference to the Southern part of the Furlong Car Park should be changed to the south western part of the Furlong Car Park and that no change should be made to the primary shopping area boundary as defined at the first deposit stage of the Local Plan.

Recommendation 14: Calshot

Members confirmed that the provision of 35% affordable housing should be applied in this area as elsewhere across the district.

Recommendation 16: Affordable Housing on Farms

Having considered the comments of the Special Joint Meeting and the Housing, Health and Social Exclusion Review Panel members agreed that this recommendation should be deleted.

Recommendation 18: Extensions to Dwellings

In the light of the comments made by the Special Joint Meeting members agreed that whilst they supported the new policy to introduce the ability for dwellings that were currently restricted to a 30% increase in size to have a conservatory in addition to any other extensions, any conservatory should not exceed 20 square metres floor area.

Recommendation 19: Essential Accommodation for Rural Businesses

After discussion members deleted this proposal.

Recommendation 20: Milford-on-Sea

Members noted that Milford-on-Sea was surrounded by Green Belt and there was no room for development into that area. Milford-on-Sea Parish Council proposed that a height restriction should be placed on buildings to stop over-development.

The Cabinet supported this proposal.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) ***That the responses to the representations received at First Deposit Stage as set out in Attachment 2, Annex 1, as previously circulated, be agreed, subject to the following further revisions detailed below;***
- (b) ***That the Revised Alterations to the adopted New Forest District Local Plan, as set out in Attachment 2, Annexes 2 and 3, as previously circulated, be agreed, subject to the following further revisions detailed below, and formally placed on deposit for public consultation; and***
- (c) ***That the Director of Environment Services be authorised to make any necessary further minor amendments, including consequential changes and further editing changes, in preparing the proposed alterations for publication.***

Further revisions:

Recommendation 1 - Housing Land Provision

That the “baseline” Structure Plan housing requirement can be met without further allocations, but further sites need to be identified in total for some 124 dwellings for the “reserve provision”.

Recommendation 2: Employment Provision

That no change be made in principle to the strategy for employment provision, other than the redesignation back to employment of the site at Shore Road, Hythe (Policy HD-7A). The reserve employment site at Ringwood should be retained.

Recommendation 3: "Reserve Sites", including Land South of the A31, East of Ringwood

That, in view of the updated housing land supply figures, it is no longer necessary to provide as much reserve housing provision therefore the site previously identified on land west of Nouale Lane, Ringwood, be deleted from the reserved sites for housing to meet Structure Plan requirements

Recommendation 4: Housing Densities

That no change be proposed to the recommendation contained in the original report considered by the Cabinet at their meeting on 15 April 2002;

Recommendation 5: Affordable Housing

That policies AH-1 and AH-2 (and related policies and text) be revised as shown in Attachment 1.7(a) subject also to the further changes set out in Attachment 1.7(b), page 21.

Recommendation 6: Care Homes

That the policies relating to Care Homes be revised as set out in Attachment 1.11, page 26.

Recommendation 7: Nature Conservation

That the Nature Conservation Policies be revised as set out in the Annex 2 to Report A to the Cabinet pages 11-15 subject to the further changes set out in Attachment 1.4, page 17.

Recommendation 8: Flooding and drainage

That the flooding and drainage policies be revised as set out in Annex 2 pages 22-24.

Recommendation 9: Parking Standards

That the Parking Standards as set out in Annex 2, pages 83-93 be included in the Local Plan.

Recommendation 10: Open Space

That the Open Space Policies be revised as set out in Annex 2, pages 32-34 subject to the further changes set out in Attachment 1.6, page 19.

Recommendation 11: Safeguarding Consultation Zones

That the policies on Safeguarding Consultation Zones be revised as set out in Annex 2, pages 18-21 subject to the further changes set out in Attachment 1.5, page 18.

Recommendation 12: The Furlong, Ringwood

- (a) That the policies on The Furlong, Ringwood be revised as set out in Attachment 1.2, page 15 subject to in attachment 1.2 changing the reference to the Southern Part of the Furlong Car Park to being the South Western Part of the Furlong Car Park; and*
- (b) That no change be made to the primary shopping area boundary as defined at first deposit stage.*

Recommendation 13: Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty

That the policies on the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty be revised as set out in Attachment 1.3, page 16.

Recommendation 14: Calshot

That the new policy regarding the regeneration of Calshot, with associated allocations of land for residential development, as set out in Attachment 1.8, page 23 be included in the Local Plan.

Recommendation 15: Footpath at Brookley Road, Brockenhurst

That the proposed footpath at Brookley Road, Brockenhurst (see April Report: Annex 1, pages 96-7 and Annex 2, page 30 in Attachment 2) be deleted.

Recommendation 16: Affordable Housing on Farms

This recommendation was deleted.

Recommendation 17: Access to the Coast

That the revised policy regarding Access to the Coast set out in Attachment 1.10, page 25 be included in the Local Plan.

Recommendation 18: Extensions to dwellings

That the new text regarding extensions to dwellings in the New Forest and countryside as set out in Attachment 1.12, page 31 be included in the Local Plan subject to the text in Attachment 1.12 being amended to read "in considering proposals for a conservatory not exceeding 20 square metres floor areas,".

Recommendation 19: Essential accommodation for rural businesses

This recommendation was deleted.

Recommendation 20: Milford-on-Sea

That the following new policy relating to the defined area of Milford on Sea be included in the plan:

- (a) development resulting in buildings of more than two storeys in height where they are to be used for residential purposes (including flats) will not be permitted; and***
- (b) development for purposes other than residential (which includes flats) shall be no higher than the building which is to be replaced, except where there would be no harmful impact having regard to the character established by the heights of other buildings in the immediate locality.***

Recommendation 21: Hythe Centre/Proposed Rail Station:

That the proposed footpath/cycleway to School Road be retained, but the proposed footpath to New Road be deleted.

94. MILFORD-ON-SEA VILLAGE DESIGN STATEMENT (REPORT D).

In line with the Countryside Agency's initiative to encourage communities to prepare Village Design Statements, Milford-on-Sea Parish Council had produced a Village Design Statement that the Cabinet considered.

Members noted the comments of Cllr Pemberton, local ward member in relation to the Village Design Statement and praised the Parish Council for their very professional document.

RESOLVED:

That, with the addition of the issues in the Local Plan relating to height restrictions on new developments, (see Minute 93 above), the Milford-on-Sea Village Design Statement be adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance to the New Forest District Local Plan.

95. 'YOUR REGION, YOU CHOICE' – WHITE PAPER (REPORT E).

The Cabinet considered a response to the White Paper following consideration of this matter by the Council at their meeting on 14 October, 2002.

RESOLVED:

That the cross party motion agreed by the Council at their meeting on 14 October, 2002 form the basis of the Council's response to the Government on the White Paper 'Your Region, Your Choice'.

96. REVIEW OF THE CORPORATE PLAN – HEART OF FOREST (REPORT F).

The Cabinet considered a review of the Council's Corporate Plan – The Heart of the Forest.

RESOLVED:

That the programme for finalising the review of the Corporate Plan as set out in Report F to the Cabinet be approved.

97. DELIVERY OF COMPREHENSIVE PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT TO DISTRICT COUNCILS – CONSULTATION PAPER (REPORT G).

The Government had recently issued a consultation paper 'Delivering Comprehensive Performance Assessment for District Councils'. The Cabinet considered a response to the document which requested views on the proposed methodology to be used for district councils.

Members expressed a number of concerns in relation to the consultation paper. They remained to be convinced as to what additional freedoms Comprehensive Performance Assessment would bring to Councils. The document was silent on the cost of improvements to the Council Tax payers. Whilst the document could not be argued against in terms of strategic direction, there was a need to ensure the delivery of good service with a minimum of bureaucracy.

RESOLVED:

That a response be made to the Audit Commission on the Consultation Paper, 'Delivering Comprehensive Performance Assessment for District Councils' on the basis of the following comments:

- (i) The major concern of any central performance system is the process give due regard and flexibility to the needs and issues of local communities. The Government have clearly stated that although they appreciate the needs of local communities they do expect local authorities to deliver on national priorities. There is no evidence in the consultation paper that due regard will be made of the diversity and needs of individual district councils. This is an essential part of the process if it is not to fall rapidly into disrepute;

- (ii) The lack of flexibility that was demonstrated in the process for county and single tier authorities cannot be duplicated for district councils. The range of priorities for district councils make them a completely different challenge and this Council would urge the Audit Commission to consider this issue when building the assessment framework;
- (iii) This Council remains to be convinced that the thematic service inspections will give the appropriate reflection of a council's performance given the issues outlined above and the very different way in which these services are provided from council to council;
- (iv) This Council generally supports the concept of a peer challenge process, having already undertaken an LGIP review. That experience however did leave the Council questioning the ability of the Team to adequately understand and value the issues for the local community in the time that they had available. It also questions the ability to obtain appropriate evidence for the same reason;
- (v) Following the experience of single tier authorities and county councils this Council is extremely concerned over the resources that will be required to facilitate the on-site inspections and the peer challenge. This Council would therefore urge the Audit Commission to ensure that the processes are streamlined or consolidated to ensure scarce resources are not redirected away from front line service delivery to deal with this process;
- (vi) This Council is concerned that the evidence from the thematic service reviews will be used to inform the judgement on whether the council has the capacity to improve. This is to an extent limiting the evidence and if a judgement is to be made the Audit Commission will need to ensure that this judgement can be substantiated. This has been a concern in the best value process and this Council would not like to see this replicated in the CPA process;
- (vii) Whilst accepting the benefits of a peer challenge the Council would wish to ensure that this process adds value by identifying the development needs of the council. It is however unclear from the consultation document how the peer challenge fits into the methodology and what added value this will bring. This Council believes that the Audit Commission must give greater clarification to this issue; and
- (viii) Three peer review options are mentioned in the consultation document yet there is no mention of the cost of each option and how these would be funded. Most district councils have difficulty in funding existing demands and therefore it would be very difficult to justify any additional costs to the taxpayer for this activity. The Audit Commission is urged to clarify this situation as a matter of urgency.

98. LEISURE FEES AND CHARGES APPLICABLE FROM 1 JANUARY 2003 (REPORT H).

The Cabinet considered a report proposing revised Leisure Portfolio fees and charges applicable from 1 January 2003.

The Leisure Portfolio Holder, following comments received from users at Keyhaven, was of the view that the arrangements for setting fees and charges could be improved. With this in mind he proposed that the increase in mooring fees and charges for Dinghy parking should be reduced from 13% to 6.5%. The Cabinet agreed with this proposal.

Cllr Hutchins, Chairman of the Leisure Review Panel reported the views of the Focus Group meetings that had been considering the proposed scale of fees and charges. It was noted that the Ringwood Focus Group were of the opinion that the charges were very modest. The Keyhaven Focus Group strongly objected to the increase in charges.

Members commented that whilst the proposals to reduce the percentage increase in charges at Keyhaven was welcomed the method of assessment for calculating the fees and charges required revision.

The Cabinet agreed that a Consultative Group should be formed for Keyhaven with Cllr Pemberton, local ward member, as Chairman, to consider these issues and bring forward proposals for a revised scale of fees and charges for 2004/05.

In relation to fees and charges at Recreation Centres members noted that the percentage increases could not be looked at in isolation. Fees and charges needed to be considered in the light of market conditions in a particular area. Therefore not all percentage increases would necessarily be the same across the district.

In setting up the Consultative Panel members agreed that a similar model would be considered to review issues at Dibden Golf Club. It was noted that it was important to ensure that the public users' view was adequately represented on both of these Consultative Panels.

RECOMMENDED:

That the fees and charges as set out in Appendix 1 to these minutes be agreed for implementation from 1 January 2003.

RESOLVED:

That a Consultative Group, comprising local interested parties, be agreed for Keyhaven River, chaired by Cllr Pemberton, local member, to bring forward to the Portfolio Holder proposals for a future fees and charges strategy.

99. EXPENDITURE PLAN CONSULTATION (REPORT I).

The Cabinet considered the comments from the General Purposes and Licensing Committee and Review Panels on the expenditure plan proposals for 2003/2004.

RESOLVED:

- (a) That the Panels and General Purposes and Licensing Committee be thanked for their comments on the Expenditure Plan Proposals; and
- (b) That the comments be taken into account by the Cabinet in their future consideration of the proposals.

100. NEW MILTON RECREATION CENTRE – EXTENSION TO FITNESS SUITE – VIREMENT (REPORT J).

The Cabinet considered a request for virement to enable an extension to the Fitness Suite at New Milton Recreation Centre.

RECOMMENDED:

That virement of £40,000 from existing schemes in the Leisure Portfolio Capital Programme, as detailed in Report J to the Cabinet, to enable the extension of the Fitness Suite at New Milton Recreation Centre, be approved.

101. REPRESENTATIVES ON REVIEW PANELS, OUTSIDE AND OTHER BODIES (REPORT K).

The Cabinet considered a number of changes to the membership of Review Panels, and representatives on outside and other bodies.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) *That Cllr Woods be appointed to the NFDC/Test Valley Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee in place of Cllr Smith; and*
- (b) *That the vacancies on the Corporate & Finance and Environment Review Panels caused by the appointment of Cllr N Smith to the Cabinet be filled by Cllr Hayes.*

RESOLVED:

- (c) That Cllr N L T Smith be appointed to the NFDC/Test Valley Joint Committee in place of Cllr Kendal;

- (d) That the appointments to outside bodies as set out in Appendix 2 to Report K be approved subject to the appointment of Cllr Wise to South East Employers as a deputy and of Cllr Russell to New Forest Committee as a deputy; and
- (e) That any changes involving the Director of Environment Services' appointments to outside bodies take effect from 1 January 2003.

102. FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 2002 TO OCTOBER 2002 (REPORT L).

The Cabinet considered the forecast budget variations of all Portfolio's and Committees from the approved original estimates from 2002/03.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) *That virement of £44,000 be approved for additional expenditure offset by increased income of £45,000 at Applemore Recreation Centre;*
- (b) *That a supplementary estimate of £32,000 be approved for Totton Recreation Centre due to reduced income; and*
- (c) *That additional capital expenditure (for which there is no overall impact on the Council as these schemes are funded externally) be approved as detailed below:*
 - (a) *£51,000 for Western Solent Coastal Strategic Plan;*
 - (b) *£53,000 for Portmore Flood Relief; and*
 - (c) *£53,000 for Lyndhurst Flood Relief.*

RESOLVED:

- (d) That, subject to the approval of a, b and c above the revised General Fund Budget as set out in Appendix 1 to Report L to the Cabinet be approved;
- (e) The revised Capital Expenditure as set out in Appendix 2 to Report L to the Cabinet be approved; and
- (f) The Revised Housing Revenue Account as set out in Appendix 3 to Report L to the Cabinet be approved.

103. CHANGES TO PORTFOLIOS.

The Cabinet considered recommendations for changes in the composition of Cabinet Portfolios.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) *That the Health and Social Exclusion Portfolio be renamed the Health and Social Inclusion Portfolio; and*
- (b) *That the following changes in the composition of the Environment; Health and Social Inclusion and Policy and Strategy Portfolios be agreed:-*
 - (i) *That the following functions be transferred from the Environment to the Health and Social Inclusion Portfolio:*
 - Environmental Health Services -*
 - Implementation of strategies for -*
 - Air pollution control*
 - Noise and statutory nuisances*
 - Drinking water standards*
 - Drains and sewers (in the public health context)*
 - Land contamination*
 - Radiation monitoring*
 - Food safety*
 - Communicable disease*
 - Pest control*
 - Dog warden service*
 - Sunday trading*
 - Animal welfare (except for those matters being the responsibility of the General Purposes & Licensing Committee)*
 - (ii) *That the following function be transferred from the Policy & Strategy Portfolio to the Health and Social Inclusion Portfolio:*
 - Health and Safety of employees*

104. RESPONSIBILITIES OF REVIEW PANELS.

The Cabinet considered amendments to the constitution to provide for changed responsibilities of Review Panels, arising from changes in the composition of Cabinet Portfolios.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) *That the amendments to Chapter 9 of the Constitution shown in bold italic print at Appendix 1 to Report N to the Cabinet be approved; and*

- (b) *That the Head of Legal and Democratic Services be authorised to make any other minor amendments to the Constitution that are required as a direct consequence of recommendation (a).*

105. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND THE PRESS.

RESOLVED:

That, under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public and the Press be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 9 of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Act.

106. FUTURE OF THE LOCAL CENTRE SITE, CHALLENGER WAY, DIBDEN (REPORT M).

Members considered a confidential report detailing proposals for the future use of the Dibden Local Centre site.

In considering the options the Cabinet were of the opinion that there did not appear to be any compelling justification for the provision of a place of worship on Site A.

Members agreed that if an opportunity arose to provide Key Worker housing, they would consider that option further at that time.

RESOLVED:

- (a) That the principle of splitting Site A as detailed on the plan attached to Report M to the Cabinet for both community use and a housing development be agreed;
- (b) That Age Concern be confirmed as the preferred main user of the community provision section subject to detailed discussions to ensure maximum community use, including youth provision, of their buildings, provided external funding is available in a reasonable timescale, and in respect of the terms for their giving up the lease of the Fairview Drive site;
- (c) That no place of worship be provided on Site A as detailed on the plan attached to Report M to the Cabinet;
- (d) That the preferred tenure and type of housing on the section of Site A, as detailed on the plan attached to Report M to the Cabinet, not required for community facilities be market value housing with the usual provision for 35% general needs affordable housing;
- (e) That the principle be agreed of allowing access to Site B through the local centre site A as detailed on the plan attached to Report M to the Cabinet; and

- (f) That further discussions be held with the owners of Site B, as detailed on the plan attached to Report M to the Cabinet, and any parties with whom they have a contractual relationship to consider how this might contribute to the optimum development of both sites and to establish the timescales involved.

CHAIRMAN

(CB041202.doc)

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2002/03

KEYHAVEN RIVER

		Current Charge	Proposed Charge	Increase	Review Indicator
		£	£	%	
All increases with effect from 1st January 2003:					
Waiting Lists (inc. VAT)					
Waiting List Fee	Moorings	20.00	20.00	0.0	
	Dinghy Park	15.00	15.00	0.0	
Licence Fees - Private Moorings (excl. VAT)		55.17	55.17	0.0	
Mooring Fees [including Licence Fee] (excl. VAT)					
Drying	Small Boats	178.34	189.93	6.5	M
	Large Boats	196.61	209.39	6.5	M
Part Drying		224.54	239.14	6.5	M
Deep Water		357.75	381.00	6.5	M
Wall Moorings		107.43	114.41	6.5	M
Non-Residents		<i>As above plus 50%</i>			
Dinghy Park (excl. VAT)					
Dinghy Park	per space per annum	107.43	114.41	6.5	M
Grass Bank	"	50.00	53.25	6.5	
Non-Residents		<i>As above plus 50%</i>			

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2002/03

KEYHAVEN RIVER

	Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator	
All increases with effect from 1st January 2003:					
Other Charges (incl. VAT)					
Temporary Dinghy Park per space per week	12.50	12.50	0.0		
Launching Fees - Single Launch					
Under 12 Feet	5.00	5.00	0.0	M	
12 - 16 Feet	10.00	10.00	0.0	M	
16 - 20 Feet	15.00	15.00	0.0	M	
Over 20 Feet	20.00	20.00	0.0	M	
Launching Fees - Season Ticket					
Under 12 Feet	25.00	25.00	0.0		
12 - 16 Feet	50.00	50.00	0.0		
16 - 20 Feet	75.00	75.00	0.0		
Over 20 Feet	100.00	100.00	0.0		
Non-Residents	<i>As above plus 50%</i>				
Temporary Mooring Fees					
Anchorage/Visitor Moorings (dependant upon location)					
per night	from	5.00	5.00	0.0	M
	to	10.00	10.00	0.0	M
per week	from	12.00	12.00	0.0	M
	to	20.00	20.00	0.0	M
short stay	from	3.00	3.00	0.0	M
	to	5.50	5.50	0.0	M

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken
L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken
S - Statutory Charge Level

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

APPLEMORE RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Memberships						
Family			54.00	58.00	7.4	M / L
Adult	(18 and over)		27.00	29.00	7.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)		15.00	16.00	6.7	M / L
Senior	(60 +)		21.00	22.50	7.1	M / L
Concessionary			5.00	6.00	20.0	M / L
Centre Based Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 20		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	20 - 49		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 100		295.00	310.00	5.1	M / L
	101 +		420.00	440.00	4.8	M / L
Centre Based Junior Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 50		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 75		155.00	165.00	6.5	M / L
	76 +		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
Spectator / Non Member Admission			0.65	0.70	7.7	M / L
Swimming Charges						
Adult		per hour	2.05	2.10	2.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)	"	1.00	1.05	5.0	M / L
Senior	(60 +)	"	1.15	1.20	4.3	M / L
Concessionary	Adult	"	1.15	1.20	4.3	M / L
	Junior	"	0.75	0.80	6.7	M / L
Area Hire - Pool Hall		"	49.00	51.50	5.1	M / L

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

APPLEMORE RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Dryside Activities Charges						
Badminton	Peak	per hour	5.50	5.70	3.6	M / L
	Off Peak	"	4.10	4.30	4.9	M / L
Creche		per hour	1.80	1.80	0.0	M / L
Sports Hall (Four Courts)	Peak	per hour	26.00	27.50	5.8	M / L
	Off Peak	"	18.00	19.00	5.6	M / L
Sports Hall (Six Courts)	Peak	per hour	45.00	48.00	6.7	M / L
	Off Peak	"	23.50	25.00	6.4	M / L
Squash Court	Peak	per 40 mins	3.70	3.90	5.4	M / L
	Off Peak	"	3.30	3.50	6.1	M / L
Fitness Suite						
Profiles	Fitness Direct	Individual	33.00	36.00	9.1	M / L
		Joint	60.00	66.00	10.0	M / L
Annual		Individual	330.00	360.00	9.1	M / L
		Joint	600.00	660.00	10.0	M / L
Casual Use			3.90	4.10	5.1	M / L

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken
 L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken
 S - Statutory Charge Level

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

NEW MILTON RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Memberships						
Family			54.00	58.00	7.4	M / L
Adult	(18 and over)		27.00	29.00	7.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)		15.00	16.00	6.7	M / L
Senior	(60 +)		21.00	22.50	7.1	M / L
Concessionary			5.00	6.00	20.0	M / L
Centre Based Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 20		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	20 - 49		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 100		295.00	310.00	5.1	M / L
	101 +		420.00	440.00	4.8	M / L
Centre Based Junior Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 50		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 75		155.00	165.00	6.5	M / L
	76 +		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
Spectator / Non Member Admission			0.65	0.70	7.7	M / L
Swimming Charges						
Adult		per hour	2.05	2.15	4.9	M / L
Junior	(under 18)	"	1.00	1.05	5.0	M / L
Senior	(60 +)	"	1.30	1.40	7.7	M / L
Concessionary	Adult	"	1.15	1.30	13.0	M / L
	Junior	"	0.75	0.80	6.7	M / L
Area Hire - Pool Hall		"	44.50	47.00	5.6	M / L

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

NEW MILTON RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Dryside Activities Charges						
Badminton	Peak	per hour	5.85	6.20	6.0	M / L
	Off Peak	"	4.10	4.25	3.7	M / L
Creche		per hour	1.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sports Hall	Peak	per hour	27.50	29.00	5.5	M / L
	Off Peak	"	16.50	17.00	3.0	M / L
Squash Court	Peak	per 40 mins	4.60	4.85	5.4	M / L
	Off Peak	"	3.65	3.85	5.5	M / L
Fitness Suite						
Direct Debit Option 1		Individual	34.00	36.00	5.9	M / L
		Joint	61.00	61.00	0.0	M / L
Direct Debit Option 2		Individual	31.00	33.00	6.5	M / L
		Joint	53.00	55.00	3.8	M / L
Direct Debit Option 3		Individual	22.00	23.00	4.5	M / L
Direct Debit Option 4		Individual	22.00	24.00	9.1	M / L
		Joint	40.00	40.00	0.0	M / L
Contours			3.75	4.00	6.7	M / L
Foundry			2.50	2.65	6.0	M / L

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken
 L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken
 S - Statutory Charge Level

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

RINGWOOD RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Memberships						
Family			54.00	58.00	7.4	M / L
Adult	(18 and over)		27.00	29.00	7.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)		15.00	16.00	6.7	M / L
Senior	(60 +)		21.00	22.50	7.1	M / L
Concessionary			5.00	6.00	20.0	M / L
Centre Based Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 20		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	20 - 49		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 100		295.00	310.00	5.1	M / L
	101 +		420.00	440.00	4.8	M / L
Centre Based Junior Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 50		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 75		155.00	165.00	6.5	M / L
	76 +		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
Spectator / Non Member Admission			0.65	0.70	7.7	M / L
Swimming Charges						
Adult		per hour	2.05	2.15	4.9	M / L
Junior	(under 18)	"	1.00	1.05	5.0	M / L
Senior	(60 +)	"	1.30	1.40	7.7	M / L
Concessionary	Adult	"	1.15	1.30	13.0	M / L
	Junior	"	0.75	0.80	6.7	M / L
Area Hire - Pool Hall		"	49.00	51.50	5.1	M / L
Block Booking Hire						
Peak - Band A		per hour	41.70	62.50	49.9	M / L
Peak - Band B		"	41.70	59.00	41.5	M / L
Off Peak - Band C		"	41.70	56.00	34.3	M / L
Off Peak - Band D		"	41.70	54.00	29.5	M / L

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

RINGWOOD RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Dryside Activities Charges						
Badminton	Peak	per hour	6.00	6.40	6.7	M / L
	Off Peak	"	4.40	4.60	4.5	M / L
Creche		per hour	1.80	1.95	8.3	M / L
Sports Hall	Peak	per hour	30.00	32.00	6.7	M / L
	Off Peak	"	22.00	23.00	4.5	M / L
Squash Court	Peak	per 40 mins	4.10	4.30	4.9	M / L
	Off Peak	"	3.90	4.00	2.6	M / L
Fitness Suite						
Bodyline	Monthly	Individual	31.00	33.00	6.5	M / L
		Joint	52.50	55.00	4.8	M / L
	Monthly - All Inclusive	Individual	34.00	36.00	5.9	M / L
		Joint	60.75	64.00	5.3	M / L
Annual		Individual	310.00	325.00	4.8	M / L
		Joint	525.00	540.00	2.9	M / L
Annual - All Inclusive		Individual	340.00	357.00	5.0	M / L
		Joint	607.50	637.00	4.9	M / L
Fitness	Peak	Per Session	3.90	4.10	5.1	M / L

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken
 L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken
 S - Statutory Charge Level

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

LYMINGTON RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Memberships						
Family			54.00	58.00	7.4	M / L
Adult	(18 and over)		27.00	29.00	7.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)		15.00	16.00	6.7	M / L
Senior	(60 +)		21.00	22.50	7.1	M / L
Concessionary			5.00	6.00	20.0	M / L
Centre Based Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 20		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	20 - 49		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 100		295.00	310.00	5.1	M / L
	101 +		420.00	440.00	4.8	M / L
Centre Based Junior Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 50		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 75		155.00	165.00	6.5	M / L
	76 +		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
Spectator / Non Member Admission			0.65	0.70	7.7	M / L
Swimming Charges						
Adult		per hour	2.05	2.15	4.9	M / L
Junior	(under 18)	"	1.00	1.05	5.0	M / L
Senior	(60 +)	"	1.30	1.40	7.7	M / L
Concessionary	Adult	"	1.15	1.30	13.0	M / L
	Junior	"	0.75	0.80	6.7	M / L
Area Hire - Pool Hall		"	48.50	51.00	5.2	M / L

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

LYMINGTON RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Dryside Activities Charges						
Badminton	Peak	per hour	5.75	6.00	4.3	M / L
	Off Peak	"	4.10	4.25	3.7	M / L
Creche		per hour	1.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sports Hall	Peak	per hour	26.50	27.50	3.8	M / L
	Off Peak	"	16.50	17.00	3.0	M / L

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken

L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken

S - Statutory Charge Level

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

TOTTEN RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Memberships						
Family			54.00	58.00	7.4	M / L
Adult	(18 and over)		27.00	29.00	7.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)		15.00	16.00	6.7	M / L
Senior	(60 +)		21.00	22.50	7.1	M / L
Concessionary			5.00	6.00	20.0	M / L
Centre Based Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 20		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	20 - 49		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 100		295.00	310.00	5.1	M / L
	101 +		420.00	440.00	4.8	M / L
Centre Based Junior Clubs						
Number of Members:	Under 50		105.00	110.00	4.8	M / L
	50 - 75		155.00	165.00	6.5	M / L
	76 +		210.00	220.00	4.8	M / L
Spectator / Non Member Admission			0.65	0.70	7.7	M / L
Swimming Charges						
Adult		per hour	2.05	2.10	2.4	M / L
Junior	(under 18)	"	1.00	1.05	5.0	M / L
Senior	(60 +)	"	1.15	1.20	4.3	M / L
Concessionary	Adult	"	1.15	1.20	4.3	M / L
	Junior	"	0.75	0.80	6.7	M / L
Area Hire - Pool Hall		"	49.00	51.50	5.1	M / L

LEISURE PORTFOLIO

PROPOSED SCALE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR 2003/04

TOTTEN RECREATION CENTRE

			Current Charge £	Proposed Charge £	Increase %	Review Indicator
All Increases with effect from 1st January 2003:						
Dryside Activities Charges						
Badminton	Peak	per hour	5.70	6.00	5.3	M / L
	Off Peak	"	4.35	4.60	5.7	M / L
Creche		per 90 mins	2.45	2.60	6.1	M / L
Sports Hall	Peak	per hour	26.00	27.30	5.0	M / L
	Off Peak	"	18.00	19.00	5.6	M / L
Fitness Suite						
Lifestyles Direct	Monthly	Individual	33.00	36.00	9.1	M / L
		Joint	60.00	66.00	10.0	M / L
	Annual	Individual	330.00	360.00	9.1	M / L
		Joint	600.00	660.00	10.0	M / L
Casual			3.95	4.30	8.9	M / L

Review Indicator Key

M - Market comparisons undertaken
 L - Local Authority comparisons undertaken
 S - Statutory Charge Level